

45CSR7
CONTROL OF PARTICULATE MATTER AIR POLLUTION FROM MANUFACTURING PROCESSES
AND ASSOCIATED OPERATIONS
Response to Comments

The WV Department of Environmental Protection (DEP), Division of Air Quality (DAQ) commenced the public comment period for proposed legislative rule 45CSR7 (Rule 7) on June 5, 2026. The public comment period concluded July 7, 2026, after satisfying the 30-day period. A public hearing was held virtually on July 7, 2026. The purpose of the public comment period and hearing was to accept oral and written comments on the proposed revisions to 45CSR7.

There was one written comment received during the public comment period from Mr. Bill Bissett, President of the West Virginia Manufacturers Association. There was one additional commenter. Mr. Phil Tucker provided verbal comments during the public hearing and also submitted written comments containing links to the court cases he referenced. A summary of the consolidated comments and responses are provided below.

The original comments received and the public hearing transcript are provided as part of the formal rulemaking record. No changes were made to 45CSR7 after reviewing and considering the comments received.

Commenter 1: West Virginia Manufacturers Association (WVMA)

COMMENT 1: On behalf of the WVMA and its member companies, please accept this comment in favor of your agency's proposed rule 45 CSR 7 (Control of Particulate Matter Air Pollution from Manufacturing Processes and Associated Operations).

RESPONSE 1: The DAQ acknowledges and appreciates the West Virginia Manufacturers Association's comment in support of the proposed revisions to 45CSR7.

COMMENT 2: The WVMA provided preliminary information related to the SSM related rules which were revised in the 2024 session and are proposed for the 2027 session. The WVMA is disappointed that the agency is withdrawing its SSM rule provisions. While the WVMA recognizes that DAQ is between a "rock and a hard place" with the USEPA, the WVMA believes that the WVDEP DAQ can maintain its position, in reliance both on the D.C. Circuit's ruling and the fact that the Trump Administration has signaled that it will not revoke primacy for issues like the SSM rules.

RESPONSE 2: The DAQ appreciates the preliminary summary information provided by the WVMA and acknowledges the U.S. EPA published a final rule partially withdrawing the West Virginia Finding of Failure to Submit (FFS) June 12, 2026, which removed related deadlines that could have triggered sanctions or the imposition of Federal Implementation Plans.

Although the EPA partially withdrew the FFS based on the 2024 court decision, emission limitations must apply at all times to be approvable into a State Implementation Plan unless the State determines that an emission limitation is not "necessary or appropriate". The U.S. EPA acknowledges that an emission limitation may include different numerical levels or other specific control requirements that apply during different modes of operation, such as startup or shutdown. The DAQ initially promulgated 45CSR1 (Alternative Emission Limitations During Startup and Shutdown Operations) in 2017 (revised it in 2024) to provide a mechanism for sources that may be

unable to comply with applicable emission limits during startup or shutdown events to apply for an alternative emission limitation (AEL) in accordance with the requirements of Rule 1. Since the rule became effective, no source has applied for an AEL.

Commenter 2: Mr. Phil Tucker (citizen)

COMMENT 3: How does the rule align with state arguments in a recent court case I think was the *West Virginia Rivers Coalition vs. Chemours* case, perhaps in June. The argument being made was that the amount of contaminants that are in the air is unless it causes (*thought stopped*). So long as it's not more likely (or likelier) to cause health problems, it has to be likely or more likely. The rule with section 7 like particles or contamination based off the air quality website as far as HAPs. How does that work in accordance with that rule if we're arguing that we're going to make it less while also saying that it doesn't matter unless it's actually affecting your health to a provable point?

RESPONSE 3: According to an article posted on the West Virginia Rivers Coalition website June 24, 2026, *Chemours to Resolve Federal Law Violations at Washington Works Plant Following WV Rivers Coalition Clean Water Act Citizen Suit*, the basis of the court case was related to the Clean Water Act. While the court case referenced by the commenter pertains to water quality under the Clean Water Act and is distinct from this rulemaking, Rule 7 is promulgated under the Clean Air Act to protect public health by establishing emission limitations for particulate matter.

Rule 7 was initially promulgated to provide control mechanisms for particulate matter by establishing emission limitations with the intended purpose of complying with the national ambient air quality standards (NAAQS) established by the U.S. EPA in accordance with the Clean Air Act. The U.S. EPA establishes NAAQS for six criteria pollutants, including particulate matter, and establishes the standards to protect public health and welfare. To address the commenter's question regarding HAPS, it is important to note the distinction between criteria pollutants (like the particulate matter regulated under Rule 7) and Hazardous Air Pollutants (HAPs), which are separate contaminants regulated under different rules and standards.

There are no proposed revisions to the emission limits established in Rule 7.

COMMENT 4: Document 0.pdf was provided with the request it be added to the public record. The document was a link to the *West Virginia Rivers Coalition, Inc. v. The Chemours Company FC, LLC* Case number 2:24-cv-00701 filed 6/16/25 in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of West Virginia. The case was referenced during verbal comments.

RESPONSE 4: The document will be filed with public comments received in the rulemaking record.

COMMENT 5: Document Chemours-cd.pdf was provided with the request it be added to the public record. The document was a link to the *UNITED STATES OF AMERICA and the STATE OF WEST VIRGINIA, by and through the WEST VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION v. THE CHEMOURS COMPANY and THE CHEMOURS COMPANY FC, LLC* Case number 2:26-cv-00418 filed 06/24/26 in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of West Virginia. A court case involving The Chemours Company was referenced during verbal comments.

RESPONSE 5: The document will be filed with public comments received in the rulemaking record.



West Virginia Manufacturers Association

2001 Quarrier Street
Charleston, WV 25311
304-342-2123
www.wvma.com

July 7, 2026

Ms. Laura Jennings
West Virginia Department of
Environmental Protection
Division of Air Quality
Charleston, WV 25304
laura.m.jennings@wv.gov

Subject: Comments on DAQ's Proposed 2027 Rules

Dear Ms. Jennings:

The West Virginia Manufacturers Association ("WVMA") represents manufacturers across West Virginia that collectively employ thousands of West Virginians and operate facilities subject to the state's air quality permitting and compliance requirements. Our members are committed to operating responsibly, investing in modern environmental controls, and maintaining compliance with applicable state and federal environmental standards. On behalf of the WVMA and its member companies, please accept this comment in favor of your agency's proposed rules:

1. 45 CSR 2 ("Control of Particulate Matter Air Pollution from the Combustion of Fuel in Indirect Heat Exchangers");
2. 45 CSR 3 ("Control of Air Pollution from the Operation of Hot Mix Asphalt Plants");

3. 45 CSR 5 (“Control of Air Pollution from the Operation of Coal Preparation Plants, Coal Handling Operations and Coal Refuse Disposal Areas”);
4. 45 CSR 6 (“Control of Air Pollution from Combustion of Refuse”);
5. 45 CSR 7 (“Control of Particulate Matter Air Pollution from Manufacturing Processes and Associated Operations”);
6. 45 CSR 10 (“Control of Air Pollution from the Emission of Sulfur Oxides”);
7. 45 CSR 16 (“Standards of Performance for New Stationary Sources”);
8. 45 CSR 18 (“Control of Air Pollution from Combustion of Solid Waste”);
9. 45 CSR 21 (“Control of Air Pollution from the Emission of Volatile Organic Compounds”); and
10. 45 CSR 34 (“Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants”).

As a preliminary matter, nearly all 10 proposed rules that have been selected for amendment during this cycle feature language inserted by the agency in 2023 and 2024 that was designed to account for changes at USEPA related to “startup, shutdown, and maintenance” (“SSM”) of facilities with air permits. It is the WVMA’s understanding that those changes were implemented to address alterations in those rules that were brought about after the USEPA threatened West Virginia’s primacy in the mid-2010s.

The USEPA initially issued “SIP calls” that required states (like West Virginia) to address SSM issues in response to a petition filed by the Sierra Club. Originally, the USEPA claimed that granting blanket exemptions or "affirmative defenses" to facilities during equipment breakdowns or other SSM events violates the Clean Air Act.

Following that logic, the USEPA issued “SIP calls” to the affected states, such as West Virginia. This required states to update their clean air plans to ensure that excess emissions are minimized at all times and subject to enforcement action. These West Virginia SSM changes were designed to address those SIP calls.

In March 2024, during pendency of the underlying West Virginia rule changes, the United States Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit vacated the USEPA's SIP Call, deciding the USEPA exceeded its authority under the Clean Air Act when ordering states to eliminate automatic SSM exemptions and affirmative defenses.

Before that court ruling, the USEPA had issued a formal "Finding of Failure to Submit" against West Virginia in April 2023 because the state had not updated its Clean Air Act plan. This finding put West Virginia at risk of facing federal sanctions. Since the court's 2024 decision, however, the USEPA finalized a rule on June 12, 2026 that partially withdrew the Findings of Failure to Submit for West Virginia and five other state or local agencies. The WVMA understands that the WVDEP DAQ and USEPA have engaged in discussions about SSM principles and that USEPA, regardless of the D.C. Circuit action, will not approve West Virginia rules with these SSM severability provisions intact.

The WVMA is disappointed that the agency is withdrawing its SSM rule provisions. While the WVMA recognizes that DAQ is between a "rock and a hard place" with the USEPA, the WVMA believes that the WVDEP DAQ can maintain its position, in reliance both on the D.C. Circuit's ruling and the fact that the Trump Administration has signaled that it will not revoke primacy for issues like the SSM rules.

Turning to the individual rules, the WVMA supports the DAQ's proposed changes across the suite of rules submitted 2027 legislative session. Significant proposals are addressed briefly in turn below.

The WVMA supports the DAQ's proposed changes to 45 CSR 2 modernize compliance demonstration and monitoring by recognizing continuous particulate matter monitoring systems (CPMS), clarifying testing options, and aligning the rule with modern EPA-approved technologies while maintaining the underlying particulate emission standards. The proposed revisions also improve regulatory clarity, by expressly identifying the available compliance options in Sections three and eight.

The WVMA also supports changes to 45 CSR 5 (“Control of Air Pollution from the Operation of Coal Preparation Plants, Coal Handling Operations and Coal Refuse Disposal Areas”), which clarify test options available to permittees.

The WVMA supports the technical update to 45 CSR 16-4.1.2.

The WVMA applauds the DAQ’s proposed changes to 45 CSR 18. The issue of whether a process “combusts” solid waste is one that is increasingly at the fore of permit challenges as new technologies allow for the pyrolysis of waste, which emits far fewer compounds which may be potentially detrimental to human health and the environment. The WVMA appreciates the clarifications. The WVMA also thanks DAQ for the new provisions at § 45-18-10 which clearly lay out requirements for new facilities.

We appreciate the DAQ’s elimination of several out-of-date processes from the rule and the general cleanup and housekeeping related to 45 CSR 21.

In sum, the WVMA is pleased to submit this support for most of the DAQ’s proposed 2027 rule changes. The DAQ has, as usual, met its statutory obligation with regard to rulemaking with thoughtfulness, skill, and dedication.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Bill Bissett", with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

Bill Bissett, Ed.D.

President

West Virginia Manufacturers Association



Jennings, Laura M <laura.m.jennings@wv.gov>

Proposed DAQ 2027 Rule Comments

2 messages

P T <tuck12052@gmail.com>

Tue, Jul 7, 2026 at 6:04 PM

To: "laura.m.jennings@wv.gov" <laura.m.jennings@wv.gov>

Ms. Jennings,

Below is a link and attachment I'd like to add into the public record regarding the July 7th 2026 WVDEP DAQ proposed rules public comment meeting. Thank you.



0.pdf



20-1530_n758.pdf



chemours-cd.pdf



DAQ Public Notice-2027 Rules...



HW-25-005 Peoples Cartage, I...



public meeting for WVDEP.doc...



Rivers-Amsted-Suit.pdf



W. Va. industrial fire: another c...



Publications

All the best,

Phil T

Jennings, Laura M <laura.m.jennings@wv.gov>
Draft To: P T <tuck12052@gmail.com>

Wed, Jul 8, 2026 at 9:53 AM

Laura J

[Quoted text hidden]

<https://cases.justia.com/federal/district-courts/west-virginia/wvscce/2:2024cv00701/240599/85/0.pdf?ts=1750164963>

<https://www.epa.gov/system/files/documents/2026-06/chemours-cd.pdf>

In the Matter Of:
DIVISION OF AIR QUALITY PUBLIC HEARING

PUBLIC HEARING

July 07, 2026



ESQUIRE
DEPOSITION SOLUTIONS

800.211.DEPO (3376)
EsquireSolutions.com

* * * * *

WEST VIRGINIA
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION
DIVISION OF AIR QUALITY
PUBLIC HEARING
PROPOSED 2027 LEGISLATIVE RULES
45CSR7

Taken On
July 7, 2026

at

6:21 p.m.

on behalf of

West Virginia
Department of Environmental Protection
Division of Air Quality

via

Google Meet Videoconference

* * * * *

Transcript of the public hearing in the
above matter, held pursuant to notice via Google
Meet Videoconference, on the 7th day of July, 2026.

ESQUIRE DEPOSITION SOLUTIONS
BRAD L. COOPER, Notary Public
713 Lee Street
Charleston, West Virginia 25301
(202) 429-0014
www.esquiresolutions.com

APPEARANCES:

WEST VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL
PROTECTION DIVISION OF AIR QUALITY:

Nicole Ernest
Laura Jennings

PARTICIPANTS:

Phil Tucker

P R O C E E D I N G S

(Hearing commenced at 6:21 p.m)

MS. JENNINGS: The virtual public hearing for the proposed legislative rule 45CSR7 (Control of Particulate Matter Air Pollution from Manufacturing Processes and Associated Operations) will now come to order on this 7th day of July, 2026.

Oral comments and testimony will be accepted until the close of this hearing and will be made part of the rulemaking record. Any question regarding revisions to this rule should be included with your comments, and any such question will be addressed as part of the response to comments in the rulemaking record.

The purpose of this public hearing is to accept comments on proposed revisions to Rule 7 which was last promulgated in the 2024 session. Rule 7 establishes particulate matter emission standards for manufacturing processes and associated operations and sets forth permitting, testing, reporting, and exemption provisions.

The rule was revised in 2024; however, it is not approvable as a SIP revision by EPA due

1 to the severability language. The rule is being
2 revised primarily to address SIP approvability
3 concerns with the severability language in section
4 14 by removing this language. Additional revisions
5 include adding a column title to Table 45-7A -
6 which was inadvertently removed when the table was
7 reformatted in 2024 - and making miscellaneous
8 typographical updates.

9 The floor is now open for comments.
10 As a reminder, please keep your comments on topic
11 and limit them to five minutes.

12 Nicole, has anyone pre-registered to
13 provide comments on proposed rule 45CSR7?

14 If so, please call on them now.

15 MS. ERNEST: Thank you, Laura. We do
16 not have any participants pre-registered to speak
17 tonight. However, we would like to open the floor
18 to anyone who wishes to make a comment at this
19 time.

20 If you would like to speak, please
21 unmute your microphone, state your first and last
22 name, and any organization you represent, and
23 proceed with your remarks.

24 MR. TUCKER: My name is Phil Tucker.

1 I'm not representing anybody. I'm just a
2 constituent.

3 Could you say the last part of that
4 section 7 again? I'm sorry. I missed it. My
5 wi-fi is kind of cutting out.

6 MS. JENNINGS: On rule 7: "Establishes
7 particulate matter emission standards for
8 manufacturing processes and associated operations
9 and sets forth permitting, testing, reporting, and
10 exemption provisions.

11 The rule was revised in 2024; however,
12 it is not approvable as a SIP revision by EPA due
13 to the severability language. The rule is being
14 revised primarily to address SIP approvability
15 concerns with the severability language in section
16 14 by removing this language. Additional revisions
17 include adding a column title to Table 45-7A -
18 which was inadvertently removed when the table was
19 reformatted in 2024 - and making miscellaneous
20 typographical updates."

21 MR. TUCKER: Okay. And with that, I
22 do have two questions.

23 According to -- gosh, I can't even
24 remember what the court case is -- there's -- which

1 one are we in? The West Virginia versus -- I'm
2 sorry, I'm having a brain fart.

3 I believe it's the West Virginia
4 Rivers Coalition - I'm not sure if it's Inc. or LLC
5 - versus, like, The Chemours Company, LLC,
6 something like that, I think that's the case. I
7 may be mistaking that with another one because
8 there are several.

9 The argument being made, and I'm not
10 really sure if we're defending it or not, is that
11 the amount of contaminants that are in the air is
12 -- unless it causes -- oh, I'm sorry that is not
13 the right -- well, maybe it -- is that the one that
14 was in June? Yeah. That is the one. Okay. I'm
15 sorry.

16 So with that one, that was in June.
17 The argument basically came out to be that so long
18 as it's not more likely -- or likelier, right, to
19 cause health problems, it has to be likely or more
20 likely.

21 The rule with section 7, based off of
22 like any sort of, really, like particles or
23 contamination that it's supposed to be based off of
24 the air quality website as far as HAPs.

1 How does that work in accordance with
2 that rule if we're arguing that we're going to make
3 it less while also saying that it doesn't matter
4 unless it's actually affecting your health to a
5 provable point?

6 MS. JENNINGS: Your question has been
7 noted and the response will be provided in the
8 Response to Comments with the rulemaking record.

9 I believe you said you had a second
10 question?

11 MR. TUCKER: Yeah, that pretty much
12 covers it though for that one.

13 MS. JENNINGS: Okay. Thank you.

14 MS. ERNEST: Hearing no further
15 comments related to this matter, Laura, I yield the
16 floor to you.

17 MS. JENNINGS: Okay. Thank you. The
18 public hearing for proposed rule 45CSR7 is now
19 concluded.

20 The public hearing for proposed rule
21 45CSR10 will begin momentarily.

22 (Hearing concluded at 6:28 p.m.)

23 --oOo--

24

1 STATE OF WEST VIRGINIA,
2 COUNTY OF RALEIGH, to wit:

3 I, Brad L. Cooper, a Notary Public within
4 and for the County and State aforesaid, duly
5 commissioned and qualified, do hereby certify that
6 the foregoing proceedings were duly taken by me and
7 before me at the time and place and for the purpose
8 specified in the caption hereof.

9 I do further certify that the said
10 proceedings were recorded by means of digital audio
11 and/or video recording, Stenomask, and/or correctly
12 taken by me in shorthand notes, and that the same
13 were accurately written out in full and reduced to
14 typewriting by means of computer-aided
15 transcription by me or under my supervision.

16 My commission expires May 14, 2028.

17 Given under my hand this 10th day of July,
18 2026.

19 *Brad L. Cooper*
20

21 BRAD L. COOPER, Notary Public
22
23
24

-	3:17, 19 5:4, 6 6:21	B	concluded 7:19, 22	3:22 5:10
--000-- 7:23	7th 3:7	based 6:21, 23	constituent 5:2	F
1	A	basically 6:17	contaminant s 6:11	fart 6:2
14 4:4 5:16	accept 3:17	begin 7:21	contaminati on 6:23	floor 4:9, 17 7:16
2	accepted 3:10	brain 6:2	control 3:5	G
2024 3:18, 23 4:7 5:11, 19	accordance 7:1	C	court 5:24	gosh 5:23
2026 3:8	adding 4:5 5:17	call 4:14	covers 7:12	H
4	Additional 4:4 5:16	case 5:24 6:6	cutting 5:5	HAPS 6:24
45-7A 4:5 5:17	address 4:2 5:14	Chemours 6:5	D	health 6:19 7:4
45CSR10 7:21	addressed 3:14	close 3:10	day 3:7	hearing 3:2, 4, 10, 16 7:14, 18, 20, 22
45CSR7 3:4 4:13 7:18	affecting 7:4	Coalition 6:4	defending 6:10	I
6	air 3:5 6:11, 24	column 4:5 5:17	due 3:24 5:12	inadvertent ly 4:6 5:18
6:21 3:2	amount 6:11	commenced 3:2	E	include 4:5 5:17
6:28 7:22	approvabili ty 4:2 5:14	comment 4:18	emission 3:19 5:7	included 3:13
7	approvable 3:24 5:12	comments 3:9, 13, 15, 17 4:9, 10, 13 7:8, 15	EPA 3:24 5:12	J
7	arguing 7:2	Company 6:5	ERNEST 4:15 7:14	JENNINGS 3:3 5:6
	argument 6:9, 17	concerns 4:3 5:15	establishes 3:19 5:6	
			exemption	

7:6,13,17	manufacturing	organization	processes	related
July	3:6,20	4:22	3:6,20	7:15
3:7	5:8		5:8	remarks
June	matter	P	promulgated	4:23
6:14,16	3:5,19		3:18	remember
K	5:7 7:3,15	p.m	proposed	5:24
	microphone	3:2	3:4,17	reminder
kind	4:21	p.m.	4:13	4:10
5:5	minutes	7:22	7:18,20	removed
L	4:11	part	provable	4:6 5:18
	miscellaneous	3:11,14	7:5	removing
language	4:7 5:19	5:3	provide	4:4 5:16
4:1,3,4	missed	participant	4:13	reporting
5:13,15,16	5:4	s	provided	3:22 5:9
Laura	mistaking	4:16	7:7	represent
4:15 7:15	6:7	particles	provisions	4:22
legislative	momentarily	6:22	3:22 5:10	representing
3:4	7:21	particulate	public	5:1
likelier		3:5,19	3:3,16	response
6:18	N	5:7	7:18,20	3:14 7:7,8
limit	Nicole	permitting	purpose	revised
4:11	4:12	3:21 5:9	3:16	3:23 4:2
LLC	noted	Phil	Q	5:11,14
6:4,5	7:7	4:24	quality	revision
long		point	6:24	3:24 5:12
6:17	O	7:5		revisions
M	open	Pollution	question	3:12,17
made	4:9,17	3:5	3:12,13	4:4 5:16
3:11 6:9	operations	pre-registered	7:6,10	Rivers
make	3:6,21	4:12,16	questions	6:4
4:18 7:2	5:8	pretty	5:22	rule
making	Oral	7:11	R	3:4,12,17,19,23
4:7 5:19	3:9	primarily	record	4:1,13
	order	4:2 5:14	3:11,15	5:6,11,13
	3:7	problems	7:8	6:21 7:2,18,20
		6:19	reformatted	rulemaking
		proceed	4:7 5:19	
		4:23		

3:11, 15 7:8	time 4:19	wishes 4:18
S	title 4:5 5:17	work 7:1
section 4:3 5:4, 15 6:21	tonight 4:17	Y
session 3:18	topic 4:10	yield 7:15
sets 3:21 5:9	Tucker 4:24 5:21 7:11	
severabilit y 4:1,3 5:13,15	typographic al 4:8 5:20	
SIP 3:24 4:2 5:12,14	U	
sort 6:22	unmute 4:21	
speak 4:16,20	updates 4:8 5:20	
standards 3:20 5:7	V	
state 4:21	versus 6:1,5	
supposed 6:23	Virginia 6:1,3	
T	virtual 3:3	
table 4:5,6 5:17,18	W	
testimony 3:9	website 6:24	
testing 3:22 5:9	West 6:1,3	
	wi-fi 5:5	

**List of Registrants for DAQ 2027 Legislative Rules
Held on Tuesday, July 7, 2026, at 6:00 p.m. via Google Meets**

First name	Last name	Organization	Email Address	Street address	City, state and zip code	Comment?	If yes, which proposed rule(s)?
Nicole	Ernest	WVDAQ	nicole.d.ernest@wv.gov	601 57th Street SE	Charleston, WV 25213	No	
Laura	Jennings	West Virginia DEP, Division of Air Quality	laura.m.jennings@wv.gov	601 57th Street, SE	Charleston, WV 25304	No	
Mike	Tony	Charleston Gazette-Mail	mtony@hdmediallc.com	1001 Virginia St. E	Charleston, WV 25301	No	
Phil	Tucker	Self	Tuck12052@gmail.com	838 South Park Road	Charleston, WV 25304	Yes	45CSR16 45CSR34

First name	Last name	Email	Duration	Time joined	Time exited
Brad	Cooper	wvu8***@***.com	1 hr 11 min	5:45 PM	6:56 PM
Nicole D	Ernest	nicole.d.ernest@wv.gov	1 hr 25 min	5:41 PM	7:05 PM
Laura M	Jennings	laura.m.jennings@wv.gov	1 hr 16 min	5:49 PM	7:05 PM
Richard Eric	Ray	richard.eric.ray@wv.gov	1 hr 1 min	5:55 PM	6:56 PM
P	T	tuck*****@***.com	1 hr 5 min	5:51 PM	6:56 PM