



FILED

DEC 20 3 41 PM '96

OFFICE OF WEST VIRGINIA  
SECRETARY OF STATE

**West Virginia Legislature**  
**Legislative Rule-Making Review Committee**

Room MB47-State Capitol  
Charleston, West Virginia 25305  
(304) 347-4840

Debra A. Graham, Counsel  
Joe Altizer, Associate Counsel  
Marie Nickerson, Adm. Assistant

Senator Mike Ross, Co-Chair  
Delegate Vicki Douglas, Co-Chair

December 19, 1996

NOTICE OF ACTION TAKEN BY LEGISLATIVE RULE-MAKING REVIEW COMMITTEE

TO: Ken Hechler, Secretary of State, State Register

TO: Mr. Timothy Winslow  
Division of Banking  
Building 3, Room 311  
State Capitol Complex  
Charleston, WV 25305

FROM: Legislative Rule-Making Review Committee

PROPOSED RULE: Rules Pertaining to the WV Industrial Loan Company Act

The Legislative Rule-Making Review committee recommends that the West Virginia Legislature:

- 1. Authorize the agency to promulgate part of the Legislative Rule
  - (a) as originally filed   X
  - (b) as modified by the agency
- 2. Authorize the agency to promulgate part of the Legislative rule; a statement of reasons for such recommendation is attached.
- 3. Authorize the agency to promulgate the Legislative rule with certain amendments; amendments and a statement of reasons for such recommendation is attached
- 4. Authorize the agency to promulgate the Legislative rule as modified with certain amendments; amendments and a statement of reasons for such recommendation is attached.
- 5. Recommends that the rule be withdrawn; a statement of reason for such recommendation is attached.

Pursuant to Code 29A-3-11(c), this notice has been filed in the State Register and with the agency proposing the rule.

106-5

## ANALYSIS OF PROPOSED LEGISLATIVE RULES

**Agency:** Division of Banking

**Subject:** West Virginia Industrial Loan Company Act, 106CSR5

---

### PERTINENT DATES

Filed for public comment: July 19, 1996  
Public comment period ended: August 19, 1996  
Filed following public comment period: August 28, 1996  
Filed LRMRC: August 28, 1996  
Filed as emergency:

Fiscal Impact: None

### ABSTRACT

The proposed rule amends a current legislative rule. The current rule is no longer necessary. Enrolled Committee Substitute for Senate Bill No. 366, which passed during the 1996 Legislative Session eliminated separate licensing requirements for supervised lenders and industrial loan companies. These companies will now be licensed under a new regulated consumer lending license.

---

### AUTHORITY

Statutory authority: W.Va. Code, §31A-2-4, which provides, in part, as follows:

(c) In addition to all other authority and powers vested in the commissioner by provisions of this chapter and other applicable laws, the commissioner is authorized and empowered:

...(11) To implement all of the provisions of this chapter (except the provisions of article three) and all other laws which he is empowered to administer and enforce by the promulgation of rules and regulations in accordance with the provisions of article three, chapter twenty-nine-a of this code;

(12) To implement the provisions of chapter forty-six-a of this code applicable to consumer loans and consumer credit sales by the promulgation of rules and regulations in accordance with the provisions of article three, chapter twenty-nine-a of this code so long as said rules and regulations do not conflict with any rules and regulations promulgated by the state's attorney general;...

---

**ANALYSIS**

**I. HAS THE AGENCY EXCEEDED THE SCOPE OF ITS STATUTORY AUTHORITY IN APPROVING THE PROPOSED LEGISLATIVE RULE?**

No.

**II. IS THE PROPOSED LEGISLATIVE RULE IN CONFORMITY WITH THE INTENT OF THE STATUTE WHICH THE RULE IS INTENDED TO IMPLEMENT, EXTEND, APPLY, INTERPRET OR MAKE SPECIFIC?**

Yes.

**III. DOES THE PROPOSED LEGISLATIVE RULE CONFLICT WITH OTHER CODE PROVISIONS OR WITH ANY OTHER RULE ADOPTED BY THE SAME OR A DIFFERENT AGENCY?**

No.

**IV. IS THE PROPOSED LEGISLATIVE RULE NECESSARY TO FULLY ACCOMPLISH THE OBJECTIVES OF THE STATUTE UNDER WHICH THE PROPOSED RULE WAS PROMULGATED?**

Yes.

**V. IS THE PROPOSED LEGISLATIVE RULE REASONABLE, ESPECIALLY AS IT AFFECTS THE CONVENIENCE OF THE GENERAL PUBLIC OR OF PERSONS AFFECTED BY IT?**

Yes.

VI. CAN THE PROPOSED LEGISLATIVE RULE BE MADE LESS COMPLEX OR MORE READILY UNDERSTANDABLE BY THE GENERAL PUBLIC?

No.

VII. WAS THE PROPOSED LEGISLATIVE RULE PROMULGATED IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE REQUIREMENTS OF CHAPTER 29A, ARTICLE 3 AND WITH ANY REQUIREMENTS IMPOSED BY ANY OTHER PROVISION OF THE CODE?

Yes.

VIII. OTHER. .....