



FILED

WEST VIRGINIA LEGISLATURE  
Legislative Rule-Making Review Committee 2002 DEC 17 A 10:15

Building 1, Room MB-49  
1900 Kanawha Boulevard, East  
Charleston, WV 25305-0610  
(304) 347-4840  
(304) 347-4919 FAX

OFFICE WEST VIRGINIA  
SECRETARY OF STATE

email: tanders@mail.wvnet.edu

Senator Mike Ross, Cochair  
Delegate Virginia Mahan, Cochair  
Debra A. Graham, Counsel

December 17, 2002

Joseph A. Altizer, Associate Counsel  
Connie A. Bowling, Associate Counsel  
Teri Anderson, Administrative Assistant

NOTICE OF ACTION TAKEN BY THE LEGISLATIVE RULE-MAKING REVIEW COMMITTEE

TO: Joe Manchin, Secretary of State, State Register

TO: Trudy Laurenson  
Family Protection Services Board  
1204 Kanawha Blvd. East  
Charleston, WV 25301

FROM: Legislative Rule-Making Review Committee

Proposed Rule: **Monitored Visitation and Exchange Program Certification, 191CSR4**

The Legislative Rule-Making Review Committee recommends that the West Virginia Legislature:

1. Authorize the agency to promulgate the Legislative rule
  - (a) as originally filed
  - (b) as modified by the agency
2. Authorize the agency to promulgate part of the Legislative rule; a statement of reasons for such recommendation is attached.
3. Authorize the agency to promulgate the Legislative rule with certain amendments; amendments and a statement of reasons for such recommendation is attached.
4. Authorize the agency to promulgate the Legislative rule as modified with certain amendments; amendments and a statement of reasons for such recommendation is attached.
5. Recommends that the Legislative rule be withdrawn; a statement of reasons for such recommendation is attached.

SCANNED

ANALYSIS OF PROPOSED LEGISLATIVE RULES

**Agency:** Family Protection Services Board

**Subject:** Certification of Monitored Visitation and Exchange Programs (191 CSR 4)

**Date:** November 8, 2002

**Staff Counsel:** Connie A. Bowling

---

PERTINENT DATES

Filed for public comment: June 21, 2002  
Public comment period ended: July 22, 2002  
Filed following public comment period: July 26, 2002  
Filed LRMRC: July 26, 2002  
Filed as emergency: N/A

OFFICE WEST VIRGINIA  
SECRETARY OF STATE

2002 DEC - 6 P 12: 54

FILED

Fiscal Impact: None.

ABSTRACT

This is a new rule governing certification of monitored child visitation and exchange programs.

The procedures for certification of programs are similar to the requirements of Series 2 and Series 3 governing licensure of family protection and perpetrator intervention programs. The rule also sets out standards for certification, including establishment of written policies governing various aspects of the operation of such programs, provision of general liability insurance for staff, maintenance of participant files and the information to be contained therein, facility requirements, mandatory staff training, and establishment of written security and confidentiality policies.

---

AUTHORITY

Statutory authority:

W. Va. Code § 48-26-401:

It is the duty of the board to:

\* \* \*

(4) Promulgate rules to implement the provisions of this article and any applicable federal guidelines;

\* \* \*

W. Va. Code § 48-26-1003.

(a) The board shall propose rules in accordance with the provisions of article three, chapter twenty-nine-a of this code to implement the provisions of this part [children's centers for the monitoring of custodial responsibility]

\* \* \*

---

ANALYSIS

I. HAS THE AGENCY EXCEEDED THE SCOPE OF ITS STATUTORY AUTHORITY IN APPROVING THE PROPOSED LEGISLATIVE RULE?

No.

II. IS THE PROPOSED LEGISLATIVE RULE IN CONFORMITY WITH THE INTENT OF THE STATUTE WHICH THE RULE IS INTENDED TO IMPLEMENT, EXTEND, APPLY, INTERPRET OR MAKE SPECIFIC?

Yes.

III. DOES THE PROPOSED LEGISLATIVE RULE CONFLICT WITH OTHER CODE PROVISIONS OR WITH ANY OTHER RULE ADOPTED BY THE SAME OR A DIFFERENT AGENCY?

No.

IV. IS THE PROPOSED LEGISLATIVE RULE NECESSARY TO FULLY ACCOMPLISH THE OBJECTIVES OF THE STATUTE UNDER WHICH THE PROPOSED RULE WAS PROMULGATED?

Yes.

V. IS THE PROPOSED LEGISLATIVE RULE REASONABLE, ESPECIALLY AS IT AFFECTS THE CONVENIENCE OF THE GENERAL PUBLIC OR OF PERSONS AFFECTED BY IT?

Yes.

VI. CAN THE PROPOSED LEGISLATIVE RULE BE MADE LESS COMPLEX OR MORE READILY UNDERSTANDABLE BY THE GENERAL PUBLIC?

No.

VII. WAS THE PROPOSED LEGISLATIVE RULE PROMULGATED IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE REQUIREMENTS OF CHAPTER 29A, ARTICLE 3 AND WITH ANY REQUIREMENTS IMPOSED BY ANY OTHER PROVISION OF THE CODE?

Yes.

VIII. OTHER.

Counsel recommends technical modifications.