

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW DIVISION

FORM #3

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

\$14.50



BUREAU OF ENVIRONMENT

10 McJunkin Road
Nitro, WV 25143-2506

CECIL H. UNDERWOOD
GOVERNOR

MICHAEL P. MIANO
COMMISSIONER

July 24, 1998

Ms. Judy Cooper
Director
Administrative Law Division
Capitol Complex
Charleston, WV 25305

RE: 45CSR33 - "Acid Rain Provisions and Permits"

Dear Ms. Cooper:

This is to advise that I am giving approval to file the above-referenced rule with your Office and Legislative Rule-Making as an agency-approved rule.

Your cooperation in this regard is very much appreciated. If you have any questions or require additional information, please feel free to contact Carrie Chambers in my Office at 759-0515.

Sincerely yours,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Michael P. Miano", written over a diagonal line.

Michael P. Miano
Commissioner

MPM:cc

Attachment

cc: Carrie Chambers
Karen Watson, OAQ

Questionnaire

DATE: July 31, 1998

TO: LEGISLATIVE RULE-MAKING REVIEW COMMITTEE

FROM: (AGENCY NAME, ADDRESS & PHONE NUMBER) Division of Environmental Protection
Office of Air Quality
1558 Washington Street, East
Charleston, WV 25311-2599
Phone: 304-558-4022

LEGISLATIVE RULE TITLE: 45CSR33 "Acid Rain Provisions and Permits"

1. Authorizing statute (s) citation: W.Va. Code §§22-5-1 et seq.

2. a. Date filed in State Register with Notice of Hearing or Public Comment Period:
June 17, 1998

b. What other notice, including advertising, did you give of the hearing?

- I. Class I legal advertisement, Charleston Daily Mail and Charleston Gazette
- II. Sent a copy of the Public Notice to our agency mailing list.
- III. DEP's 'Public Notice Bulletin' and DEP's 'In Depth' (July's issues)
- IV. Public Notice placed on agency's Web site:
<http://wvnm.wvnet.edu/~Jmorgan/index.htm>

c. Date of Public Hearing (s) or Public Comment Period ended:
July 21, 1998

- d. Attach list of persons who appeared at hearing, comments received, amendments, reasons for amendments.

Attached _____ No comments received X

- e. Date you filed in State Register the agency approved proposed Legislative Rule following public hearing: (Be exact)

July 31, 1998

- f. Name, title, address and phone/fax/e-mail numbers of agency person(s) to receive all written correspondence regarding this rule: (Please type)

John H. Johnston, Chief

1558 Washington Street, East

Charleston, WV 25311-2599

Phone: 304-558-4022

Fax: 304-558-3287

E-Mail: internet:"jjohnston@mail.dep.state.wv.us"

- g. **IF DIFFERENT from item 'f'**, please give Name, title, address and phone number (s) of agency person (s) who wrote and/or has responsibility for the contents of this rule: (Please type)

See 'f' above

3. If the statute under which you promulgated the submitted rules requires certain findings and determinations to be made as a condition precedent to their promulgation:

- a. Give the date upon which you filed in the State Register a notice of the time and place of a hearing for the taking of evidence and a general description of the issues to be decided.

N/A

- b. Date of hearing or comment period:

N/A

- c. On what date did you file in the State Register the findings and determinations required together with the reasons therefor?

N/A

- d. Attach findings and determinations and reasons:

Attached N/A

**BUREAU OF ENVIRONMENT
DIVISION OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION**

BRIEFING DOCUMENT

Rule Title: 45CSR33 - "Acid Rain Provisions and Permits"

A. AUTHORITY: W.Va. Code §§22-5-1 et seq. and §§22-18-1 et seq.

B. SUMMARY OF RULE:

Title IV of the federal Clean Air Act, as amended, November 15, 1990, required each state to implement an operating permit system conforming to Title IV and Title V of the Clean Air Act as amended. West Virginia complied by enacting rule 45 CSR 33 "Acid Rain Provisions and Permits" which is an incorporation by reference of the Federal Counterpart Regulation 40 CFR Part 72, and became effective May 1, 1994. The United States Environmental Protection Agency approved West Virginia's Acid Rain Program with its approval of West Virginia's Title V Operating Permit Program effective December 15, 1995. 45 CSR 33 was amended in the 1997 Legislative Session to include all revisions and additions promulgated under 40 CFR Part 72 and related Parts between June, 1995, and June, 1996.

The intent of the revisions contained herein is to update 45 CSR 33 by incorporating all revisions and additions promulgated by the US-EPA between June 1, 1997 and June 1, 1998 under the Federal Counterpart Regulation 40 CFR Part 72 and related Parts. These revisions also contain technical changes that correct errors inadvertently made in the 1998 revisions. The 1998 revisions incorporated 40 CFR Part 78 in error. The wording incorporating Part 78 is removed by these revisions. Part 78 regulations govern the appeals process between affected sources and the US-EPA for Acid Rain issues. None of the provisions of Part 78 apply to the State and incorporation of it would be of no benefit. Officials of the Acid Rain Division of the US-EPA have concurred.

C. STATEMENT OF CIRCUMSTANCES WHICH REQUIRE RULE:

Under the Acid Rain Program promulgated by the United States Environmental Protection Agency, pursuant to Title IV of the Clean Air Act, as amended, no person may construct, modify, or operate or cause to be constructed, modified, or operated, an Acid Rain source in violation of 40 CFR Part 72. The Legislature has previously promulgated 45 CSR 33 pursuant to Title IV by incorporation by reference of a portion of the Federal counterpart regulations. The purpose of this rule amendment is to update 45 CSR 33 to be consistent

with all rules promulgated under pertinent Federal counterpart rules under the Clean Air Act, as amended. This rule amendment will also provide clarity in the exact federal counterparts adopted by the West Virginia rule.

D. FEDERAL COUNTERPART REGULATIONS - INCORPORATION BY REFERENCE/DETERMINATION OF STRINGENCY:

A federal counterpart to this proposed rule exists. In accordance with the Director's recommendation, and with limited exception, the Office of Air Quality proposes that the rule incorporate by reference the federal counterparts.

Because the proposed rule incorporates by reference the federal counterpart, no determination of stringency is required.

E. CONSTITUTIONAL TAKINGS DETERMINATION:

The Director has determined that this rule will not result in taking of private property within the meaning of the Constitutions of West Virginia and the United States of America. The Director further finds that this rule is consistent with the requirements of chapter 22 article 1A of the W.Va. Code.

F. CONSULTATION WITH THE ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION ADVISORY COUNCIL:

At their July 22, 1998 meeting, the Environmental Protection Advisory Council reviewed and discussed this rule - there were no substantive changes as a result of their discussion. (See attached minutes of that meeting.)

MINUTES

DIVISION OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION ADVISORY COUNCIL

July 22, 1998, DIRECTOR'S CONFERENCE ROOM, NITRO HEADQUARTERS

The eleventh meeting of the DEP Advisory Council was held Wednesday, July 22, 1998, in the Director's Conference Room, Nitro Headquarters' Offices. The meeting was called to order at 1:00 p.m. by Chairman Mike Miano.

ATTENDING:

Advisory Council Members:

Michael P. Miano, Chairman
Jacqueline Hallinan
Larry Harris
William Raney
Rick Roberts
William Samples

RECEIVED
98 JUL 31 PM 4:07
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

Environmental Protection:

John Ailes	Jennifer Pauer
John Benedict	Pete Pitsenbarger
Dick Cooke	Ken Politan
Mike Dorsey	Cap Smith
Andy Gallagher	Barb Taylor
Randy Huffman	Karen Watson
Pat Park	Mike Zeto

1) Introduction of A. V. Gallagher, DEP's Chief Communications Officer.

Chairman Miano introduced DEP's new Chief Communications Officer, A. V. Gallagher. Council Members welcomed Mr. Gallagher and wished him well in his new job.

2) Review and Approval of Minutes of April 30, 1998.

The minutes of the April 30 meeting were approved with the correction of two typos. Mr. Roberts brought to everyone's attention the discussion in the April 30 minutes of AML funding issues in the last meeting, and the Council's desire to send letters to West Virginia's Congressional delegation to identify West Virginia's share of the AML funds that need to be

released by the US Congress. Separate letters would go to West Virginia's legislative leadership informing them of the problems created by not having the AML funds available, with a copy of the letters sent to the Congressional delegation attached to them.

Randy Huffman asked if the Council members would like to sign each letter individually. They each expressed their desire to do so. Pat Park, AML, distributed a copy of the draft letters to Council for their review. After several minutes of discussion, it was decided the draft letters would be edited and made available to the Council members for their signature before the end of the meeting.

Mr. Miano said that Pete Pitsenbarger would like a few minutes of the Council's time before they continued with the agenda.

Mr. Pitsenbarger informed the Council of his retirement plans for the end of August. He said he would like to express his heartfelt thanks to the Council for their letter of appreciation they had sent him thanking him for his many years of service to the State of West Virginia. Mr. Pitsenbarger said his years in state government had been a wonderful opportunity, and he will miss both the work and the people.

3) Review of Proposed DEP Rules in Accordance with WV Code §22-1-3(c).

Mr. Miano asked if there were any other issues to be discussed before continuing with the Agenda and the review of DEP proposed rules for the 1999 session.

Mr. Roberts said he would like to express his concern with the approach DEP has taken in involving the Council members in the rulemaking process -- not only this year, but in the past. He said the rules are not sent to the Council members until the last minute and they are not given adequate time to review and comment on them.

It was pointed out that the law [22-1-1(c)] specifically requires the Council to be consulted prior to the proposal of any new rule.

Mr. Raney said he would like to go on record wholeheartedly in agreement with the concerns of Mr. Roberts. He said he has great concerns with giving advice or recommendations to the Director on development of rules (or amendments to rules) the Council members were not involved in before they were filed with the Secretary of State's Office for Public Hearing and Comments. He said he believes there definitely needs to be improvement in this process in the future.

A discussion was then held on possible ways to bring the Council into the rulemaking process in an earlier stage of rule development. Several DEP staff members expressed their frustrations with the rulemaking process, and gave one example as the short period of time between the signature of bills by the Governor and the early filing date of the rules with the

Legislative Rulemaking Review Committee. Another example that was given was turnaround time with federal agencies, i.e., EPA and OSM, which are also involved in the process.

After several minutes of discussion, Mr. Huffman stated, with the Chairman's approval, that he would put together a committee to discuss the Council's concerns and get back to the Council with their recommendations by the first of September.

Mr. Miano also expressed his desire to improve the involvement of the Council in the rulemaking process, and assured the Council that everything possible would be done in the future to comply with the Council's recommendations.

[It should be noted that at this time Ms. Hallinan left the Advisory Council Meeting because of a previously-scheduled commitment].

Continuing with the agenda, Mr. Miano said that staff would be available from each program office to give a brief description of the proposed new rules or rule amendments and to answer any questions the Council members might have. If a question should come up that couldn't be answered during the meeting, we would make note of it and get back with an answer to the Council as soon as possible. The first rule on the agenda is 60CSR4, filed under the Director's Office.

**60CSR4 -"AWARDING OF WEST VIRGINIA STREAM PARTNERS PROGRAM GRANTS
RULE"**

Jennifer Pauer, AML, said 60CSR4 is a new rule that is being proposed by the West Virginia Stream Partners Program to provide requirements and guidance concerning the awarding of grants to broad-based community organizations for watershed improvement projects. Ms. Pauer stated that the program is a joint effort of DEP, Forestry, Natural Resources, and the West Virginia Soil Conservation Agency.

A brief discussion was held concerning the source of the funding, availability of the funds, guidelines for criteria, and possible additional funding. Mr. Samples asked if there could also be additional funding sources, for example contributions from supplemental environmental projects used to offset proposed penalties. Ms. Pauer stated that as the rule now stands, it's only purpose is to distribute the funds, but she would check into the law and let the Council know if language could be added to also implement provisions for additional funding from penalty collections or other sources. Mr. Raney moved to recommend to the Chairman (in his capacity as Director of DEP) the filing of 60CSR4 with the condition that Mr. Samples' recommendation be considered. The motion was seconded and passed unanimously.

The following Air Quality rules were discussed by Karen Watson, OAQ, with assistance from John Benedict, also from the OAQ office:

45CSR33 - "ACID RAIN PROVISIONS AND PERMITS"

45CSR25 - "TO PREVENT AND CONTROL AIR POLLUTION FROM HAZARDOUS WASTE TREATMENT, STORAGE OR DISPOSAL FACILITIES"

45CSR34 - "EMISSION STANDARDS FOR HAZARDOUS AIR POLLUTANTS PURSUANT TO 40 CFR PART 63"

45CSR16 - "STANDARDS OF PERFORMANCE FOR NEW STATIONARY SOURCES PURSUANT TO 40 CFR PART 60"

45CSR24 - "TO PREVENT AND CONTROL EMISSIONS FROM HOSPITAL/MEDICAL/INFECTIOUS WASTE INCINERATORS"

45CSR36 - "CONFORMITY TO STATE OR FEDERAL IMPLEMENTATION PLANS OF TRANSPORTATION PLANS, PROGRAMS, AND PROJECTS DEVELOPED, FUNDED OR APPROVED UNDER TITLE 23 U.S.C. TO THE FEDERAL TRANSIT LAWS, TO APPLICABLE AIR QUALITY IMPLEMENTATION PLANS (TRANSPORTATION CONFORMITY)"

45CSR8 - "AMBIENT AIR QUALITY STANDARDS FOR SULFUR OXIDES AND PARTICULATE MATTER"

45CSR9 - "RULES PERTAINING TO AMBIENT AIR QUALITY STANDARDS FOR CARBON MONOXIDE AND OZONE"

Ms. Watson gave the Council a brief explanation of the proposed OAQ rules. She explained that seven rules (45CSR33, 25, 34, 16, 36, 8, and 9) were being amended to conform to federal regulations, and 45CSR24 is a proposed new rule to adopt federal New Source Performance Standards for new and existing hospital/medical/infectious waste incinerators. This rule also incorporates by reference the federal standards, with limited exception.

Mr. Raney said he had always questioned the validity of the Appendix B Fiscal Note that is attached to each rule that is filed; in particular the section that relates to the effect of the proposed rule which usually contains all zeros.

John Benedict explained that is a question that has come up several times in the past, and the agency has always taken the position that the numbers are reflective of the cost to the state of implementing the new rule or the proposed amendments to an existing rule.

After a brief question and answer session of the OAQ rules, Mr. Raney moved that recommendation be made to the Director that all eight (8) rules be filed, as proposed, with the exception that 45CSR34, subdivision 4.1.b, be revised to clarify that 45CSR30 includes certain requirements relating to Section 112(r) of the Clean Air Act. The motion was seconded and passed unanimously by the Council.

38CSR2 - "SURFACE MINING AND RECLAMATION RULE"

John Ailes, Chief of OMR, said the amendments to this year's submission of the Surface Mining and Reclamation rule were few in number. Two new definitions were added,

“mountaintop mining operation” and “area mining operation,” and only minor cleanup to correct typographical errors and update and clarify other sections within the rule. Mr. Raney moved recommendation be made to the Chairman that the rule be filed as proposed. The motion was seconded and passed unanimously by the Council.

Mike Dorsey and Dick Cooke from the Office of Waste Management described the following Waste Management rules:

33CSR20 - “HAZARDOUS WASTE MANAGEMENT RULE”

33CSR1 - “SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT RULE”

33CSR2 - “SEWAGE SLUDGE MANAGEMENT RULE”

Mike Dorsey explained the amendments to 33CSR20 - Hazardous Waste Management Rule. Along with other federally-required amendments to this rule, Section 11 contains a major rewrite to conform with the federal requirements of 40 CFR Part 124.

Mr. Samples asked why it takes up to 120 days to receive approval from the state to exclude a waste at a particular generating facility when EPA has already approved the petition - could the time be changed from 120 days to 60 days?

Cap Smith, Chief, OWM, said the time of 60 to 120 days is just a general number. He stated that he didn't see a problem with changing the time from 120 days to 60 days. He said it rarely takes anywhere close to that time to approve or deny the petition - it is usually taken care of in a matter of days.

There was some discussion as to whether this amendment should be proposed in this legislative session. The Council decided to wait until the rule is modified and filed in the 2000 Legislative Session to propose the amendment.

Dick Cooke, OWM, briefed the Council on 33CSR1. He said the revision is necessary to ensure consistency between the WV Code and 33CSR1. The emergency rule will establish criteria in determining a commercial solid waste facility's monthly tonnage limits, as required by Chapter 22, Article 15, as amended by Senate Bill No. 178.

Mike Zeto, Environmental Enforcement Office, then discussed 33CSR2 and explained the proposed emergency rule is necessary to update the Sewage Sludge Management rule to comply with mandates of Senate Bill 178. The revisions and inclusions are necessary to ensure consistency between the WV Code and 33CSR2. The emergency rule will also impose new requirements relating to the management of sewage sludge as required by Chapter 22, Article 15, as amended by Senate Bill 178, specifically as it relates to the control of off-site odors, and the protection of waters of the state.

After discussion was completed on the Waste Management rules, Mr. Raney moved to recommend that the Chairman file the Waste rules as proposed. The motion was seconded and passed unanimously.

The following Office of Water Resources rules were reviewed by Barb Taylor and Ken Politan.

47CSR31 - "STATE WATER POLLUTION CONTROL REVOLVING FUND"

47CSR33 - "STATE CONSTRUCTION GRANTS PROGRAM RULE"

47CSR3 - "POLLUTION PREVENTION AND COMPLIANCE ASSISTANCE"

47CSR4 - "STATE CERTIFICATION OF ACTIVITIES REQUIRING NATIONWIDE PERMITS NO. 21 AND NO. 26"

Barb Taylor, Chief, OWR, explained the amendments contained in 47CSR31, 33, and 3. She said 47CSR31 is being amended to comply with the latest revisions of the Clean Water Act and current design practices; 47CSR33 is a proposed new rule which allows DEP to make grants to communities to provide adequate wastewater collection and/or treatment services; and 47CSR3 is a new proposed rule to implement the provisions of HB 4693 passed during the 1998 Session to promote pollution prevention by encouraging reduction or elimination of pollutants at the source through process modification, material substitution, in-process recycling, reduction of raw material use or other source reduction opportunities.

Mr. Roberts asked if the proposed amendments to prohibit the use of closed-vessel ultraviolet disinfection and inverted siphons in "Appendix B" under "Design Standards for Collection Systems and Treatment Works" is one recommended by EPA or by the state.

Ms. Taylor replied that she would need to check with Bob Coontz in Water Resources' Construction Assistance Office who drafted the proposed rule amendments, and have Mr. Coontz get back with Mr. Roberts with an answer as soon as possible.

The last rule to be addressed by the Council, 47CSR4, was reviewed by Ken Politan from the Office of Mining and Reclamation. Ken stated that this is a new proposed rule that will establish a water certification program for surface mining operations and will implement the provisions of SB 145 passed during the 1998 Session.

Mr. Raney asked if implementation of this rule was specifically mandated in SB 145.

Mr. Politan said no, but the agency felt the proposed rule is needed to give some guidance in the implementation of the Senate bill.

Mr. Raney voiced his concern over the rule. He said he did not believe it was a workable

rule, and he had received several comments from others indicating the same concerns. He said that one of his biggest objections to the proposed rule was lack of input from outside DEP when the rule was written. Mr. Raney stated that SB 145 indicates the Director shall confer with representatives of the surface coal mining industry and representatives of environmental organizations who have an interest in water quality, before such a manual is developed and DEP staff did not do this.

After several minutes of discussion concerning 47CSR4, Mr. Raney made a motion to recommend that the Chairman file 47CSR3, 31, and 33 as proposed, with the condition that Mr. Roberts' questions are addressed in 47CSR31; that the comment period be extended after the end of the public hearing for 47CSR4, and any actions to implement the proposed rule be delayed until such time as a more workable rule can be drafted with the opportunity for input from interested parties. The motion was seconded and passed with a 3 to 1 vote.

Due to the length of time taken to review the proposed rules, there was no open discussion by the Council members. The meeting was adjourned at 5:15 p.m.

APPENDIX B

FISCAL NOTE FOR PROPOSED RULES

Rule Title: 45CSR33 - Acid Rain Provisions and Permits

Type of Rule: X Legislative Interpretive Procedural

Agency: Office of Air Quality

Address: 1558 Washington Street, East

Charleston, WV 25311-2599

1. Effect of Proposed Rule	Annual		Fiscal Year		
	Increase	Decrease	Current	Next	There- after
	\$ -0-	\$ -0-	\$ -0-	\$ -0-	\$ -0-
Estimated Total Cost	\$ -0-	\$ -0-	\$ -0-	\$ -0-	\$ -0-
Personal Services	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-
Current Expense	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-
Repairs and Alterations	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-
Equipment	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-
Other	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-

2. Explanation of above estimates: Costs incurred are covered under the budget estimate for implementing the Clean Air Act, as amended, under 45CSR30 promulgated by the Legislature during the 1994 Session.

3. Objectives of these rules: This rule, originally promulgated by the 1994 Legislature, establishes general provisions and the operating permit program requirements for affected sources and affected units under the Acid Rain Program promulgated by the United States Environmental Protection Agency under Title IV of the Clean Air Act, as amended. Rule 45CSR33 was enacted by incorporating the Federal counterpart rule at 40 CFR Part 72 and related parts. These revisions are to incorporate appropriate Federal revisions promulgated between June 1, 1997 and June 1, 1998.

4. Explanation of Overall Economic Impact of Proposed Rule.
A. Economic Impact on State Government.

See Section 2.

- B. Economic Impact on Political Subdivisions; Specific Industries; Specific groups of Citizens.

No impact above that resulting from the currently applicable federal requirements.

- C. Economic Impact on Citizens/Public at Large.

No impact above that resulting from the currently applicable federal requirements.

Date:

Signature of Agency Head or Authorized Representative

WEST VIRGINIA
SECRETARY OF STATE
KEN HECHLER
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW DIVISION

FORM #1

Do Not Mark In This Box

FILED

JUN 17 9 52 AM '98

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF STATE
SECRETARY OF STATE

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING ON A PROPOSED RULE

AGENCY: Division of Environmental Protection, Office of Air Quality TITLE NUMBER: 45

RULE TYPE: Legislative; CITE AUTHORITY W. Va. Code §§22-5-1 et seq.

AMENDMENT TO AN EXISTING RULE: YES X NO

IF YES, SERIES NUMBER OF RULE BEING AMENDED: 33

TITLE OF RULE BEING AMENDED: "Acid Rain Provisions and Permits"

IF NO, SERIES NUMBER OF NEW RULE BEING PROPOSED:

TITLE OF RULE BEING PROPOSED:

RECEIVED

JUN 17 1998

~~Legislative Rule Making~~
~~Review Committee~~

DATE OF PUBLIC HEARING: July 21, 1998 TIME: 6:00 p.m.

LOCATION OF PUBLIC HEARING: Office of Air Quality
1558 Washington Street East
Charleston, WV 25311-2599

COMMENTS LIMITED TO: ORAL , WRITTEN , BOTH X

COMMENTS MAY ALSO BE MAILED TO THE FOLLOWING ADDRESS: John H. Johnston, Chief


The Department requests that persons wishing to make
comments at the hearing make an effort to submit written
comments in order to facilitate the review of these comments.

Office Air Quality
1558 Washington Street East

The issues to be heard shall be limited to the proposed rule.

Charleston, WV 25311-2599

ATTACH A BRIEF SUMMARY OF YOUR PROPOSAL





CECIL H. UNDERWOOD
GOVERNOR

BUREAU OF ENVIRONMENT
10 McJunkin Road
Nitro, WV 25143-2506

Michael P. Miano
COMMISSIONER

June 15, 1998

Ms. Judy Cooper
Director, Administrative Law Division
Office of the Secretary of State
Capitol Complex
Charleston, West Virginia 25305


RE: 45CSR33 - "Acid Rain Provisions and Permits"

Dear Ms. Cooper:

This is to advise that I am giving approval to file the above-referenced rule with your office as notice of public hearing/comment period.

Your cooperation in this regard is very much appreciated. If you have any questions or require additional information, please contact Carrie Chambers in my office at 759-0515.

Sincerely yours,


Michael P. Miano
Commissioner

MPM:cc

cc: Carrie Chambers
Karen Watson, OAQ

45CSR33

TITLE 45
LEGISLATIVE RULE
DIVISION OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION
OFFICE OF AIR QUALITY

SERIES 33
ACID RAIN PROVISIONS AND PERMITS

§45-33-1. General.

1.1 Scope. - This rule establishes general provisions and the operating permit program requirements for affected sources and affected units under the Acid Rain Program promulgated by the United States Environmental Protection Agency under Title IV of the Clean Air Act, as amended. It is the intent of the Director to adopt these standards by reference. It is also the intent of the Director to adopt associated reference methods, performance specifications and other test methods which are appended to these standards.

1.2. Authority. - W. Va. Code §§22-5-1 et seq.

1.3. Filing Date. - ~~May 1, 1998.~~

1.4. Effective Date. - ~~May 1, 1998.~~

1.5. Incorporation by Reference - Federal Counterpart Regulation. - The Director has determined that a federal counterpart regulation exists, and in accordance with the Director's recommendation this rule incorporates by reference the following provisions: 40 CFR Part 72, "Permits Regulation"; 40 CFR Part 74, "Sulfur Dioxide Opt-Ins"; 40 CFR Part 75, "Continuous Emissions Monitoring"; 40 CFR Part 76, "Nitrogen Oxides Reduction Program"; and 40 CFR Part 77, "Excess Emissions"; and 40 CFR Part 78, "Appeals Procedure for Acid Rain Program"; as in effect on June 1, 1997 effective July 1, 1997, as amended by the Federal Register through June 1, 1998.

§45-33-2. Requirements.

2.1. No person may construct, modify, or operate or cause to be constructed, modified, or operated an Acid Rain source which results or will result in a violation of this rule.

§45-33-3. Definitions.

3.1 "Administrator" shall mean the Administrator of the United States Environmental Protection Agency.

3.2 "Director" shall mean the Director of the West Virginia Division of Environmental Protection or his or her designated representative.

3.3 "Permitting Authority" shall mean the West Virginia Division of Environmental Protection.

§45-33-4. Adoption of Standards.

4.1 The Director hereby adopts and incorporates by reference the following provisions of the United States Environmental Protection Agency Acid Rain Program ~~in effect on June 1, 1997 effective July 1, 1997, as amended by the Federal Register through June 1, 1998:~~ 40 CFR Part 72, "Permits Regulation", including all Subparts and Appendices; 40 CFR Part 74, "Sulfur Dioxide Opt-Ins", including all Subparts; 40 CFR Part 75, "Continuous Emissions Monitoring", including all Subparts and Appendices; 40 CFR Part 76, "Nitrogen Oxides Emissions Reduction Program", including all Appendices; and 40 CFR Part 77, "Excess Emissions"; ~~and 40 CFR Part 78, "Appeals Procedures for Acid Rain Program".~~ These provisions are adopted for the purposes of implementing an acid rain program that meets the requirements of Title IV of the federal Clean Air Act, as amended.

§45-33-5. Inconsistency Between Rules.

The provisions of this rule shall not be construed as exempting persons subject to this rule from compliance with any other provisions of the Clean Air Act, including the provisions of Title I of the Clean Air Act relating to applicable National Ambient Air Quality Standards, the State Implementation Plan, or any other rules of the West Virginia Division of Environmental Protection, except as expressly provided under Title IV of the Clean Air Act; provided however, that in the event of any inconsistency between the provisions of this rule and any provisions of 45CSR30, the provisions of this rule shall take precedence and shall govern the issuance, denial, revision, reopening, renewal, and appeal of the Acid Rain provision of an operating permit.

Friday
October 24, 1997

Part II

**Environmental
Protection Agency**

40 CFR Part 9, et al.

Acid Rain Program: Revisions to Permits,
Allowance System, Sulfur Dioxide Opt-
Ins, Continuous Emission Monitoring,
Excess Emissions, and Appeal
Procedures; Final Rule

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Parts 9, 72, 73, 74, 75, 77, and 78

[FRL-5908-5]

RIN 2060-AF43

Acid Rain Program: Revisions to Permits, Allowance System, Sulfur Dioxide Opt-Ins, Continuous Emission Monitoring, Excess Emissions, and Appeal Procedures

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: Title IV of the Clean Air Act (the Act) authorizes the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA or Agency) to establish the Acid Rain Program. The purpose of the Acid Rain Program is to significantly reduce emissions of sulfur dioxide and nitrogen oxides from utility electric generating plants in order to reduce the adverse health and ecological impacts of acidic deposition (or acid rain) resulting from such emissions. On January 11 and March 23, 1993, the Agency promulgated final rules governing permitting, the allowance system, continuous emissions monitoring, excess emissions, and appeal procedures. On December 27, 1996, the Agency published proposed revisions to those rules, most of which revisions are addressed in today's final rule.

After considering its experience in applying the Acid Rain Program rules since 1993, the Agency believes that the permitting, excess emissions, and appeal procedures rules (as well as minor aspects of the monitoring rule) can be streamlined and improved in order to reduce the burden on utilities, State and local permitting authorities, and EPA. Today's final rule revisions streamline the Acid Rain Program while still ensuring achievement of its statutory goals of reducing sulfur dioxide and nitrogen oxides emissions. In addition, EPA is revising the sulfur dioxide allowances for one unit. Each allowance authorizes the emission of one ton of sulfur dioxide. Under the Acid Rain Program, utility units (i.e., fossil fuel-fired boilers or turbines) are allocated annual allowances and must not emit sulfur dioxide in excess of the amount authorized by the allowances that they hold. Today's final rule revises one unit's allowances pursuant to a settlement agreement. In a future final action, EPA will act on the other allowance revisions that were set forth in the December 27, 1996 proposed rule.

EFFECTIVE DATE: November 24, 1997.

ADDRESSES: Docket No. A-95-56, containing the information used to develop the final rule, is available for public inspection and copying from 8:30 a.m. to 12 p.m. and 1 p.m. to 3:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding federal holidays, at EPA's Air Docket Section (6102), Waterside Mall, Room M1500, 1st Floor, 401 M Street, SW, Washington, DC 20460. Additional information concerning the original rules and today's final revisions is found in Docket Nos. A-90-38 (permits), A-91-43 and A-92-06 (allowances), A-90-51 (continuous emissions monitoring), A-91-68 (excess emissions), A-91-69 (general), and A-93-15 (appeals). A reasonable fee may be charged for copying.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dwight C. Alpern, Attorney-advisor, at (202) 233-9151 (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M Street, SW, Acid Rain Division (6204J), Washington, DC 20460); or the Acid Rain Hotline at (202) 233-9620.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**Regulated Entities**

Entities potentially regulated by this action are fossil-fuel fired boilers or turbines that serve generators producing electricity for sale. Regulated categories and entities include:

Category	Examples of regulated entities
Industry	Electric service providers.

This table is not intended to be exhaustive, but rather provides a guide for readers regarding entities likely to be regulated by this action. This table lists the types of entities that EPA is now aware could potentially be regulated by this action. Other types of entities not listed in the table could also be regulated. To determine whether your facility is regulated by this action, you should carefully examine the applicability criteria in § 72.6 and the exemptions in §§ 72.7, 72.8, and 72.14 of title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations. If you have questions regarding the applicability of this action to a particular entity, consult the persons listed in the preceding **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section.

Organization. The information in this preamble is organized as follows:

- I. General
- II. Part 72: Applicability of and Exemptions From Acid Rain Program
 - A. Applicability
 - B. Exemptions
 - 1. New Units Exemption
 - 2. Retired Units Exemption

- 3. Industrial Utility-Units Exemption
- III. Part 72: Interaction of Acid Rain Permitting and Title V
 - A. Relationship Between Acid Rain Rules and Parts 70 and 71
 - B. State Authority to Administer and Enforce Acid Rain Permits
 - C. Required Elements for State Acid Rain Program
- IV. Part 72: Miscellaneous Permitting Matters
 - A. Definitions
 - B. Designated Representative
 - C. Compliance Plans
 - D. Federal Permit Issuance
 - E. Permit Revision
 - F. Reduced Utilization Accounting
- V. Part 73: Allowances
 - A. Allowance Tables
 - B. Small Diesel Refinery Provisions
- VI. Part 75: Monitoring of Units Burning Digester or Landfill Gas
- VII. Part 77: Excess Emissions
- VIII. Part 78: Administrative Appeals
- IX. Administrative Requirements
 - A. Executive Order 12866
 - B. Unfunded Mandates Act
 - C. Paperwork Reduction Act
 - D. Regulatory Flexibility
 - E. Submission to Congress and the General Accounting Office
 - F. Miscellaneous

I. General

A significant number of the revisions in the December 27, 1996 proposal did not receive any comment. Most of the proposed revisions, including all on which comment was received, are discussed in this preamble. Unless otherwise stated below, revisions are adopted in today's final rule for the reasons discussed in the proposal.

II. Part 72: Applicability of and Exemptions From Acid Rain Program**A. Applicability**

The proposal included two types of revisions of the existing rule. First, the definition of "power purchase commitment" was revised to extend to three years the period by which a letter of intent must have resulted in execution of a power sales agreement. Second, minor revisions were made to the procedure for petitioning for a determination by the Administrator on the applicability of the Acid Rain Program to a unit. Supportive comment was received on the first revisions, and no comment was received on the second. The revisions are therefore adopted in today's rule.

B. Exemptions**1. New Units Exemption**

Section 72.7 of the existing rule provides an exemption from most Acid Rain Program requirements for new units that serve generators with a total capacity of 25 MWe or less and that combust clean fuels. The proposal made

several types of revisions in order to streamline the new units exemption. With the changes discussed below,¹ revisions are adopted in today's rule for the reasons discussed here and in the proposal.

First, the requirement for the combustion of clean fuels at the unit was revised in the proposal. While the existing rule requires that only fuel with a sulfur content 0.05 percent or less by weight be combusted, the proposal stated that the unit must burn gaseous fuel with an annual average sulfur content of 0.05 percent or less by weight and nongaseous fuel with an annual average sulfur content of 0.05 percent or less by weight. Commenters supported the revisions and explained that the existing rule was unduly restrictive. These revisions are adopted in today's rule.

The proposal also set forth revised procedures for determining annual average sulfur content by weight for gaseous and nongaseous fuel. The proposal eliminated provisions mandating the use of listed methods for measuring sulfur content but included provisions concerning the required frequency of sampling. The proposal provided explicitly that the owners and operators of the unit bear the burden of proving compliance with the sulfur content requirement. Commenters supported these revisions but suggested that EPA state that any "recognized industry standard such as an ASTM method would be acceptable." Commenters also urged that the rule state that a unit that burns only diesel fuel meeting the requirements of diesel fuel for motor vehicles be assumed to meet the limits on sulfur content of fuel.

The proposed revisions are adopted in today's rule. Under the final rule, since methods for measuring sulfur content are not specified, the Agency will evaluate on a case-by-case basis the information provided by the owners and operators of a unit on sulfur content. In order to ensure that owners and

operators understand that they must use a reasonable method to determine sulfur content, the final rule adopts language from section 412 concerning emission monitoring and states that the method of determining sulfur content must provide information that is reasonably precise, reliable, accessible, and timely. EPA anticipates that owners and operators will meet their burden of proof by using a method that is generally recognized in the industry (such as the applicable ASTM method set forth in the existing rule), is applicable to the unit, and is consistent with the other provisions (e.g., sampling frequency requirements) of today's rule.

Further, EPA recognizes that diesel fuel for motor vehicles is required under § 80.29 to have a sulfur content of 0.05% by weight. Commenters have suggested that such diesel fuel should be assumed to meet the sulfur content limit without any testing. One commenter stated that the testing by the unit owner was burdensome and duplicative of testing by the fuel supplier.

However, not all diesel fuel is required to meet the sulfur content limit; only diesel fuel for use in motor vehicles must meet the limit under § 80.29. 40 CFR 80.29(a)(1)(i). A significant amount of diesel fuel is produced for other uses (e.g., as fuel for electric generation by utilities) has a higher sulfur content than mandated for diesel fuel for motor vehicles. *Petroleum Supply Annual 1996*, Vol. 1 at 51, Table 17 (Energy Information Administration, June 1997) (indicating that about 37% of 1996 U.S. distillate production (which is primarily diesel fuel as defined in § 72.2) had a sulfur content above 0.05% by weight).² Moreover, the higher-sulfur diesel fuel is used by many utility units that combust diesel fuel. For example, during 1996 and the first half of 1997, diesel fuel with a sulfur content of 0.05% or less by weight accounted for only about 13% of the total heat input for affected units that used diesel fuel and were required to report the sulfur content of their fuel to EPA. Most of the diesel fuel used had a much higher sulfur content; diesel fuel with more than twice the sulfur content (i.e., over 0.10% sulfur by weight) accounted for about 81% of such total heat input.³

In contrast, virtually all commercially available natural gas in the U.S. has sulfur content at or below 0.05% by weight. Because of the toxic effects of

hydrogen sulfide and its corrosive effect on pipeline and customer equipment, pipelines generally provide pipeline transportation or distribution service only for natural gas with a very low hydrogen sulfide content (e.g., 0.25 to 0.30 grain per 100 standard cubic feet), which results in total sulfur content far below 0.05% by weight. See, e.g., H. Dale Beggs, *Gas Production Operations* at 204-5, 209-11, and 227 (1984); and 49 CFR 192.475(c) (provision, in U.S. Department of Transportation minimum safety standards for natural gas pipelines, limiting the hydrogen sulfide content of gas "stored in pipe-type or bottle-type holders" to 0.25 grain per 100 standard cubic feet).

Since diesel fuel is widely available that does not meet the sulfur content limit, diesel fuel must be treated like any other fuel that is combusted at an exempt new unit and that could potentially exceed the limit. The owners and operators of the unit combusting the fuel must demonstrate that the limit is being met using the results of reliable testing methods consistent with the sampling and other requirements of today's rule. Of course, under today's rule, the owners and operators are not required to conduct the testing themselves. EPA will consider testing by fuel suppliers in determining whether the owners and operators have met their burden of proof.

Second, the proposal streamlined the procedure for obtaining a new units exemption and reduced the burden imposed by the procedure on owners and operators and permitting authorities. The existing rule required owners and operators of a unit to submit an application and for permitting authorities to provide notice and opportunity for comment before issuing an exemption. The proposal made the obtaining of an exemption largely automatic so long as the capacity, annual fuel use, and recordkeeping requirements are met. Under the proposal, owners and operators of a unit meeting these requirements must submit a statement to the permitting authority (and, if EPA is not the permitting authority, to EPA) that the unit meets, and will continue to meet, the requirements for the exemption. The proposal states that a new units exemption is effective on January 1 of the first full calendar year for which the unit meets the exemption requirements and that the statement must be submitted by December 31 of such year. In short, where the end-of-year submission deadline and other requirements for an exemption are met, the exemption will cover the entire year in which the submission was made. The

¹ In addition, today's rule adds language clarifying that the requirement that a unit serve one or more generators with total nameplate capacity of 25 MWe or less during the period of the exemption does not apply to the time before the unit commenced commercial operation. Today's rule also adds language, under "Special Provisions", to reiterate the fact (reflected in § 72.7(a)) that an exempt unit must continue to meet the requirements (e.g., the sulfur content limits for its fuels) throughout the duration of the exemption. Another addition in today's rule is language clarifying that when the exemption is lost, the unit must comply with Acid Rain permitting and monitoring requirements, starting after the loss of the exemption (e.g., starting on the first date on which the unit is no longer exempt). Similar language is added to the retired units and industrial utility-units exemption provisions.

² Relatively little distillate fuel oil is imported into the U.S., and most of it has a sulfur content exceeding 0.05%. *Id.* at 55, Table 20.

³ Report to Docket: Diesel Fuel Use of Units Required to Use Fuel Sampling Under part 75, appendix D (September 16, 1997).

proposed revisions are adopted in today's rule.

The proposal established some additional procedures for the relatively few new units that were allocated allowances.⁴ The owners and operators of such units must submit a statement (similar to the one for units without allocations) stating that the owners and operators are surrendering the allowances, and proceeds from the auction of allowances, starting with the first year for which the unit is exempt. Under the proposal, the exemption for a unit allocated allowances is effective on January 1 of the first year for which the Administrator actually deducts the full allowance allocation and actually receives the full amount of auction proceeds. Commenters contended that this "unfairly" makes the exemption contingent on an event (i.e., the deduction of allowances) beyond the control of the owners and operators. Allegedly, the exemption should be contingent only on submission of the statement surrendering allowances and proceeds.

EPA notes that the only issue is the date on which the exemption becomes final. Once the Administrator actually makes the necessary allowance deductions and receives the proceeds, the exemption runs starting from January 1 of the year for which the unit meets the requirements (e.g., fuel sulfur limits and allowance and proceeds surrender) for this exemption. The difficulty with making the exemption effective when the surrender statement is submitted is that there is no guarantee that the unit's allowance account actually has sufficient allowances to deduct or that the proceeds are actually available to and received by the Administrator.

In order to ensure that the actual deduction of allowances in the unit's Allowance Tracking System account is not unduly delayed, the final rule requires that, within 5 business days of receiving the owners' and operators' surrender statement, the Administrator either makes the allowance deductions or notifies the owners and operators that there are insufficient allowances for the deductions. This is the same period of time in which, under §§ 73.52 and 73.53, the Administrator must act on an allowance transfer request. The

⁴ While the proposal referred to allowances allocated under Table 2 or 3 of subpart B of part 73, today's rule simply refers to allowances allocated under that subpart. Under part 73 as currently organized, all allocations to new units are included in the tables. Since in the future EPA may reorganize the allowance allocation information that is currently presented in two separate tables, today's rule adopts a more general reference to new-unit allowance allocations.

approach adopted in today's rule accommodates both the concern that the necessary number of allowances actually be available for deduction before the exemption is effective and the concern that the effectiveness of the exemption not be unnecessarily delayed.

Finally, the proposal provided that a unit with a new units exemption is not an "affected unit" and so does not need an operating permit under part 70 or 71 unless such a permit is required because non-title IV, federal requirements applicable to the unit. See 61 FR 68343. However, for the case where, because of non-title IV requirements, the source at which the unit is located has or must have an operating permit, the proposal did not exclude the new units exemption from the general requirement to incorporate applicable federal requirements in the operating permit. See 42 U.S.C. 7661a(b)(5)(A) and 7661c(a). The final rule adopts the proposed provision and makes it clear that if, because of non-title IV requirements, an operating permit is issued to the source, the new units exemption must be reflected in that operating permit. In particular, after the actions necessary for the new units exemption to take effect have been completed (e.g., the receipt by the permitting authority of a statement of exemption by the owners and operators of the unit and the notification by the Administrator that he or she has deducted any allowances, and received any allowance proceeds, required to be surrendered), the permitting authority must add the provisions and ongoing requirements of the exemption to any operating permit that covers the source at which the unit is located. Consistent with the elimination of the requirement for notice and comment on a new unit's exemption, the addition of the exemption to the permit is an administrative amendment. A written new units exemption issued under the existing rule prior to revision by today's rule must similarly be added to any operating permit.

Under this approach, the exemption alone will not result in issuance of an operating permit, but, if an operating permit would be issued for the source in any event, that operating permit will include the ongoing requirements imposed on the unit under the exemption. This approach reasonably implements the concept that an operating permit should include the applicable federal requirements for a source. For the same reasons, analogous provisions are included in today's rule with regard to the retired units

exemption and the industrial-utility units exemption.

2. Retired Units Exemption

Section 72.8 of the existing rule provides an exemption from Acid Rain Program requirements for retired units. The proposal made several types of revisions in order to streamline this retired units exemption. First, while the existing rule required owners and operators of a unit to submit an application for the exemption and for permitting authorities to provide public notice and opportunity for comment before issuing a final exemption, the proposal made the obtaining of an exemption largely automatic so long as the unit is permanently retired. Second, the proposal clarified that the exemption applies to most Acid Rain Program requirements.

No comments were received on these proposed revisions. In order to make it clear that only Phase I or Phase II units, and not opt-in units under part 74, are eligible for the retired units exemption, today's rule states that the exemption applies to "any affected unit (except for an opt-in source)". This exclusion of opt-in sources is consistent with the existing provisions of part 74 that impose separate requirements with regard to permanent shutdown of opt-in sources. See, e.g., 40 CFR 74.46. In addition, to provide flexibility where a retired unit has no allowance allocations and has not selected a designated representative, the final rule allows a certifying official to submit notice of the exemption to the permitting authority. For the reasons discussed here and in the proposal, the revisions, as modified, are adopted in today's rule.

3. Industrial Utility-Units Exemption

Scope of Exemption. In the proposal EPA established a new exemption for certain industrial units that generate only incidental amounts of electricity for sale. As explained in detail in the preamble of the proposal, "utility units" (the entities subject to the Acid Rain SO₂ emission limitation and other requirements of the Acid Rain Program) include, with certain exceptions, any unit serving a generator that produced electricity for sale any time starting in 1985. With certain exceptions (e.g., for cogenerators), an industrial unit serving a generator that produced any amount of electricity for sale (referred to hereinafter as simply an "industrial utility-unit")⁵ is an affected unit under

⁵ The proposal referred to these units as simply "industrial units". In order to minimize confusion between these units and industrial boilers not used

the Acid Rain Program regardless of the amount of the sale relative to the total generation by the generator and whether or not the sale is to the general public or to a public utility for resale to the public. Moreover, the requirement to hold allowances to cover SO₂ emissions and to meet any applicable NO_x emission limitation under the Acid Rain Program applies to all emissions from the unit, not simply the portion that might be attributed to generation of the electricity sold.

Despite the applicability of the requirement to hold allowances, EPA has not allocated allowances to industrial utility-units that might have qualified for allowance allocations under section 405 of the Act, including some units whose owners submitted timely comments relating to allowance allocations. On March 23, 1993, EPA issued notices stating that such industrial utility-units would not be included in the National Allowance Database, on which allowance allocations are based, because EPA "believe[d]" that the units were not affected units. 58 FR 15720, 15727 (1993). On the same date, EPA also issued a final allowance allocation list that allocated allowances only to units then "believed" to be affected units. 58 FR 15634, 15641 (1993). EPA stated that no allowances would be allocated to units that were subsequently determined to be, or that subsequently became, affected units. *Id.*

In light of these circumstances, EPA proposed a limited exemption from the Acid Rain Program for industrial utility-units that served, any time starting in 1985, a generator that produced electricity for sale. First, the industrial utility-unit must have no owner or operator of which the principal business is electricity sale, transmission, or distribution or that is a public utility subject to State or local utility regulation.⁶ Such unit must not be a cogeneration unit since cogeneration units already are covered by an express exemption in the title IV. Further, on or before March 23, 1993, the owners or operators of the unit must have entered into an interconnection agreement (and any related power purchase agreement)

in generation of electricity for sale, and because generation of electricity for sale makes industrial units "utility units" under title IV, the final rule refers to the units as "industrial utility-units".

⁶ In order to prevent the requirement from being circumvented through the position of the owner or operator in the corporate structure, the proposal stated that no owner or operator, subsidiary or affiliate or parent company of the owner or operator, or combination thereof could have such a principal business. Consistent with this approach, the final rule also applies this to any division of the owner or operator.

with a public utility requiring that the generator served by the unit produce electricity for sale only for incidental sales of electricity to that public utility. Moreover, in 1985 and any year thereafter, the generator served by the unit must have actually produced only incidental electricity sales for the utility, as required under the interconnection agreement and any related power purchase agreement. Incidental sales were defined as sales not exceeding the lesser of 10 percent of the generating output capacity of the generator or 10 percent of the actual annual electric output of the generator.

The proposal established a petition and notice-and-comment procedure for owners or operators to apply for the exemption and for the Agency to review and approve or disapprove the exemption. If, after approval of the exemption, any of the conditions for obtaining the exemption are no longer met, the exemption terminates automatically. The proposal, as changed below, is adopted for the reasons discussed here and in the proposal.⁷

All parties commenting on the new industrial utility-units exemption supported the concept of such an exemption. However, these commenters objected to various, specific provisions. First, commenters claimed that EPA should "totally" exempt industrial utility-units without regard to the amount of electricity sold by an industrial utility-unit and/or without regard to whether the unit was contractually obligated to sell electricity on or before March 23, 1993. Allegedly, industrial utility-units not qualifying for the exemption will incur significant costs "not related" to the objectives of title IV. It was argued that if industrial utility-units that cannot meet the criteria of the rule are not exempt, "agreements" providing for sales by industrial utility-units to utilities may be "discontinued", forcing utilities to "look elsewhere for their emergency and backup power needs." It was also argued that the costs of complying with the Acid Rain Program "will exceed the benefits of the limited reductions to be

⁷ In the proposal, EPA relied on the *Report For Docket: Industrial Units* (October 31, 1996). In the report, EPA estimated the number of industrial utility-units in the U.S. that may qualify for an industrial utility-units exemption under § 72.14 and their total annual SO₂ and NO_x emissions. One commenter asserted that the report overestimated the emissions for two units owned by the commenter. Assuming the accuracy of the commenter's emission estimates, the total annual SO₂ and NO_x emissions estimates for industrial utility-units are reduced by about 10%, i.e., to about 41,000 tons of SO₂ and 17,000 tons of NO_x. This is not a significant change and does not affect EPA's determinations concerning the industrial utility-units exemption.

achieved by the regulations" since the estimated amount of SO₂ emissions is small relative to the annual 8.95 million ton cap for utility units. Since industrial utility-units are allegedly subject to the nationwide cap of 5.60 million tons on total annual SO₂ emissions by "industrial sources", regulation of industrial utility-units under the existing Acid Rain regulations was claimed to be unnecessary.

However, EPA begins with the fact, undisputed by any commenter, that Congress included non-cogeneration industrial utility-units in the Acid Rain Program and thus under the annual 8.95 million ton cap for SO₂ emissions and under applicable NO_x emission limitations. See 61 FR 68344. Further, although the preamble of the proposal stated that industrial utility-units are also under the 5.60 million ton cap for "industrial sources" under section 406(b) of the Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990, EPA now believes, on further consideration, that industrial utility-units (which served, any time starting in 1985, a generator that produced electricity for sale) are not covered by the latter cap.

Section 406(b) of the Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990 states that if SO₂ emissions from "industrial sources" * * * may reasonably be expected to reach levels greater than 5.60 million tons per year, the Administrator may take actions "to ensure that such emission do not exceed" the cap. 42 U.S.C. 7651 note. From section 406(a), it is clear that the definition of "industrial source" in section 402 of the Clean Air Act applies. Under section 402, an "industrial source" is: a unit that does not serve a generator that produces electricity, a "nonutility unit" as defined in this section, or a process source as defined in section 410(e). 42 U.S.C. 7651a(24)

As discussed above, an industrial utility-unit is a unit that is not owned or operated by a utility but that served, anytime starting in 1985, a generator that produced electricity for sale and therefore is a utility unit under section 402(17). Such a unit does not fall within any of the three groups of units that are defined as "industrial sources".⁸ Consequently, the units that are under consideration in this rulemaking for

⁸ Section 406(a) also states that "industrial sources" include units subject to section 405(g)(6), i.e., certain qualifying facilities and independent power production facilities that are exempt from title IV. The reference in section 406(b) to units "subject to section 405(g)(5)" is an inadvertent error that should be read as citing section 405(g)(6). See *National Annual Industrial Sulfur Dioxide Emission Trends 1995-2015*, EPA-454-R-95-001, at ES-2 (EPA 1995). Industrial utility-units are not exempt under section 405(g)(6).

inclusion in the industrial utility-units exemption are not covered by the 5.60 million ton cap. Contrary to commenters, the Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990 do not give EPA the "option" of regulating industrial utility-units under section 406. In contrast, Congress exempted certain cogeneration facilities from the Acid Rain Program (e.g., the 8.95-million-ton cap) and included them in the 5.60-million-ton cap. Under section 402(17)(C) and (25), exempt cogeneration facilities are excluded from the definition of "utility unit" and so are "nonutility" units covered by the "industrial source" cap.

This reinforces the conclusion that industrial utility-units are intended to be covered by the Acid Rain Program and leads to the conclusion that a blanket exemption for all industrial utility-units is inconsistent with the overall regulatory scheme under title IV.⁹ Exempting all industrial utility-units, without regard to the amount of their electricity sales or to when the sales became contractually obligated, would result in a potentially increasing group of existing and future units that would generate electricity for sale but would be outside both the utility unit and the "industrial source" caps. Particularly since the ongoing changes in the structure of the electric industry make it difficult to predict how many industrial utility-units there may be in the future and how they may be used, EPA rejects such an open-ended exemption from both caps.¹⁰ Moreover, commenters supporting a blanket exemption ignore the fact that the Acid Rain Program is aimed at reducing both SO₂ emissions and NO_x emissions. To the extent that existing coal-fired industrial utility-units are Group 1 (i.e., dry bottom wall-fired or tangentially fired) or Group 2 (i.e., cell burner, cyclone, wet bottom, or vertically fired) boilers, exempting them removes the applicability of the Group 1 or Group 2 NO_x emission limits, which in some cases may be the only NO_x limits for these boilers under the Act.

⁹ EPA rejects as speculative and irrelevant the commenter's suggestion that title IV may be amended in a way that would require non-exempt industrial utility-units to make additional, "prohibitively expensive" reductions.

¹⁰ Even if the "total" exemption were limited to the specific possible industrial utility-units identified thus far by EPA (see *Report to Docket: Industrial Units* (October 31, 1996)), the amount of generation and emissions covered by a "total" exemption could increase in the future. Moreover, the commenters suggested no basis for limiting a "total" exemption to those tentatively identified industrial utility-units if other units are subsequently found to meet the "total"-exemption criteria.

EPA also rejects, as unsupported and speculative, the claim that subjecting industrial utility-units to Acid Rain Program requirements will make interconnection agreements and related power sales agreements between such units and utilities economically prohibitive. EPA agrees that industrial companies may have more difficulty than utilities (at least under the current scheme of utility rate regulation) in passing through the costs of the Acid Rain Program. However, that is a far cry from concluding that electricity sales by existing industrial utility-units would cease or that no new industrial utility-units would contract to make such sales.

EPA therefore maintains that, if there is to be any exemption for industrial utility-units, the exemption must be strictly limited in order to resolve the specific problem set forth in the preamble of the proposal. That problem is that some industrial utility-units have only incidental activities (i.e., electricity sales) bringing the entire operation of the unit under the Acid Rain Program and that these units likely qualified for, but were not allocated, allowances. Strictly limiting the exemption to address this problem will minimize the potential environmental impact of this resolution on SO₂ and NO_x emissions and will better harmonize the exemption with the basic regulatory scheme under title IV. In fact, without the specific limits on the exemption set forth in today's rule based on the magnitude of electricity sales and the time period when electricity sales first became contractually required, EPA would reconsider whether any exemption for industrial utility-units should be established.

As an alternative to a blanket exemption for industrial utility-units, one commenter suggested modifying the definition of "incidental sales of electricity" so that units selling up to one-third (rather than up to 10 percent, as under the proposal) of their electric generation to utilities could qualify as exempt industrial utility-units. Allegedly, limiting sales to up to one-third of annual electric generation would be consistent with the statutory exemption for cogeneration facilities. Under section 402(17)(C) and § 72.6(b)(4), a cogeneration facility that supplies to a utility, on an annual basis, an amount of electricity not exceeding one-third of its potential electrical output capacity or 219,000 MWe-hrs is an unaffected unit and is not subject to the Acid Rain Program. The commenter supported limiting industrial utility-units to annual electricity sales equal to the lesser of one-third of capacity or one-third of actual generation.

Reflecting that the rationales for the industrial utility-units exemption and the statutory cogeneration facility exemption are not identical, today's rule does not make the requirements for the two types of exemptions identical. On one hand, the cogeneration facility exemption reflects Congressional intent, manifest in section 402(17)(C) of the Act, that certain cogeneration facilities be entirely exempt from the Acid Rain Program whether or not they had contracted before enactment of title IV to provide electricity at a fixed price. Presumably, this is because, by using the same steam both for electric generation and industrial purposes, cogeneration facilities are inherently more efficient than other units that generate electricity. See 40 CFR 72.2 (defining "cogeneration unit" as unit producing electricity and useful thermal energy "through sequential use of energy"). On the other hand, the industrial utility-units exemption addresses the category of industrial utility-units, which were intended by Congress to be subject to the Acid Rain Program but, with regard to certain individual units, were not allocated allowances for which they likely qualified. They lack the sequential use of energy that makes cogeneration facilities inherently more efficient. As discussed above, EPA maintains that the industrial utility-units exemption should, under these circumstances, be more narrowly drawn than the provisions for exempting cogeneration facilities. Consequently, EPA disagrees with the approach of using the limit on electricity sales by exempt cogeneration facilities in setting the limit on electricity sales by exempt industrial utility-units.

In the proposal, the industrial utility-units exemption is limited to units that were contractually obligated as of March 23, 1993 to make only incidental sales of electricity to utilities. The proposal defines "incidental sales" as sales not exceeding 10 percent of either nameplate capacity or total actual generation because that level seemed to be consistent with the general level of historical electricity sales by the type of unit intended to be covered by the exemption. This approach limits the exemption by restricting both the number of units covered by the exemption and the amount of electricity sales to historical levels and does not allow expansion beyond those levels. None of the commenting owners of units potentially qualifying for the industrial utility-units exemption claimed that they had actually made, in any past year, electricity sales in excess

of the 10 percent limit or that the 10 percent limit is unrepresentative of historical levels. EPA maintains that it is appropriate to impose on the industrial utility-units exemption a limit reflecting historical levels and that, on their face, electricity sales as high as one-third of total generation cannot be regarded as simply incidental to the operation of the unit involved. For these reasons, while choosing a 10-percent level as the cutoff point for "incidental sales"—like choosing any specific cutoff point—is to some extent arbitrary, EPA maintains that the chosen level is reasonable. Today's rule, like the proposal, defines "incidental electricity sales" as an amount of electricity sales that does not exceed the smaller of 10 percent of the nameplate capacity of the generator served by the unit times 8,760 hours per year or 10 percent of the actual annual electric output of that generator.

Today's rule also continues to impose the incidental-electricity-sales limit on sales starting in 1985 and continues to require that the contractual obligation to make such sales must have been in place on March 23, 1993. One commenter objected to having "two different deadlines" and argued that only sales starting in 1993 should have to meet the incidental-electricity-sales limit. EPA rejects this approach.

Under the industrial utility-units exemption, EPA considers the electricity sales of the unit starting in 1985 because that is analogous to the approach taken by Congress in section 402(17) in determining what units are utility units that are subject to the Acid Rain Program. With certain exceptions, any unit that at any time starting in 1985 or thereafter serves a generator that produces electricity for sale is a "utility unit" subject to the Acid Rain Program. 42 U.S.C. 7651a(17)(A). In crafting the industrial utility-units exemption, EPA reasonably takes a parallel approach of considering actual sales starting in 1985. Actual sales before 1985 will not be considered. EPA sees no basis for the commenter's suggestion of ignoring any non-incidental electricity sales from 1985 to 1993. In essence, EPA is requiring that, in order to be exempt, a unit must have maintained its character as an industrial utility-unit making only incidental sales throughout the period generally used to determine applicability of the Acid Rain Program.

The rationale for the "second deadline" in the industrial utility-units exemption—i.e., the requirement that there be, as of March 23, 1993, a contractual obligation to make incidental electricity sales—is set forth in detail in the proposal and is adopted

here. 61 FR 68346. This requirement also makes it likely that the unit was either (i) in commercial operation as of November 15, 1990 or (ii) was under construction by December 31, 1990 and therefore qualified for, but was not allocated, allowances in Phase II. See 42 U.S.C. 7651d(a)–(f) and (h)–(i) (allowances for existing units) and (g) (allowances for units under construction and operating by specified deadlines).

Termination of exemption. Under the proposal, a unit's industrial utility-units exemption terminates automatically once any of the original requirements for granting the exemption are no longer met. Commenters raised concern that the proposal terminates the exemption if the contractual agreement that requires incidental electricity sales by the unit, and on which the granting of the exemption was originally based, expires or is amended. A particular agreement may have a termination date even though the parties intend for the relationship to continue. Further, an agreement may be modified directly or through replacement by a new agreement, e.g., in order to change the names of the parties or the electricity prices. According to commenters, the exemption should not be terminated so long as there is not an obligation to sell more than an incidental amount of electricity.

EPA understands the concern that replacement of the interconnection agreement on which an exemption is based (or of the power purchase agreement related to the interconnection agreement) by a follow-on agreement that continues to require the same amount of electricity sales should not result in termination of the exemption. EPA also recognizes a similar concern with regard to amendment of the interconnection agreement or power purchase agreement. On one hand, the rule should provide for some flexibility allowing agreements to be modified or replaced so long as the underlying electricity sales obligation of the industrial utility-unit is not altered in a way that undermines the original basis for the unit's exemption. On the other hand, EPA is concerned that this flexibility should not have the effect of allowing expansion of the unit's exemption beyond its original scope. For example, just as a unit that as of March 23, 1993 did not serve a generator required to produce electricity for sale and that begins after that date to be involved in electricity sales is not exempt, an exempt unit should not be able to expand its involvement in electricity sales after March 23, 1993 and retain the exemption. Finally, EPA believes it must consider that future

modifications or replacements of agreements will be taking place in the context of restructuring of the electric industry, where utilities may be restructured and renamed.

In order to meet all of these concerns, today's rule provides that, in applying the automatic-termination provisions of the exemption, the interconnection agreement (and related power purchase agreement) and any successor agreement will be considered. For example, the proposal stated that if the interconnection agreement on which the exemption is based expires or terminates and the generator served by the unit continues to produce electricity for sale, the exemption for the unit terminates. Under today's rule, if that interconnection agreement is replaced or supplemented by a "successor agreement", the expiration or termination of the original agreement will not cause termination of the exemption. Today's rule defines "successor agreement" in a way that is aimed, on one hand, at requiring the unit to continue to meet the basic requirements for the exemption and taking account of future electric industry restructuring and, on the other hand, at preventing this flexibility from being used to expand beyond the original scope of the exemption when it was approved.

A "successor agreement" is defined as an agreement that modifies, replaces, or supersedes the interconnection agreement or related power purchase agreement on which the exemption was originally based. Further, a "successor agreement" must be between owners and operators of the unit and another party (which may be the same party as in the original agreement) that (i) is principally in the electric utility business or is a public utility subject to State or local jurisdiction and (ii) is obligated to sell electricity to the owners and operators of the unit. In addition, the "successor agreement" must require the generator served by the unit to produce electricity for sale only for incidental electricity sales to that party. Finally, the total amount of electricity that the generator served by the unit is required to produce for sale under all such contracts that are in effect (i.e., the interconnection agreement, related power purchase agreement, and any successor agreement) must not exceed the amount that such generator was required to produce for sale under the original interconnection agreement and related power purchase agreement on which the exemption was initially based.

Procedural and other issues. Under the proposal, a unit seeking an

industrial utility-units exemption must submit a petition to the local permitting authority. The processing of the petition is similar to that for an Acid Rain permit. However, once an exemption is approved, it has no uniform, fixed term and continues in effect unless and until it is automatically terminated.

Commenters claimed that the process of petitioning for the exemption would be burdensome. They noted that the proposal removed the requirements to apply for the new units or retired units exemption and argued that the industrial utility-units exemption should similarly be made "self-executing".

When the new units and retired units exemptions were first adopted by rule, the regulations required submission of petitions for the exemptions, processing by the permitting authority using the permit notice-and-comment procedures, and renewal every five years. The December 27, 1996 proposal and today's rule make those exemptions self-executing for the most part. With some exceptions, owners and operators of units meeting the fairly straightforward requirements of the new units or retired units exemptions need only notify the permitting authority and EPA of their qualification for the exemption.

In the case of the industrial utility-units exemption, EPA has decided that it is necessary to require the submission of a petition and processing by the permitting authority. This is a newly established exemption, with which the Agency has had no experience. Moreover, in determining whether to establish the exemption, EPA has found it difficult to obtain information on which units might qualify. See *Report to Docket: Industrial Units* (October 31, 1996). In addition, determination of whether a unit qualifies for the exemption is not as straightforward as the determination of qualification for the new units or retired units exemption. Qualification for an industrial utility-units exemption depends, in part, on interpretation of interconnection and power purchase agreements. Further, particularly in light of other provisions of today's rule that streamline the permit processing procedures and thus also apply to processing of a petition for industrial utility-units exemption, EPA maintains that the petition and review requirements for the exemption are not unduly burdensome on either the unit owners and operators or the permitting authorities. Today's rule requires a one-time review process in that once approved, the exemption continues in effect without the need for renewal.

One final issue raised by a commenter (Zinc Corporation of America) is whether industrial utility-units that do not qualify for an industrial utility-units exemption should be allocated allowances. Allegedly, such units qualify for allowances but were not allocated any due to EPA's "oversight in allowance allocation".

The difficulty with this argument is that it ignores the fact that EPA has previously specified deadlines by which parties claiming that an erroneous failure to allocate allowances to a unit were required to submit such claims and necessary supporting information to EPA. As explained in the proposal (61 FR 68345), EPA issued in July 1992 the Adjunct Data File listing units of "nontraditional utilities". 57 FR 30034, 30040 (July 7, 1992). EPA indicated that the units might or might not be affected units and that, in any event, it lacked sufficient information on which to base any allowance allocation. *Id.* Further, EPA gave notice that if the data necessary for allowance allocation was not provided by September 8, 1992 for "a unit that may be affected now or in the future", the unit would not be allocated allowances. *Id.* Moreover, believing that it had corrected all timely identified errors in the data and resulting allocations, EPA stated in the March 23, 1993 notice on final allowance allocations that no allowances will be allocated to any affected unit that was not allocated allowances in that notice. 58 FR 15634, 15641 (March 23, 1993).

Neither Zinc Corporation of America nor the predecessor-owner (St. Joe Minerals Corporation) of the units now owned by Zinc Corporation of America submitted any data or any objection to the lack of allowance allocations for the units. The only companies that have units identified by EPA as potentially industrial utility-units and that submitted any comments concerning allowance allocations were LTV Steel Mining Company and Ford Motor Company. Both companies claimed that their units were not affected units, and neither has ever objected to the lack of allowance allocations.

Thus, there is no basis for allocating allowances now or in the future to industrial utility-units, as suggested by the commenter, if EPA ultimately determines that any such units do not qualify for the industrial utility-units exemption.¹¹ Such units are treated like

any other unit that has not been allocated allowances and becomes an affected unit after that date. No allowances will be allocated. EPA's approach of declining to allocate allowances when the deadline for submission of information for allowance allocation is missed has been upheld by the courts. *Texas Municipal Power Agency v. EPA*, 89 F.3d 858, 872-73 (1996).

III. Part 72: Interaction of Acid Rain Permitting and Title V

A. Relationship Between Acid Rain Rules and Parts 70 and 71

The proposal attempted to clarify the extent to which the Acid Rain rules apply in lieu of provisions of parts 70 and 71, which address permitting by State permitting authorities and by the Administrator under title V of the Act. No comments were received on these revisions. The revisions are adopted in today's rule with some changes. The language in several sections of the proposal stating that the Acid Rain rules "supersede" provisions of parts 70 or 71 is removed from the final rule because of concern that such language might cause confusion as to whether parts 70 and 71 remain in effect at all.

Instead, today's § 72.60 clarifies that part 72 governs, notwithstanding the requirements of part 71, and the list of specific procedural matters that part 72 governs is clarified and augmented so that the list includes all matters covered by subparts C, D, E, F, and H of part 72.¹² The list of specific matters to which part 71 still applies is also clarified. Further, today's § 72.70 retains the language in the existing rule stating that subpart G governs to the extent that the subpart is "inconsistent" with part 70. Upon reconsideration of the language, EPA concludes that this existing language is reasonably clear, particularly with the revisions in § 72.72 reducing the number of differences between subpart G and part 70. EPA also notes that the existing language avoids any potential confusion about the overall effectiveness of part 70.

B. State Authority to Administer and Enforce Acid Rain Permits

Under the proposal, a State becomes responsible for administering and enforcing Acid Rain permits for affected sources if the State has an operating permits program approved under part

companies that commented stated that it could not meet the proposed exemption requirements.

¹² For similar reasons, the same general approach is used in § 72.80, which states that subpart H, rather than part 70 or 71, governs revisions of Acid Rain permit provisions.

¹¹ EPA stresses that it has made no determination at this time on the qualification of these companies' units for the industrial utility-units exemption and will await submission of the necessary applications before making any determination. None of the

70 and to the extent the State Acid Rain regulations are accepted by the Administrator. The proposal also established a procedure for withdrawal of the Acid Rain Program from a State where the Administrator determines that the State is not adequately administering or enforcing the program.

Today's rule adopts the revisions, with several changes. Under the proposal, the Administrator accepts all or a portion of State Acid Rain regulations through issuance of a notice in the *Federal Register*. Particularly since the State regulations will then become part of the State title V operating permits program, EPA believes that notice and opportunity for public comment should be provided before the Administrator issues a final acceptance or rejection of all or a portion of the State regulations. This approach is consistent with the requirement in part 70 that notice and opportunity for public comment be provided on the Administrator's approval or disapproval of a State operating permits program under title V. See 40 CFR 70.4(e). Today's rule includes language that imposes a notice-and-comment requirement but is flexible enough to allow use of a direct final procedure under which, for example, the proposed and final acceptance of State regulations are issued in simultaneous notices and the acceptance becomes automatically final if no significant, adverse comments are timely submitted.

Further, the proposal revised the provision concerning the date by which a State permitting authority must reopen Phase II Acid rain permits to add Acid Rain NO_x requirements. The existing provision requires the permits to be reopened by January 1, 1999 but is unclear as to whether this is the deadline for completion, or simply commencement, of the reopening procedure. In order to clarify the provision and ensure that State permitting authorities have sufficient time to process the permits, the proposal stated that the reopening must be completed by July 1, 1999.

Commenters objected to the July 1, 1999 completion deadline on the ground that utilities need more than 6 months to plan for compliance with the NO_x terms of their Acid Rain permits. No comment was received supporting the Agency's concern that State permitting authorities might need additional time beyond January 1, 1999 to complete the reopening of the permit. Further, as discussed elsewhere in this preamble, today's rule includes provisions that enable State permitting authorities to expedite permit

processing, e.g., the provisions for elimination of newspaper notice and for use of direct proposed procedures. By further example, today's rule provides that any EPA-approved early election plan that has not been terminated must be added to the Phase II permit through an administrative amendment, rather than through a notice-and-comment procedure. This reflects the fact that § 76.8, governing early election plans, requires a State permitting authority to approve, as part of the Phase II permit, any ongoing early election plans previously approved by EPA. These streamlining provisions will reduce the administrative burden on the State permitting authorities.

Consequently, today's rule retains the January 1, 1999 deadline and makes it clear that the reopening of the permit to add NO_x requirements must be completed by that deadline. By its terms, the January 1, 1999 deadline for adding the NO_x provisions only applies to the extent that the provisions were included in a timely, complete permit application concerning NO_x emissions. EPA notes that, under § 76.9(b)(2), such permit application must be submitted by January 1, 1998 and that, where the State permitting authority with jurisdiction over the unit has responsibility for issuing Acid Rain permits covering NO_x, the submission should be made to that State permitting authority.

Finally, language is added (e.g., to § 72.73(b)(1) and § 72.74(a)) to make it clear that the State permitting authority issues Acid Rain permits to the extent that it has State Acid Rain regulations, accepted by EPA, that apply to the sources involved and to the Acid Rain requirements involved. For example, if accepted State Acid Rain regulations include the Acid Rain emissions limitation for SO₂ but not the emissions limitations for NO_x by the applicable deadline under § 72.73, EPA has the flexibility to determine whether the State permitting authority will be responsible for issuing Acid Rain permits covering both SO₂ requirements under part 72 and NO_x requirements under part 76.

C. Required Elements for State Acid Rain Program

The existing rule set forth the criteria for approval of a State operating permit program under title V and acceptance of the State Acid Rain regulations. The proposal eliminated or modified several of the criteria in the existing rule because EPA believed that they were unnecessary or redundant. Comments were received on only three of these revisions. With the changes discussed

below, all the revisions are adopted in today's rule for the reasons discussed here and in the proposal.

First, the existing rule required State permitting authorities to give written notice of draft permits to specified persons and also to provide notice in a newspaper or State publication. The proposal gave State permitting authorities the option of foregoing newspaper or State publication notice of draft permits that require only that a unit meet the standard SO₂ or NO_x emission limitations, a NO_x averaging plan, or a NO_x early election plan. Commenters supported this revision, which is adopted in today's rule.

Second, the proposal gave State permitting authorities the option of using what was referred to as a "direct final" procedure for issuing Acid Rain permits. Under the procedure, a State permitting authority issues simultaneously a draft permit and a proposed permit. If no significant, adverse comments are received, the proposed permit is deemed to be issued and, after the period for review by the Administrator, the State permitting authority issues the final permit. Commenters supported this option and urged that EPA clarify that it applies to permit revisions as well as permit issuance. EPA notes that the procedure is misnamed in the proposal in that the permit that is issued in the absence of significant, adverse comment is a "proposed permit" that is still subject to the Administrator's review.

Consequently, today's rule refers to this option as the "direct proposed" procedure and adopts the provision.¹³

With regard to the use of the direct proposed procedure for permit revisions, EPA notes that § 72.81(c)(2) in the proposal and in today's rule states that, with certain exceptions not relevant here, permit modifications must be treated as permit applications. Consistent with § 72.81(c)(2), the procedures for permit issuance (including, e.g., the direct proposed procedure) apply to permit modifications. Similarly, permit issuance procedures apply to permit reopenings. Because the other types of permit revisions, i.e., fast-track

¹³ Today's rule also removes language in § 72.72(b)(1)(iv) stating that after the comment period on a draft permit, the State permitting authority will issue or deny a proposed permit. Some State permitting authorities have provided, with EPA's concurrence, that the comment period on the draft permit and EPA's review of the permit run concurrently so long as no adverse comment is received and no change is made in the draft permit. The language in § 72.72 is removed in order to allow State permitting authorities to take this approach, which reduces the length of the permitting process, for Acid Rain permits.

modifications and administrative permit amendments, have their own procedures set forth in the proposal and today's rule, the direct proposed procedure does not apply to such revisions.

Third, the proposal eliminated a provision in the existing rule limiting the filing of State administrative or judicial appeals of an Acid Rain permit to no more than 90 days from the issuance of the permit. As a result, part 70, which imposes no limit on State administrative appeals and limits judicial appeals to no more than 90 days from permit issuance, would govern appeals of Acid Rain permits. 40 CFR 70.4(b)(3)(xii); see also 59 FR 44460, 44516 (August 29, 1994) (proposing to allow States to provide up to 125 days for judicial appeals).

Commenters objected to the removal of any limit on the periods for State administrative appeals, and for judicial appeals, under part 72. The commenters contended that, in the absence of a limit in part 72 (or in part 70) on administrative appeals, owners and operators "would never be able to know whether their permits would be subject to challenge". However, the commenters ignored the fact that, in imposing no federally mandated limit on State administrative appeals, part 72 leaves the matter to the States, which are highly likely to impose such limits in the interests of finality and administrative efficiency. EPA is not aware of any State operating permit programs that, to the extent they provided for administrative appeal, failed to set a time limit on the filing of administrative appeals. In short, the question here is not whether to have any limit but rather whether to leave the matter for the States or impose a federally mandated limit. EPA maintains that it is preferable to allow each State flexibility to craft time limits for Acid Rain appeals. Under this approach, each State can set a single time limit appropriate for and applicable to all administrative appeals—and also one for all judicial appeals—of the entire title V operating permit, rather than having one set of time limits for an Acid Rain permit and another set of time limits for the remaining portions of the operating permit.

The commenters contended that the Acid Rain permits are a "stand-alone portion" of the operating permit and so it would not be confusing to have a different deadline for appealing the Acid Rain portion and appealing the rest of the operating permit. EPA disagrees. Although the Acid Rain permit is a separate portion of the

operating permit, State permitting authorities are likely, as a matter of efficiency, to conduct notice and comment and other permitting procedures for the rest of the operating permit at one time and to issue a single, all inclusive operating permit, particularly since the Acid Rain permit is likely to comprise a relatively small part of the entire title V operating permit. In fact, in response to State concern over how to coordinate the processing of the Acid Rain permit and the operating permit, EPA has issued guidance on alternative approaches for achieving coordination. See *Guidance on Coordinating Title IV/Title V Permitting Schedules* (March 15, 1994). EPA believes that having a single administrative appeal deadline and a single judicial appeal deadline for the entire operating permit is simpler and less likely to result in inadvertent failure to meet the applicable filing deadline.

The commenters also alleged that the Acid Rain portion incorporates new compliance obligations while the remainder of the operating permit merely restates existing obligations. This, of course, depends on the timing of the issuance of the operating permit. State permitting authorities are allowed to phase in the issuance of operating permits and new obligations may arise before issuance of, and therefore may be included in, a given operating permit. Moreover, to the extent this distinction applies, it is likely to apply only for the initial Phase II Acid Rain permit; in most cases, a subsequent Acid Rain permit will restate the obligations (e.g., the requirement to hold sufficient allowances to cover SO₂ emissions) already in the initial Acid Rain permit.

EPA concludes that, with regard to the question of limiting State administrative and judicial appeals, the Acid Rain portions of operating permits should not be treated any differently than the remaining portions of operating permits. The provision in the proposal is adopted in today's rule.

In the proposal EPA noted that many States have already adopted Acid Rain rules based on the existing rule. EPA stated that it expected that, if rule revisions are adopted in final, States will incorporate the revisions within 2 years after the promulgation of the final rule. No comment was received on this approach, and EPA continues to believe that this is a reasonable time frame. To the extent a State permitting authority fails to incorporate the revisions in a timely manner, EPA will consider whether the State is adequately administering and enforcing the Acid Rain Program and may take action

under § 72.74 of today's rule to administer all or a part of the Acid Rain Program for sources located in the State

IV. Part 72: Miscellaneous Permitting Matters

A. Definitions

The proposal modified or eliminated several definitions. Only one of the changes (i.e., the revised definition of "submit or serve" to eliminate the requirement for use of certified mail) received comment and that comment was supportive. The definition revisions, as modified below, are adopted in today's rule.¹⁴

B. Designated Representative

The proposal included two types of revisions concerning designated representatives. First, the procedures for selecting or changing the designated representative or an alternate were simplified and made less burdensome. Commenters supported the revisions, which are adopted in today's final rule.

Second, the proposal provided the option of selecting two alternate designated representatives for an affected source in certain limited circumstances. The proposal was aimed at providing flexibility for sources with units located in more than one State that are in a NO_x averaging plan under § 76.11 and that are subject to two levels of management, one at the subsidiary operating company and one at the parent company. In particular, as requested by a commenter, the proposal allowed a multi-state utility holding company with a NO_x averaging plan covering units in two or more States to designate for sources with units in the plan a single designated representative at the holding company level and two alternates, one at the management level and one at the operations level of the operating company. Commenters supported the additional flexibility but suggested certain changes to the proposal.¹⁵

¹⁴One of the proposed definitions, "State", is modified in today's rule. The proposed definition removed language, stating that "State" has its conventional meaning where it is clear "from the context", and listed one specific instance where the conventional meaning would apply. Because there are several contexts in which the conventional meaning applies, today's rule retains the formulation in the existing rule. Thus, for example, in § 72.40(b)(2) the term "State" has its broader meaning (which includes the jurisdiction of any non-federal permitting authority) while in § 72.22(e)(1)(i) "State" has its conventional meaning.

¹⁵One commenter suggested that there is no basis for the requirement in § 76.11 that units in a NO_x averaging plan have the same designated representative. This suggestion is outside the scope of the rulemaking. While it is unclear whether the commenter intended to raise that issue here, EPA

The commenter that originally requested this type of provision in the proposal expressed concern that the references in the proposal to a holding company with multiple subsidiaries may become obsolete in light of future restructuring of the electric industry. For example, a holding company with subsidiaries operating generation facilities may be restructured to include all generation facilities in a single subsidiary. This commenter also was concerned that the proposal limited the option of having two alternates to cases where the NO_x averaging plan covered all units operated by the subsidiaries. If any units are covered by early election plans or have alternative emission limitations and so are outside the NO_x averaging plan, the proposal would not apply. Other commenters echoed these concerns but suggested that EPA allow any source to have two alternates, regardless of whether the source has units that are in a NO_x averaging plan or are subject to management at both the subsidiary and parent company levels.

While retaining the general rule that a source must have one designated representative and may have one alternate, EPA proposed allowing two alternates in limited circumstances where it was shown that such flexibility might be needed. The proposed provision, as modified in today's rule, covers the only specific circumstance for which a need for multiple alternates has been explained by commenters, i.e., where units are in different States but in the same NO_x averaging plan and are subject to both subsidiary and parent company management. While commenters make a general suggestion that having two alternates gives greater assurance that a "point of contact" for a source will be available "at all times", the commenters do not claim that having one alternate has generally been insufficient or point to any other specific circumstances where two alternates are needed. EPA therefore declines to expand the provision any further.

For the reasons discussed here and in the proposal, today's rule adopts the proposed provision, with changes to meet other concerns stated by commenters. First, the provision expressly covers a unit whose utility system is the subsidiary of a company (not necessarily a "holding company"). Second, the provision will cover cases where the units in the NO_x averaging plan are operated by a single subsidiary or by two or more subsidiaries. Each unit must be in a utility-system

subsidiary of a company, but they may be in the same such subsidiary. Third, the NO_x averaging plan need not include all units operated by subsidiaries of the company; instead the plan must simply cover two or more units in more than one State.

C. Compliance Plans

The proposal revised the provisions concerning the submission of substitution plans and reduced utilization plans in order to clarify the deadlines and the procedures to be used. No comments were received, and the revisions are adopted in today's rule.

The proposal also revised the procedures for review of failed repowering projects. No comments were received on the revisions, which are adopted in today's rule.

Finally, the proposal revised the deadline for activating conditional repowering extension plans from December 31, 1997 to July 1, 1997. No comments were received. However, today's rule is being published after July 1, 1997, and EPA has decided not to revise the activation deadline retroactively.

D. Federal Permit Issuance

The proposal made several revisions to the federal permit issuance procedures. For example, the period after which an Acid Rain permit application received by EPA is deemed to be complete was lengthened from 30 days to 60 days. This was done in order to be consistent with part 71, under which the period applicable to operating permit applications is 60 days. Commenters objected that this prolongs the "period of uncertainty" over the completeness of the Acid Rain application and stated that Acid Rain permitting "generally proceeds along a separate track" from other title V permitting. However, the commenters' assumption that the Acid Rain portion of the operating permit is processed separately from the rest of the operating permit is not necessarily correct. If the State permitting authority is generally responsible for issuing title V operating permits but, because its Acid Rain rules are not fully approved, EPA issues the Acid Rain permits, then the Acid Rain permits may be processed separately. In cases where EPA is responsible for issuing entire title V operating permits (including the title IV portion), the title IV and title V procedures may be coordinated as a matter of efficiency, particularly if EPA delegates the title IV and title V permitting to the State. See 40 CFR 71.10 (delegation of permitting under title I); and 72.74(a)(2) of today's

rule (delegation of permitting under title IV). A single completeness review (as well as a single notice and comment procedure) may be conducted for the entire operating permit. EPA maintains that the ability to coordinate Acid Rain permitting and title V permitting and to realize potential efficiencies is enhanced by minimizing the differences between Acid Rain permitting and title V permitting.

Moreover, the Acid Rain portion of the operating permit is generally relatively small compared to the entire title V permit application. It is therefore logical to make the completeness review period for the title IV permit conform to the 60-day period for title V permits, rather than to shorten the title V completeness review period to 30 days. While the period during which owners and operators are uncertain about the completeness of Acid Rain permit applications will be lengthened for 30 days, EPA maintains that the advantage of a consistent completeness review period outweighs the relatively minor, additional uncertainty.

Further, the proposed rule altered the provision concerning the time period within which a designated representative must respond to a request for supplemental information by the Administrator. While the existing rule set an automatic 30-day period for responding and allowed the Administrator to lengthen the response period, the proposal stated that a reasonable period would be set on a case-by-case basis by the Administrator. A commenter objected on the ground that it is unlikely that a period less than 30 days would be reasonable and that it would generally be in the interest of a designated representative to respond expeditiously. However, the commenter ignores the fact that there can be significant, but readily remedied gaps or errors in the information submitted to EPA in a permit application. Setting a minimum response period of 30 days is likely to lengthen unnecessarily the permitting process. In addition, while the Agency could treat applications with such errors as incomplete and avoid the minimum 30-day response period, EPA maintains that it is preferable to have the flexibility to set a reasonable, short response period. This flexible approach both promotes orderly and expeditious processing of permits and protects the designated representative from unreasonable requests. This is also preferable to the commenter's approach of assuming that designated representatives will necessarily respond expeditiously and in a time frame that meets the Agency's schedule for permit processing.

did not propose, and is not considering here, such a change in § 76.11.

E. Permit Revision

The proposal made several changes to the permit revision procedures. Changes concerning permit reopenings received no comment and are adopted in the final rule; changes concerning fast-track amendments and administrative amendments are adopted as discussed below.

With regard to fast-track modifications, the proposal lengthened the period within which a State permitting authority must act on a fast-track modification of a permit from 30 to 60 days after the end of the public comment period. Commenters objected claiming that there is no evidence that State permitting authorities need more time and that the revisions entitled to fast-track modification required little exercise of administrative discretion and are unlikely to receive public comment.

EPA notes that, while a NO_x averaging plan or plan change may require little administrative discretion and elicit little comment, the processing of other types of revisions (e.g., changes to repowering plans or thermal energy plans) is more likely to involve discretion or public comment. Further, the processing of Acid Rain permits and permit revisions represents a very small portion of the operating permit processing required of State permitting authorities under title V. Reflecting the significant burden of operating permit processing, part 70 allows State permitting authorities to take up to 18 months from receipt of a complete permit application to issue an operating permit and a similar period to make significant modifications to an existing operating permit. 40 CFR 70.7(a)(2) and (e)(4)(ii). By comparison, a 90-day period (i.e., the 30-day comment period and 60 days after the end of the period) for completing a fast-track modification is certainly expedited. EPA maintains that, in light of the permitting burden faced by State permitting authorities, it is preferable to set a more realistic, and yet still expedited, deadline for action by State permitting authorities.

With regard to administrative amendments, the proposal set forth the amendment procedures in detail, rather than citing the procedures in part 70. Further, the period for action on one administrative amendment, an alternative emission limitation (AEL) demonstration period, was lengthened from 30 days to 60 days after receipt of an AEL demonstration period petition determined by the permitting authority to meet all the requirements of § 76.10. No comments were made on these

revisions, which are adopted in today's rule.

In addition, the administrative amendment procedures were changed to allow a permitting authority to correct minor errors in a permit on its own motion. Noting that the proposed provision was not explicitly limited to "minor" errors, commenters argued that notice should be given to the designated representative before even minor changes are made to the permit. In response to these concerns, today's rule explicitly limits administrative permit amendments on the motion of the permitting authority to corrections of typographical errors or similarly noncontroversial changes (e.g., adding a new unit or retired units exemption for which the requirements were previously met). Moreover, the rule requires that a permitting authority provide at least 30 days' notice to the designated representative of the source involved before making, on its own motion, any administrative permit amendments. This approach will enable the permitting authority to correct minor errors with minimal delay while providing the designated representative the opportunity to comment.

In order to make the reopening provision consistent with the provision allowing a permitting authority to make administrative amendments on its own motion, language is added to § 72.85. This language makes it clear that administrative amendment procedures, rather than reopening procedures, may be used for typographical or similar errors.

F. Reduced Utilization Accounting

The proposal made several changes in the reduced utilization accounting provisions. Most of the changes received no comment or only favorable comment and are adopted in today's rule. Commenters objected to one change: The provision that, in accounting for the effect of heat rate improvements on a Phase I unit's utilization, credit for such improvements must be limited to the net effect of the improvements on the unit's heat rate. According to the commenters, if a unit's heat rate (i.e., Btu/Kwh) since the 1985-1987 base period deteriorates (i.e., increases) and measures are taken that offset that deterioration, the entire effect of the heat rate improvements should be included in accounting for reduced utilization. The commenters alleged that the statutory reduced utilization provision in section 408(c)(1)(B) of the Act establishes a "baseline" heat input, not a "baseline" heat rate.

In asserting that there is no connection between utilization in the

base period and heat input in the base period, the commenters ignore the basic purpose of accounting for reduced utilization and heat rate improvements. The purpose of the reduced utilization provisions is to ensure that any increased emissions resulting from reducing utilization of, and shifting generation from, Phase I units to units compensating for the reduced utilization "are accounted for in the allowance system." 56 FR 63002, 63019 (December 3, 1991). Reduced utilization "as a result of * * * improved unit efficiency programs" need not be accounted for through allowance surrender because these programs "cause decreases in utilization without any shifts of generation to unaffected units." 56 FR 63021. To the extent utilization (i.e., total annual heat input in mmBtus) at a Phase I unit is reduced below the baseline level because that unit has improved its heat rate after 1987 over the level reflected in the baseline utilization, there is no increase in SO₂ emissions and allowances need not be surrendered. In this case, the Phase I unit is using less fuel because it can produce a kilowatt-hour of electricity with less fuel and thus less SO₂ emissions.

However, if the Phase I unit's heat rate deteriorates from the level reflected in the unit's baseline utilization and heat rate improvement measures are instituted after 1987 that bring heat rate back to the level reflected in the baseline utilization, then the unit is using the same amount of fuel to produce a kilowatt-hour of electricity. In the latter case, the heat rate improvements made after 1987 do not account for the use of less fuel at the Phase I unit. Just as heat rate improvements made before 1987 and reflected in baseline utilization cannot account for utilization below baseline, heat rate improvements made after 1987 that simply restore the heat rate to the level reflected in the baseline cannot account for reduced utilization. See 61 FR 68354. The same logic applies if a Phase I unit is attempting to account for its reduced utilization through heat rate improvements at another unit that simply restore the latter unit's heat rate to the 1987 level.

Thus, contrary to the commenters' assertions, EPA did not simply "assume" that limiting heat rate improvement to net improvement since 1987 is warranted. On the contrary, EPA explained, albeit in less detail than in today's rule, the basis for the limitation. *Id.* Moreover, the limitation is consistent with long-standing explanations of the purpose of reduced utilization accounting, as discussed

above, and with other, regulatory provisions governing such accounting. In particular, limiting heat rate improvement to net improvement since 1987 is analogous to the approach taken in the existing rule concerning sulfur-free generation, which is not at issue here. Only the net increase in current generation at a sulfur-free generator (i.e., the increase in current generation over the sulfur-free generator's average 1985-1987 annual generation), not the increase from one year to the next, is used to account for reduced utilization. See 40 CFR 72.91(a)(3)(iii); and 58 FR 3590, 3606-7 (January 11, 1993).¹⁶ The commenters' approach is therefore rejected as inconsistent with the entire thrust of reduced utilization accounting, and the proposed provision is adopted in today's rule.

V. Part 73: Allowances

A. Allowance Tables

In the proposal, EPA proposed a number of changes in unadjusted allowances and in the units and allowance figures listed in Tables 2 and 3 of § 73.10, reflecting those allowance changes. For purposes of the proposal, EPA was able to list the changes in the rule without reprinting Tables 2 and 3. However, consistent with the requirements of the *Federal Register* concerning finalization of multiple changes to regulatory tables and in the interest of facilitating public understanding of the final changes, EPA concludes that the changes should be finalized through republication of information in the tables. Further, section 403(a) of the Act requires the Administrator to issue prior to June 1, 1998 a revision of the final allowance allocations primarily to account for allocations for repowered units under section 409. That revision will necessitate recalculation of all units' allowance allocations and so must also be implemented through republication of information in Tables 2 and 3. In order to avoid the confusion likely to result from, and the large expense associated with, multiple republications of information in the tables, EPA has decided not to finalize at this time the allowance revisions in the December 27, 1996 rule. Instead, EPA intends to

¹⁶ While EPA uses 1985-1987 average sulfur-free generation as the bench mark for limiting the use of sulfur-free generation in reduced utilization accounting, the proposal and today's rule use 1987 heat rate as the bench mark for limiting the use of unit efficiency improvements. This is because annual generation was more likely to vary during 1985-1987 than was a unit's annual heat rate and the use of the 1987 heat rate, which captures any efficiency improvement measures instituted before 1988, is less burdensome for utilities and EPA to determine than the average 1985-1987 heat rate.

propose in the near future the revisions associated with the June 1, 1998 allocations and to coordinate finalization of both the allowance revisions in the December 27, 1996 proposal and that future proposal.

The only exception to this approach is the allowance changes for Central Louisiana Electric Company's Rodemacher unit 2. In the December 27, 1996 proposal, the allowances for Rodemacher unit 2 were changed to 20,774 unadjusted allowances. Under a settlement of litigation concerning Rodemacher unit 2's allowance allocation, the Administrator agreed to sign a final rule adopting the revision to the unit's allowances by October 1997. Consistent with that settlement, the proposed unadjusted allowances for Rodemacher unit 2 are adopted in today's rule. This single change can be made without republishing the allowance tables.

B. Small Diesel Refinery Provisions

The proposal made certain revisions to the provisions to small refinery allowance allocations. No comment was received, and the revisions are adopted in today's rule.

VI. Part 75: Monitoring of Units Burning Digester or Landfill Gas

In the proposal, EPA requested comment on monitoring requirements for units burning digester or landfill gas. No comments were received. EPA intends to consider this matter in future proceedings.

VII. Part 77: Excess Emissions

The proposal made changes to part 77 concerning immediate deduction of allowances to offset excess emissions, the deadline for paying excess emissions penalties, and excess NO_x emissions under a NO_x averaging plan. The changes received no comment or only favorable comment and are adopted in the final rule.

VIII. Part 78: Administrative Appeals

The proposal made changes to part 78 to clarify that an administrative appeal is a prerequisite for judicial review of decisions of the Administrator under the Acid Rain Program and to ensure that the requirement for exhaustion of administrative remedies is consistent with the Supreme Court's decision in *Darby v. Cisneros*, 509 U.S. 137 (1993). On September 24, 1993, the Agency originally proposed to add language stating explicitly that administrative appeal is a prerequisite for judicial review. 58 FR 50088, 50104 (1993). Certain commenters stated, in response to the September 24, 1993 proposal,

that, in light of the alleged potential for "disruptive effects" resulting from an administrative exhaustion requirement, the Agency should solicit additional comment on the effect of *Darby* on part 78. EPA therefore did not finalize the September 24, 1993 proposal. Instead, EPA provided further opportunity for comment by publishing the December 27, 1996 proposal, which included both the changes explicitly requiring exhaustion of administrative remedies and some additional changes to conform with *Darby*. In its comments on the December 27, 1996 proposed rule, the same commenters submitted further comments. In their second set of comments, the commenters failed to go beyond their generalized claim of "disruptive effects". Rather than providing any specific claims or examples of when administrative appeal of a particular type of Administrator's decision would be "disruptive" to the Acid Rain Program or to affected sources' compliance efforts, the commenters simply expressed general concern that EPA "failed to consider" unspecified "disruptive" effects.

In the September 24, 1993 and December 27, 1996 proposals, EPA set forth both the basis for requiring exhaustion of administrative remedies and provisions addressing concerns over delay pending completion of administrative review. Requiring exhaustion of administrative remedies promotes efficient use of administrative and judicial resources in that it "allows the Agency to review * * * decisions for correctness before having to defend (them) * * * in Federal court." 58 FR 50104 (quoting the original proposed appeals procedures at 56 FR 63002, 63033 (December 3, 1991)). Decisions that a petitioner shows are erroneous can be reversed or corrected without resource-intensive litigation before the federal courts and decisions that a petitioner shows are insufficiently explained can be reexamined and either reversed or better explained. The overall effect is to increase the likelihood of sound decision-making and reduce the need for recourse to the courts.¹⁷

¹⁷ EPA maintains that, contrary to commenters' assertion, the provision in section 307(b)(1) of the Clean Air Act on motions for reconsideration is irrelevant to the question of administrative appeals and is not properly interpreted as evidencing "hostility" to the exhaustion requirement involved here. Section 307(b)(1) involves judicial appeals and the effect of agency reconsideration of a final action on such appeals. To the extent section 307(b)(1) addresses reconsideration of a final rule, the section is irrelevant to this case, which concerns administrative appeals of individual, adjudicative decisions. To the extent the section addresses reconsideration of an adjudicative decision, the section is still irrelevant here. Reconsideration

Continued

Further, while nothing in the record indicates that delay pending administrative appeal of Acid Rain Program decisions (during which appeal the decisions will not be operative) will likely have "significant, adverse consequences", the December 27, 1993 proposal took reasonable account of the general possibility of such consequences pending appeal.¹⁸ 61 FR 68365. Despite two opportunities to provide information on the alleged, potential, adverse effect of the exhaustion requirement, the commenters originally objecting to the requirement were apparently unable to identify any specific circumstances in the Acid Rain Program under which significant, adverse consequences would result from the requirement, much less provide any information on the likelihood of such circumstances arising. No such circumstances have been identified to EPA, and EPA is not aware of any, particularly in light of the ability of the Agency, under the proposal and today's rule, to expedite administrative appeals. EPA therefore rejects the commenters' claim concerning "disruptive effects" of the exhaustion requirement as speculative and unsupported.

Moreover, the Agency's general approach under the regulatory statutes that it administers is to require exhaustion of administrative remedies prior to judicial review. *See, e.g.*, 40 CFR 71.11(l)(4) (administrative appeal of final permit decision under title V of Clean Air Act); 40 CFR 124.19(f)(1) (administrative appeal of final permit decision under the Solid Waste Disposal Act as amended by the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA), Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD) program in the Clean Air Act, or Underground Injection Control (UIC) program in the Safe Drinking Water Act); and 40 CFR 124.60(g) (administrative appeal of final permit decision under National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES)). Today's rule is consistent with that general approach.

Nevertheless, the Agency crafted the proposed rule for Acid Rain Program appeals to provide for flexibility to minimize delay, particularly if future

cases arise where delay will have a significant, adverse effect. Specifically, the proposal revised the existing rule to allow the Administrator, the Environmental Appeals Board, or the Presiding Officer (as appropriate) to set different, reasonable time periods (which could be shorter or longer than in the existing rule) for administrative-appeal-related filing by parties. For example, the 30-day period within which motions to intervene in part 78 appeals may be filed was changed to allow a different period to be set. As explained in the proposal, this approach gives the Agency "the ability to accelerate the appeals proceeding where delay due to the pending appeal will have significant, adverse consequences." 61 FR 68365. The commenters argued that the Agency might not always "share an affected source's interest in avoiding" such adverse consequences. However, the Agency's approach of allowing adjustment of the time periods gives the Agency the authority to accommodate the need for expeditious administrative appeal and gives the affected source the opportunity to show that expedition is necessary. Particularly in cases where such a showing is made, the Agency intends to make reasonable efforts to minimize the delay caused by the appeal. The Agency maintains that this approach reasonably balances, on one hand, the important role of the exhaustion requirement and, on the other, the commenter's generalized concern that appeals not cause undue delay.

The commenters failed to recommend any other approach but merely stated that the Agency had not considered limiting the applicability of the exhaustion requirement, foregoing the exhaustion requirement, or setting tighter time limits on procedural steps. However, in explaining the need for the exhaustion requirement (*see* 56 FR 63033, 58 FR 50104, and 61 FR 68365), the Agency rejected the notion of limiting or foregoing the requirement. Further, recognizing that the major purpose of providing flexibility in the time periods for filings is to expedite administrative appeals, EPA is modifying the proposal to provide that, with a few exceptions discussed below, the time periods involved may be shortened, but not lengthened.

One of the more important exceptions to that approach is the period for filing of administrative appeals.¹⁹

¹⁹ A minor exception under today's rule is the period for curing defects in filings, which remains as 7 days subject to shortening or lengthening at the discretion of the Environmental Appeals Board or

Commenters raised concern that an Administrator's decision under the Acid Rain Program would remain "in limbo" during a period of uncertain duration for the filing of an administrative appeal. Today's rule reduces the standard period for appeal to 30 days from issuance of the Administrator's decision and establishes that as a fixed period that cannot be changed on a case-by-case basis. The Agency is concerned that a period shorter than 30 days would not provide enough time for preparation of a petition that fully addresses the issues on appeal, as required under § 78.3(c). *See, e.g.*, 40 CFR 78.3(c)(1) and (3) (requiring a list of material issues and a clear and concise brief supporting the petition). This standard appeal period is consistent with the 30-day time period for administrative appeal of other actions of the Administrator under the Clean Air Act and other statutes administered by EPA. *See, e.g.*, 40 CFR 71.11(l)(1) (administrative appeal of final permit decision under title V); 40 CFR 124.19(a) (administrative appeal of final permit decision under RCRA, PSD program, or UIC program); and 40 CFR 124.91(a)(1) (administrative appeal of final permit decision under NPDES). The reduced time period for filing appeals reduces the period of uncertainty on the status of the decision while still providing a reasonable opportunity for administrative appeal.²⁰

From the time a decision is issued until the expiration of the appeal period, there is necessarily some uncertainty about the status of the decision: the parties will not know for certain whether the decision will be final until the expiration of the appeal period. However, this uncertainty is tempered by the fact, admitted by the commenters, that the vast majority of decisions under the Acid Rain Program have not been, and probably will not be, appealed. Further, the limitations on the presenting of new evidence and on the raising of new issues during an administrative appeal of a decision for which there was an opportunity to comment will encourage parties interested in a decision to submit comments. As a result, parties' positions will probably be known when the decision is issued and the likelihood of appeal can then be evaluated.

the Presiding Officer. This will minimize the likelihood of a filing being permanently excluded for purely technical reasons. The Agency is confident that flexibility concerning this limited type of procedural deadline can be implemented in a way that will not result in unnecessary delay of proceedings.

²⁰ For similar reasons, the period for appealing a proposed decision of a Presiding Officer to the Environmental Appeals Board is fixed at 30 days under § 78.20(a).

provides a second opportunity for agency review of an adjudicative decision, for which an opportunity for administrative review was already provided. In contrast, the issue here is whether there should be an initial opportunity for EPA to review its decisions on Acid Rain matters before the decisions may be appealed to the courts.

¹⁸ EPA did not "acknowledge" that there would be cases of "significant, adverse consequences" due to delay pending appeal or that any such cases would be likely to occur. Instead, EPA provided procedures that could address such cases (regardless of their likelihood) if they arose.

The commenters also suggested that a decision should be considered operative during the period between the date the decision is issued and the expiration of the appeal period (i.e., 30 days under today's rule) unless and until a petition for administrative appeal is filed with the Environmental Appeals Board. Prior to today's rule revisions, part 78 provided that a decision was operative from the date of issuance and throughout the administrative appeal period, except to the extent the decision was stayed by the Environmental Appeals Board or the Presiding Officer designated by the Board. 40 CFR 78.7(a). While today's rule makes a decision inoperative once a timely petition for administrative appeal is filed, the status of the decision prior to appeal or the running of the period for filing an appeal is unchanged. The decision itself (e.g., the approval or denial of an Acid Rain permit or permit revision or of a petition under part 75) may specify the date on which the decision is effective. Unless the decision itself specifies an effective date that is different than the date on which the decision is actually issued, the decision is operative on the issuance date unless and until the filing of a timely petition for administrative appeal in accordance with part 78. For example, with regard to a decision concerning the transfer of allowances to or from an Allowance Tracking System Account, the requirement in the existing rule that the Administrator implement, within 5 business days of receipt, an allowance transfer request that he or she determines to be properly submitted (40 CFR 73.52 and 73.53) is unchanged in the December 27, 1996 proposal and today's rule. In principle, if the transfer were appealed under part 78, the Administrator could take action to render the transfer inoperative pending appeal. However, appeal in such circumstances is highly unlikely since an allowance transfer must be authorized by the designated representative of the party transferring the allowances. See 42 U.S.C. 7651b(b).

For the reasons discussed here and in the September 24, 1993 and December 27, 1996 proposals, the December 27, 1996 revisions are adopted as modified above.

IX. Administrative Requirements

A. Executive Order 12866

Under Executive Order 12866, 58 FR 51735 (October 4, 1993), the Administrator must determine whether the regulatory action is "significant" and therefore subject to Office of Management and Budget (OMB) review and the requirements of the Executive

Order. The Order defines "significant regulatory action" as one that is likely to result in a rule that may:

- (1) Have an annual effect on the economy of \$100 million or more or adversely affect in a material way the economy, a sector of the economy, productivity, competition, jobs, the environment, public health or safety, or State, local, or tribal governments or communities;
- (2) Create a serious inconsistency or otherwise interfere with an action taken or planned by another agency;
- (3) Materially alter the budgetary impact of entitlements, grants, user fees, or loan programs or the rights and obligations of recipients thereof; or
- (4) Raise novel legal or policy issues arising out of legal mandates, the President's priorities, or the principles set forth in the Executive Order.

Pursuant to the terms of Executive Order 12866, it has been determined that this final rule is a "significant regulatory action" because the rule seems to raise novel legal or policy issues. As such, this action was submitted to OMB for review. Any written comments from OMB to EPA, any written EPA response to those comments, and any changes made in response to OMB suggestions or recommendations are included in the docket. The docket is available for public inspection at the EPA's Air Docket Section, which is listed in the ADDRESSES section of this preamble.

B. Unfunded Mandates Act

Title II of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (UMRA), Pub. L. 104-4, establishes requirements for federal agencies to assess the effects of their regulatory actions on State, local, and tribal governments and the private sector. Under section 202 of the UMRA, EPA generally must prepare a written statement, including a cost-benefit analysis, before promulgating a proposed or final rule that includes a federal mandate that may result in expenditure by State, local, and tribal governments, in aggregate, or by the private sector, of \$100 million or more in any one year. Section 205 generally requires that, before promulgating a rule for which a written statement must be prepared, EPA identify and consider a reasonable number of regulatory alternatives and adopt the least costly, most cost-effective, or least burdensome alternative that achieves the objectives of the rule. The provisions of section 205 do not apply when they are inconsistent with applicable law. Moreover, section 205 allows EPA to adopt an alternative other than the least costly, most cost-effective, or least

burdensome alternative if the Administrator explains why that alternative was not adopted. Finally, section 203 requires that, before establishing any regulatory requirements that may significantly or uniquely affect small governments, EPA must have developed a small government agency plan. The plan must provide for notifying any potentially affected small governments, enabling officials of affected small governments to have meaningful and timely input in the development of EPA regulatory proposals with significant federal intergovernmental mandates, and informing, educating, and advising small governments on compliance with the regulatory requirements.

Because this final rule is estimated to result in the expenditure by State, local, and tribal governments or the private sector of less than \$100 million in any one year, the Agency has not prepared a budgetary impact statement or specifically addressed the selection of the least costly, most cost-effective, or least burdensome alternative. Because small governments will not be significantly or uniquely affected by this rule, the Agency is not required to develop a plan with regard to small governments.

For the reasons discussed in detail here and in the proposal (61 FR 68340), the final rule has the net effect of reducing the burden of parts 72, 77, and 78 of the Acid Rain regulations on regulated entities (including both investor-owned and municipal utilities) and on State permitting authorities (which may include State, local, and tribal governments). For example, the final rule reduces the burden of obtaining or providing new units and retired units exemptions from the Acid Rain Program and of issuing Acid Rain permits.

The final revisions to part 73 also do not have a significant, adverse effect on regulated entities (including small entities) and have no effect on State permitting authorities. The final rule increases the annual unadjusted basic allowances for one unit by 2,312 allowances. In a future action, the Agency will act on the other allowance revisions in the proposal. Sections 403(a) and 405(a)(3) of the Act set a nationwide cap on annual allowance allocations. Because of the requirement to adhere to the cap, the increase of allowances under this final rule (if not offset by the other allowance revisions when they are finalized) would eventually necessitate an equal decrease in the total annual allocations of all other units. The small decrease (i.e., 2,312 allowances out of an annual

nationwide cap of about 8.95 million allowances or about 0.026 percent) would be spread among all other units, and so the effect on any one unit would be insignificant. Moreover, EPA is not, in today's rule, adjusting the allocations of the other units to account for this small allowance increase.

C. Paperwork Reduction Act

OMB has approved the information collection requirements contained in this final rule under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act, 44 U.S.C. 3501, *et seq.*, and has assigned OMB control number 2060-0258.

The only additional information required by this collection of information is data concerning industrial utility-units that exercise the option of applying for the industrial utility-units exemption established by today's rule. If granted, the industrial utility-units exemption exempts the unit from most requirements of the Acid Rain Program, e.g., allowance, monitoring, and annual compliance requirements. The requirements from which qualified industrial utility-units will be exempt are significantly more burdensome than the information collection requirements for obtaining the exemption.²¹ An industrial utility-unit seeking the exemption must meet the information collection requirements, which involve submission of information that is necessary, and will be used, for determining whether the unit qualifies and will continue to qualify for the exemption.

The additional information collection increases the estimated burden, as compared to the burden under the existing rule, by an average of 24 hours per response for an estimated 15 one-time responses. Burden means the total time, effort, or financial resources expended by persons to generate, maintain, retain, or disclose or provide information to or for a federal agency. This includes the time needed to: Review instructions; develop, acquire, install, and utilize technology and systems for the purposes of collecting,

validating, and verifying information, processing and maintaining information, and disclosing and providing information; adjust the existing ways to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements; train personnel to be able to respond to a collection of information; search data sources; complete and review the collection of information; and transmit or otherwise disclose the information.

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The OMB control numbers for EPA's regulations are listed in 40 CFR part 9. EPA is amending the table in 40 CFR part 9 of currently approved ICR control numbers issued by OMB for various regulations to list the information requirements contained in this final rule.

D. Regulatory Flexibility

The Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5 U.S.C. 601, *et seq.*, requires federal agencies to consider potential impacts of its regulations on small entities. Under 5 U.S.C. 604(a), an agency issuing a notice of final rulemaking under section 553 of the Administrative Procedure Act, must prepare and make available for public comment a final regulatory flexibility analysis. Such an analysis is not required if the head of an agency determines, under 5 U.S.C. 605(b), that the final rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

In the preamble of the January 11, 1993 rule, the Administrator certified that the rule, including the provisions revised by today's rule, would not have a significant, adverse impact on small entities. 58 FR 3649. Today's final revisions are not significant enough to change the overall economic impact addressed in the January 11, 1993 preamble. Moreover, as discussed in this preamble, today's rule has the net effect of reducing the burden of the Acid Rain regulations on regulated entities, including small entities. For example, the rule makes it less burdensome to obtain new units and retired units exemptions from the Acid Rain Program. Further, the rule increases the allowances for one unit, which increase will have an insignificant effect on other units' allowance allocations.

For the reasons discussed above, EPA has determined that it is not necessary to prepare a regulatory flexibility analysis in connection with this final rule. EPA has determined that this rule will not have a significant, economic

impact on a substantial number of small entities.

E. Submission to Congress and the General Accounting Office

Under 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A) as added by the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996, EPA submitted a report containing this rule and other required information to the U.S. Senate, the U.S. House of Representatives, and the Comptroller General of the General Accounting Office prior to publication of the rule in today's **Federal Register**. This rule is not a "major rule" as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

F. Miscellaneous

In accordance with section 117 of the Act, issuance of this final rule was preceded by consultation with any appropriate advisory committees, independent experts, and federal departments and agencies.

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Parts 9, 72, 73, 74, 75, 77, and 78

Environmental protection, Acid rain, Administrative practice and procedure, Air pollution control, Compliance plans, Continuous emissions monitors, Electric utilities, Intergovernmental relations, Nitrogen oxides, Penalties, Permits, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Sulfur dioxide.

Dated: October 6, 1997.

Carol M. Browner,
Administrator.

For the reasons set out in the preamble, title 40, chapter I of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended as follows:

PART 9—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for part 9 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 7 U.S.C. 135, *et seq.*, 136–136y; 15 U.S.C. 2001, 2003, 2005, 2006, 2601–2671; 21 U.S.C. 331j, 346a, 348; 31 U.S.C. 9701; 33 U.S.C. 1251, *et seq.*, 1311, 1313d, 1314, 1321, 1326, 1330, 1342, 1344, 1345(d) and (e), 1361; E.O. 11735, 38 FR 21243, 3 CFR, 1971–1975 Comp. p. 973; 42 U.S.C. 241, 242b, 243, 246, 300f, 300g, 300g–1, 300g–2, 300g–3, 300g–4, 300g–5, 300g–6, 300j–1, 300j–2, 300j–3, 300j–4, 300j–9, 1857, *et seq.*, 6901–6992k, 7401–7671q, 7542, 9601–9657, 11023, 11048.

§ 9.1 [Amended]

2. Section 9.1 is amended by adding to the table under Permits Regulation in the column "40 CFR Citation", after the entry for "72.7–72.10", the entry "72.14" and adding to the table, as the corresponding entry in the column "OMB Control No.", the entry "2060–0258".

²¹ Because the information collection burden on industrial utility-units in the absence of this new exemption was not included in the ICR for the existing rule, the effect of removing such burden through the new exemption is not included in the ICR for today's rule. Consequently, the ICR for today's rule shows an increase in burden even though exempt industrial utility-units will actually experience a significant net reduction in the burden imposed on them by the Acid Rain Program. In addition, as discussed in this preamble, today's rule includes other revisions that will reduce somewhat the burden of the program on units that are not exempt. Because the burden reduction for non-exempt units is small relative to the total burden of the program, the reduction is not reflected in the ICR for today's rule.

PART 72—[AMENDED]

3. The authority citation for part 72 is revised to read as follows:

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7601 and 7651, *et seq.*

§ 72.1 [Amended]

4. Section 72.1 is amended by removing from paragraph (b) the words "part 70" and adding, in their place, the words "parts 70 and 71".

5. Section 72.2 is amended by: removing the definition for "Dispatch system"; adding in alphabetical order the definitions for "Affected States" and "Eligible Indian tribe"; and revising paragraphs (1)(i) and (2) of the definition for "Acid Rain emissions limitation", the definition for "Acid Rain permit or permit", paragraph (2) of the definition of "Coal-fired", the definitions for "Customer" and "Permitting authority" and "Phase I unit", paragraph (3) of the definition of "Power purchase commitment", and the definitions for "Submit or serve" and "State" and "State operating permits program" to read as follows:

§ 72.2 Definitions.

Acid Rain emissions limitation means:

(1) * * *

(i) The tonnage equivalent of the allowances authorized to be allocated to an affected unit for use in a calendar year under section 404(a)(1), (a)(3), and (b) of the Act, or the basic Phase II allowance allocations authorized to be allocated to an affected unit for use in a calendar year, or the allowances authorized to be allocated to an opt-in source under section 410 of the Act for use in a calendar year;

(2) For purposes of nitrogen oxides emissions, the applicable limitation under part 76 of this chapter. —

Acid Rain permit or permit means the legally binding written document or portion of such document, including any permit revisions, that is issued by a permitting authority under this part and specifies the Acid Rain Program requirements applicable to an affected source and to the owners and operators and the designated representative of the affected source or the affected unit.

Affected States means any affected States as defined in part 71 of this chapter.

Coal-fired means * * *

(2) For all other purposes under the Acid Rain Program, except for purposes

of applying part 76 of this chapter, a unit is "coal-fired" if it uses coal or coal-derived fuel as its primary fuel (expressed in mmBtu); *provided that*, if the unit is listed in the NADB, the primary fuel is the fuel listed in the NADB under the data field "PRIMEFUEL".

Customer means a purchaser of electricity not for the purposes of retransmission or resale. For generating rural electrical cooperatives, the customers of the distribution cooperatives served by the generating cooperative will be considered customers of the generating cooperative.

Eligible Indian tribe means any eligible Indian tribe as defined in part 71 of this chapter.

Permitting authority means either:

(1) When the Administrator is responsible for administering Acid Rain permits under subpart G of this part, the Administrator or a delegatee agency authorized by the Administrator; or

(2) The State air pollution control agency, local agency, other State agency, or other agency authorized by the Administrator to administer Acid Rain permits under subpart G of this part and part 70 of this chapter.

Phase I unit means any affected unit, except an affected unit under part 74 of this chapter, that is subject to an Acid Rain emissions reduction requirement or Acid Rain emissions limitation beginning in Phase I; or any unit exempt under § 72.8 that, but for such exemption, would be subject to an Acid Rain emissions reduction requirement or Acid Rain emissions limitation beginning in Phase I.

Power purchase commitment means a commitment or obligation of a utility to purchase electric power from a facility pursuant to:

(3) A letter of intent or similar instrument committing to purchase power (actual electrical output or generator output capacity) from the source at a previously offered or lower price and a power sales agreement applicable to the source is executed within the time frame established by the terms of the letter of intent but no later than November 15, 1993 or, where the letter of intent does not specify a time frame, a power sale agreement applicable to the source is executed on or before November 15, 1993; or

Submit or serve means to send or transmit a document, information, or correspondence to the person specified in accordance with the applicable regulation:

(1) In person;

(2) By United States Postal Service; or

(3) By other equivalent means of dispatch, or transmission, and delivery. Compliance with any "submission", "service", or "mailing" deadline shall be determined by the date of dispatch, transmission, or mailing and not the date of receipt.

State means one of the 48 contiguous States and the District of Columbia, any non-federal authorities in or including such States or the District of Columbia (including local agencies, interstate associations, and State-wide agencies), and any eligible Indian tribe in an area in such State or the District of Columbia. The term "State" shall have its conventional meaning where such meaning is clear from the context.

State operating permit program means an operating permit program that the Administrator has approved under part 70 of this chapter.

6. Section 72.6 is amended by adding paragraph (b)(9) and revising paragraphs (c)(1) and (2) to read as follows:

§ 72.6 Applicability.

(b) * * *

(9) A unit for which an exemption under § 72.7, § 72.8, or § 72.14 is in effect. Although such a unit is not an affected unit, the unit shall be subject to the requirements of § 72.7, § 72.8, or § 72.14, as applicable to the exemption.

(c) A certifying official of an owner or operator of any unit may petition the Administrator for a determination of applicability under this section.

(1) *Petition Content.* The petition shall be in writing and include identification of the unit and relevant facts about the unit. In the petition, the certifying official shall certify, by his or her signature, the statement set forth at § 72.21(b)(2). Within 10 business days of receipt of any written determination by the Administrator covering the unit, the certifying official shall provide each owner or operator of the unit, facility, or source with a copy of the petition and a copy of the Administrator's response.

(2) *Timing.* The petition may be submitted to the Administrator at any time but, if possible, should be submitted prior to the issuance (including renewal) of a Phase II Acid Rain permit for the unit.

7. Section 72.7 is revised to read as follows:

§ 72.7 New units exemption.

(a) *Applicability.* This section applies to any new utility unit that has not previously lost an exemption under paragraph (f)(4) of this section and that, in each year starting with the first year for which the unit is to be exempt under this section:

(1) Serves during the entire year (except for any period before the unit commenced commercial operation) one or more generators with total nameplate capacity of 25 MWe or less;

(2) Burns fuel that does not include any coal or coal-derived fuel (except coal-derived gaseous fuel with a total sulfur content no greater than natural gas); and

(3) Burns gaseous fuel with an annual average sulfur content of 0.05 percent or less by weight (as determined under paragraph (d) of this section) and nongaseous fuel with an annual average sulfur content of 0.05 percent or less by weight (as determined under paragraph (d) of this section).

(b)(1) Any new utility unit that meets the requirements of paragraph (a) of this section and that is not allocated any allowances under subpart B of part 73 of this chapter shall be exempt from the Acid Rain Program, except for the provisions of this section, §§ 72.2 through 72.6, and §§ 72.10 through 72.13.

(2) The exemption under paragraph (b)(1) of this section shall be effective on January 1 of the first full calendar year for which the unit meets the requirements of paragraph (a) of this section. By December 31 of the first year for which the unit is to be exempt under this section, a statement signed by the designated representative (authorized in accordance with subpart B of this part) or, if no designated representative has been authorized, a certifying official of each owner of the unit shall be submitted to permitting authority otherwise responsible for administering a Phase II Acid Rain permit for the unit. If the Administrator is not the permitting authority, a copy of the statement shall be submitted to the Administrator. The statement, which shall be in a format prescribed by the Administrator, shall identify the unit, state the nameplate capacity of each generator served by the unit and the fuels currently burned or expected to be burned by the unit and their sulfur content by weight, and state that the owners and operators of the unit will comply with paragraph (f) of this section.

(3) After receipt of the statement under paragraph (b)(2) of this section,

the permitting authority shall amend under § 72.83 the operating permit covering the source at which the unit is located, if the source has such a permit, to add the provisions and requirements of the exemption under paragraphs (a), (b)(1), (d), and (f) of this section.

(c)(1) Any new utility unit that meets the requirements of paragraph (a) of this section and that is allocated one or more allowances under subpart B of part 73 of this chapter shall be exempt from the Acid Rain Program, except for the provisions of this section, §§ 72.2 through 72.6, and §§ 72.10 through 72.13, if each of the following requirements are met:

(i) The designated representative (authorized in accordance with subpart B of this part) or, if no designated representative has been authorized, a certifying official of each owner of the unit submits to the permitting authority otherwise responsible for administering a Phase II Acid Rain permit for the unit a statement (in a format prescribed by the Administrator) that:

(A) Identifies the unit and states the nameplate capacity of each generator served by the unit and the fuels currently burned or expected to be burned by the unit and their sulfur content by weight;

(B) States that the owners and operators of the unit will comply with paragraph (f) of this section;

(C) Surrenders allowances equal in number to, and with the same or earlier compliance use date as, all of those allocated to the unit under subpart B of part 73 of this chapter for the first year that the unit is to be exempt under this section and for each subsequent year; and

(D) Surrenders any proceeds for allowances under paragraph (c)(1)(i)(C) or this section withheld from the unit under § 73.10 of this chapter. If the Administrator is not the permitting authority, a copy of the statement shall be submitted to the Administrator.

(ii) The Administrator deducts from the unit's Allowance Tracking System account allowances under paragraph (c)(1)(i)(C) of this section and receives proceeds under paragraph (c)(1)(i)(D) of this section. Within 5 business days of receiving a statement in accordance with paragraph (c)(1)(i) of this section, the Administrator shall either deduct the allowances under paragraph (c)(1)(i)(C) of this section or notify the owners and operators that there are insufficient allowances to make such deductions. Upon completion of such deductions and receipt of such proceeds, the Administrator will close the unit's Allowance Tracking System account and notify the designated

representative (or certifying official) and, if the Administrator is not the permitting authority otherwise responsible for administering a Phase II Acid Rain permit for the unit, the permitting authority.

(2) The exemption under paragraph (c)(1) of this section shall be effective on January 1 of the first full calendar year for which the requirements of paragraphs (a) and (c)(1) of this section are met. After notification by the Administrator under the third sentence of paragraph (c)(1)(ii) of this section, the permitting authority shall amend under § 72.83 the operating permit covering the source at which the unit is located, if the source has such a permit, to add the provisions and requirements of the exemption under paragraphs (a), (c)(1), (d), and (f) of this section.

(d) Compliance with the requirement that fuel burned during the year have an annual average sulfur content of 0.05 percent by weight or less shall be determined as follows using a method of determining sulfur content that provides information with reasonable precision, reliability, accessibility, and timeliness:

(1) For gaseous fuel burned during the year, if natural gas is the only gaseous fuel burned, the requirement is assumed to be met;

(2) For gaseous fuel burned during the year where other gas in addition to or besides natural gas is burned, the requirement is met if the annual average sulfur content is equal to or less than 0.05 percent by weight. The annual average sulfur content, as a percentage by weight, for the gaseous fuel burned shall be calculated as follows:

$$\%S_{\text{annual}} = \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{\text{last}} \%S_n V_n d_n}{\sum_{n=1}^{\text{last}} V_n d_n}$$

Where:

$\%S_{\text{annual}}$ =annual average sulfur content of the fuel burned during the year by the unit, as a percentage by weight;

$\%S_n$ =sulfur content of the nth sample of the fuel delivered during the year to the unit, as a percentage by weight;

V_n =volume of the fuel in a delivery during the year to the unit of which the nth sample is taken, in standard cubic feet; or, for fuel delivered during the year to the unit continuously by pipeline, volume of the fuel delivered starting from when the nth sample of such fuel is taken until the next sample of such fuel is taken, in standard cubic feet;

d_n =density of the nth sample of the fuel delivered during the year to the

unit, in lb per standard cubic foot; and
 n=each sample taken of the fuel delivered during the year to the unit, taken at least once for each delivery; or, for fuel that is delivered during the year to the unit continuously by pipeline, at least once each quarter during which the fuel is delivered.

(3) For nongaseous fuel burned during the year, the requirement is met if the annual average sulfur content is equal to or less than 0.05 percent by weight. The annual average sulfur content, as a percentage by weight, shall be calculated using the equation in paragraph (d)(2) of this section. In lieu of the factor, volume times density ($V_n d_n$), in the equation, the factor, mass (M_n), may be used, where M_n is: mass of the nongaseous fuel in a delivery during the year to the unit of which the nth sample is taken, in lb; or, for fuel delivered during the year to the unit continuously by pipeline, mass of the nongaseous fuel delivered starting from when the nth sample of such fuel is taken until the next sample of such fuel is taken, in lb.

(e)(1) A utility unit that was issued a written exemption under this section and that meets the requirements of paragraph (a) of this section shall be exempt from the Acid Rain Program, except for the provisions of this section, §§ 72.2 through 72.6, and §§ 72.10 through 72.13 and shall be subject to the requirements of paragraphs (a), (d), (e)(2), and (f) of this section in lieu of the requirements set forth in the written exemption. The permitting authority shall amend under § 72.83 the operating permit covering the source at which the unit is located, if the source has such a permit, to add the provisions and requirements of the exemption under this paragraph (e)(1) and paragraphs (a), (d), (e)(2), and (f) of this section.

(2) If a utility unit under paragraph (e)(1) of this section is allocated one or more allowances under subpart B of part 73 of this chapter, the designated representative (authorized in accordance with subpart B of this part) or, if no designated representative has been authorized, a certifying official of each owner of the unit shall submit to the permitting authority that issued the written exemption a statement (in a format prescribed by the Administrator) meeting the requirements of paragraph (c)(1)(i)(C) and (D) of this section. The statement shall be submitted by June 31, 1998 and, if the Administrator is not the permitting authority, a copy shall be submitted to the Administrator.

(f) Special Provisions. (1) The owners and operators and, to the extent

applicable, the designated representative of a unit exempt under this section shall:

(i) Comply with the requirements of paragraph (a) of this section for all periods for which the unit is exempt under this section; and

(ii) Comply with the requirements of the Acid Rain Program concerning all periods for which the exemption is not in effect, even if such requirements arise, or must be complied with, after the exemption takes effect.

(2) For any period for which a unit is exempt under this section, the unit is not an affected unit under the Acid Rain Program and parts 70 and 71 of this chapter and is not eligible to be an opt-in source under part 74 of this chapter. As an unaffected unit, the unit shall continue to be subject to any other applicable requirements under parts 70 and 71 of this chapter.

(3) For a period of 5 years from the date the records are created, the owners and operators of a unit exempt under this section shall retain at the source that includes the unit records demonstrating that the requirements of paragraph (a) of this section are met. The 5-year period for keeping records may be extended for cause, at any time prior to the end of the period, in writing by the Administrator or the permitting authority.

(i) Such records shall include, for each delivery of fuel to the unit or for fuel delivered to the unit continuously by pipeline, the type of fuel, the sulfur content, and the sulfur content of each sample taken.

(ii) The owners and operators bear the burden of proof that the requirements of paragraph (a) of this section are met.

(4) Loss of exemption. (i) On the earliest of the following dates, a unit exempt under paragraphs (b), (c), or (e) of this section shall lose its exemption and become an affected unit under the Acid Rain Program and parts 70 and 71 of this chapter:

(A) The date on which the unit first serves one or more generators with total nameplate capacity in excess of 25 MWe;

(B) The date on which the unit burns any coal or coal-derived fuel except for coal-derived gaseous fuel with a total sulfur content no greater than natural gas; or

(C) January 1 of the year following the year in which the annual average sulfur content for gaseous fuel burned at the unit exceeds 0.05 percent by weight (as determined under paragraph (d) of this section) or for nongaseous fuel burned at the unit exceeds 0.05 percent by weight (as determined under paragraph (d) of this section).

(ii) Notwithstanding § 72.30(b) and (c), the designated representative for a unit that loses its exemption under this section shall submit a complete Acid Rain permit application on the later of January 1, 1998 or 60 days after the first date on which the unit is no longer exempt.

(iii) For the purpose of applying monitoring requirements under part 75 of this chapter, a unit that loses its exemption under this section shall be treated as a new unit that commenced commercial operation on the first date on which the unit is no longer exempt.

8. Section 72.8 is revised to read as follows:

§ 72.8 Retired units exemption.

(a) This section applies to any affected unit (except for an opt-in source) that is permanently retired.

(b)(1) Any affected unit (except for an opt-in source) that is permanently retired shall be exempt from the Acid Rain Program, except for the provisions of this section, §§ 72.2 through 72.6, §§ 72.10 through 72.13, and subpart B of part 73 of this chapter.

(2) The exemption under paragraph (b)(1) of this section shall become effective on January 1 of the first full calendar year during which the unit is permanently retired. By December 31 of the first year that the unit is to be exempt under this section, the designated representative (authorized in accordance with subpart B of this part), or, if no designated representative has been authorized, a certifying official of each owner of the unit shall submit a statement to the permitting authority otherwise responsible for administering a Phase II Acid Rain permit for the unit. If the Administrator is not the permitting authority, a copy of the statement shall be submitted to the Administrator. The statement shall state (in a format prescribed by the Administrator) that the unit is permanently retired and will comply with the requirements of paragraph (d) of this section.

(3) After receipt of the notice under paragraph (b)(2) of this section, the permitting authority shall amend under § 72.83 the operating permit covering the source at which the unit is located, if the source has such a permit, to add the provisions and requirements of the exemption under paragraphs (b)(1) and (d) of this section.

(c) A unit that was issued a written exemption under this section and that is permanently retired shall be exempt from the Acid Rain Program, except for the provisions of this section, §§ 72.2 through 72.6, §§ 72.10 through 72.13, and subpart B of part 73 of this chapter,

and shall be subject to the requirements of paragraph (d) of this section in lieu of the requirements set forth in the written exemption. The permitting authority shall amend under § 72.83 the operating permit covering the source at which the unit is located, if the source has such a permit, to add the provisions and requirements of the exemption under this paragraph (c) and paragraph (d) of this section.

(d) **Special Provisions.** (1) A unit exempt under this section shall not emit any sulfur dioxide and nitrogen oxides starting on the date that the exemption takes effect. The owners and operators of the unit will be allocated allowances in accordance with subpart B of part 73 of this chapter. If the unit is a Phase I unit, for each calendar year in Phase I, the designated representative of the unit shall submit a Phase I permit application in accordance with subparts C and D of this part 72 and an annual certification report in accordance with §§ 72.90 through 72.92 and is subject to §§ 72.95 and 72.96.

(2) A unit exempt under this section shall not resume operation unless the designated representative of the source that includes the unit submits a complete Acid Rain permit application under § 72.31 for the unit not less than 24 months prior to the later of January 1, 2000 or the date on which the unit is first to resume operation.

(3) The owners and operators and, to the extent applicable, the designated representative of a unit exempt under this section shall comply with the requirements of the Acid Rain Program concerning all periods for which the exemption is not in effect, even if such requirements arise, or must be complied with, after the exemption takes effect.

(4) For any period for which a unit is exempt under this section, the unit is not an affected unit under the Acid Rain Program and parts 70 and 71 of this chapter and is not eligible to be an opt-in source under part 74 of this chapter. As an unaffected unit, the unit shall continue to be subject to any other applicable requirements under parts 70 and 71 of this chapter.

(5) For a period of 5 years from the date the records are created, the owners and operators of a unit exempt under this section shall retain at the source that includes the unit records demonstrating that the unit is permanently retired. The 5-year period for keeping records may be extended for cause, at any time prior to the end of the period, in writing by the Administrator or the permitting authority. The owners and operators bear the burden of proof that the unit is permanently retired.

(6) **Loss of exemption.** (i) On the earlier of the following dates, a unit exempt under paragraph (b) or (c) of this section shall lose its exemption and become an affected unit under the Acid Rain Program and parts 70 and 71 of this chapter:

(A) The date on which the designated representative submits an Acid Rain permit application under paragraph (d)(2) of this section; or

(B) The date on which the designated representative is required under paragraph (d)(2) of this section to submit an Acid Rain permit application.

(ii) For the purpose of applying monitoring requirements under part 75 of this chapter, a unit that loses its exemption under this section shall be treated as a new unit that commenced commercial operation on the first date on which the unit resumes operation.

§ 72.9 [Amended]

9. Section 72.9 is amended by:

a. Removing from paragraphs (b)(1) and (2) the words "and section 407 of the Act and regulations implementing section 407 of the Act";

b. Removing from paragraph (b)(3) the words "and regulations implementing section 407 of the Act";

c. Removing from paragraph (c)(6) the words "the written exemption under §§ 72.7 and 72.8" and adding in their place, the words "an exemption under §§ 72.7, 72.8, or 72.14";

d. Removing from paragraph (f)(1)(ii) the punctuation "." and adding in its place the words "; provided that to the extent that part 75 provides for a 3-year period for recordkeeping, the 3-year period shall apply.";

e. Removing from paragraph (g)(1) the words "a written exemption under § 72.7 or § 72.8" and adding, in their place, the words "an exemption under §§ 72.7, 72.8, or 72.14";

f. Removing from paragraph (g)(6) the words "part 76 of this chapter" and adding, in their place, the words "§ 76.11 of this chapter; and

g. Removing from paragraph (h) introductory text the words "a written exemption under §§ 72.7 or 72.8" and adding, in their place, the words "an exemption under §§ 72.7, 72.8, or 72.14".

§ 72.13 [Amended]

10. Section 72.13 is amended by:

a. Removing paragraphs (a)(1), (a)(5), (a)(6), (a)(7), (a)(9), and (a)(10);

b. Redesignating paragraph (a)(2) as paragraph (a)(1);

c. Redesignating paragraph (a)(3) as paragraph (a)(2);

d. Redesignating paragraph (a)(4) as paragraph (a)(3), and

e. Redesignating paragraph (a)(8) as paragraph (a)(4).

11. Section 72.14 is added to read as follows:

§ 72.14 Industrial utility-units exemption.

(a) **Applicability.** This section applies to any non-cogeneration, utility unit that has not previously lost an exemption under paragraph (d)(4) of this section and that meets the following criteria:

(1) Starting on the date of the signing of the interconnection agreement under paragraph (a)(2) of this section and thereafter, there has been no owner or operator of the unit, division or subsidiary or affiliate or parent company of an owner or operator of the unit, or combination thereof whose principal business is the sale, transmission, or distribution of electricity or that is a public utility under the jurisdiction of a State or local utility regulatory authority;

(2) On or before March 23, 1993, the owners or operators of the unit entered into an interconnection agreement and any related power purchase agreement with a person whose principal business is the sale, transmission, or distribution of electricity or that is a public utility under the jurisdiction of a State or local utility regulatory authority, requiring the generator or generators served by the unit to produce electricity for sale only for incidental electricity sales to such person;

(3) The unit served or serves one or more generators that, in 1985 or any year thereafter, actually produced electricity for sale only for incidental electricity sales required under the interconnection agreement and any related power purchase agreement under paragraph (a)(2) of this section or a successor agreement under paragraph (d)(4)(ii) of this section; and

(4) Incidental electricity sales, under this section, are total annual sales of electricity produced by a generator that do not exceed 10 percent of the nameplate capacity of that generator times 8,760 hours per year and do not exceed 10 percent of the actual annual electric output of that generator.

(b) **Petition for exemption.** The designated representative (authorized in accordance with subpart B of this part) of a unit under paragraph (a) of this section may submit to the permitting authority otherwise responsible for administering a Phase II Acid Rain permit for the unit a complete petition for an exemption for the unit from the requirements of the Acid Rain Program, except for the provisions of this section, §§ 72.2 through 72.6, and §§ 72.10 through 72.13. If the Administrator is

not the permitting authority, a copy of the petition shall be submitted to the Administrator. A complete petition shall include the following elements in a format prescribed by the Administrator:

- (1) Identification of the unit;
- (2) A statement that the unit is not a cogeneration unit;
- (3) A list of the current owners and operators of the unit and any other owners and operators of the unit, starting on the date of the signing of the interconnection agreement under paragraph (a)(2) of this section, and a statement that, starting on that date, there has been no owner or operator of the unit, division or subsidiary or affiliate or parent company of an owner or operator of the unit, or combination thereof whose principal business is the sale, transmission, or distribution of electricity or that is a public utility under the jurisdiction of a State or local utility regulatory authority;
- (4) A summary of the terms of the interconnection agreement and any related power purchase agreement under paragraph (a)(2) of this section and any successor agreement under paragraph (d)(4)(ii) of this section, including the date on which the agreement was signed, the amount of electricity that may be required to be produced for sale by each generator served by the unit, and the provisions for expiration or termination of the agreement;
- (5) A copy of the interconnection agreement and any related power purchase agreement under paragraph (a)(2) of this section and any successor agreement under paragraph (d)(4)(ii) of this section;
- (6) The nameplate capacity of each generator served by the unit;
- (7) For each year starting in 1985, the actual annual electrical output of each generator served by the unit, the total amount of electricity produced for sales to any customer by each generator, and the total amount of electricity produced and sold as required by the interconnection agreement and any related power purchase agreement under paragraph (a)(2) of this section or any successor agreement under paragraph (d)(4)(ii) of this section;
- (8) A statement that each generator served by the unit actually produced electricity for sale only for incidental electricity sales (in accordance with paragraph (a)(4) of this section) required under the interconnection agreement and any related power purchase agreement under paragraph (a)(2) of this section or any successor agreement under paragraph (d)(4)(ii) of this section; and

(9) The special provisions of paragraph (d) of this section.

(c) *Permitting Authority's Action.* (1) (i) For any unit meeting the requirements of paragraphs (a) and (b) of this section, the permitting authority shall issue an exemption from the requirements of the Acid Rain Program, except for the provisions of this section, §§ 72.2 through 72.6 and §§ 72.10 through 72.13.

(ii) If a petition for exemption is submitted for a unit but the designated representative fails to demonstrate that the requirements of paragraph (a) of this section are met, the permitting authority shall deny an exemption under this section.

(2) In issuing or denying an exemption under paragraph (c)(1) of this section, the permitting authority shall treat the petition for exemption as a permit application and apply the procedures used for issuing or denying draft, proposed (if the Administrator is not the permitting authority otherwise responsible for administering a Phase II Acid Rain permit for the unit), and final Acid Rain permits.

(3) An exemption issued under paragraph (c)(1)(i) of this section shall become effective on January 1 of the first full year the unit meets the requirements of paragraph (a) of this section.

(4) An exemption issued under paragraph (c)(1)(i) of this section shall be effective until the date on which the unit loses the exemption under paragraph (d)(4) of this section.

(5) After issuance of the exemption under paragraphs (c)(1) and (2) of this section, the permitting authority shall amend under § 72.83 the operating permit covering the source at which the unit is located, if the source has such a permit, to add the provisions and requirements of the exemption under paragraphs (c)(1)(i) and (d) of this section.

(d) *Special Provisions.* (1) The owners and operators and, to the extent applicable, the designated representative of a unit exempt under this section shall comply with the requirements of the Acid Rain Program concerning all periods for which the exemption is not in effect, even if such requirements arise, or must be complied with, after the exemption takes effect.

(2) For any period for which a unit is exempt under this section, the unit is not an affected unit under the Acid Rain Program and parts 70 and 71 of this chapter and is not eligible to be an opt-in source under part 74 of this chapter. As an unaffected unit, the unit shall continue to be subject to any other

applicable requirements under parts 70 and 71 of this chapter.

(3) For a period of 5 years from the date the records are created, the owners and operators of a unit exempt under this section shall retain at the source that includes the unit records demonstrating that the requirements of paragraph (a) of this section are met. The owners and operators bear the burden of proof that the requirements of this section are met. The 5-year period for keeping records may be extended for cause, at any time prior to the end of the period, in writing by the Administrator or the permitting authority. Such records shall include the following information:

(i) A copy of the interconnection agreement and any related power purchase agreement under paragraph (a)(2) of this section and any successor agreement under paragraph (d)(4)(ii) of this section;

(ii) The nameplate capacity of each generator served by the unit; and

(iii) For each year starting in 1985, the actual annual electrical output of each generator served by the unit, the total amount of electricity produced for sales to any customer by each generator, and the total amount of electricity produced and sold as required by the interconnection agreement and any related power purchase agreement under paragraph (a)(2) of this section or any successor agreement under paragraph (d)(4)(ii) of this section.

(4) Loss of exemption. (i) On the earliest of the following dates, a unit exempt under this section shall lose its exemption and become an affected unit under the Acid Rain Program and parts 70 and 71 of this chapter:

(A) The first date on which there is an owner or operator of the unit, division or subsidiary or affiliate or parent company of an owner or operator of the unit, or combination thereof, whose principal business is the sale, transmission, or distribution of electricity or that is a public utility under the jurisdiction of a State or local utility regulatory authority.

(B) If any generator served by the unit actually produces any electricity for sale other than for sale to the person specified as the purchaser in the interconnection agreement or any related power purchase agreement under paragraph (a)(2) of this section or a successor agreement under paragraph (d)(4)(ii) of this section, then the day after the date on which such electricity is sold.

(C) If any generator served by the unit actually produces any electricity for sale to the person specified as the purchaser in the interconnection agreement or any

related power purchase agreement under paragraph (a)(2) of this section or a successor agreement under paragraph (d)(4)(ii) of this section where such sale is not required under that interconnection agreement or related power purchase agreement or successor agreement or where such sale will result in total sales for a calendar year exceeding 10 percent of the nameplate capacity of that generator times 8,769 hours per year, then the day after the date on which such sale is made.

(D) If any generator served by the unit actually produces any electricity for sale to the person specified as the purchaser in the interconnection agreement or related power purchase agreement under paragraph (a)(2) of this section or a successor agreement under paragraph (d)(4)(ii) of this section where such sale results in total sales for a calendar year exceeding 10 percent of the actual electric output of the generator for that year, then January 1 of the year after such year.

(E) If the interconnection agreement or related power purchase agreement under paragraph (a)(2) of this section expires or is terminated, no successor agreement under paragraph (d)(4)(ii) of this section is in effect, and any generator served by the unit actually produces any electricity for sale, then the day after the date on which such electricity is sold.

(ii) A "successor agreement" is an agreement that:

(A) Modifies, replaces or supersedes the interconnection agreement or related power purchase agreement under paragraph (a)(2) of this section;

(B) Is between the owners and operators of the unit and a person that is contractually obligated to sell electricity to the owners and operators of the unit and either whose principal business is the sale, transmission, or distribution of electricity or that is a public utility under the jurisdiction of a State or local utility regulatory authority; and

(C) Requires the generator served by the unit to produce electricity for sale to the person under paragraph (d)(4)(ii)(B) of this section and only for incidental electricity sales, such that the total amount of electricity that such generator is required to produce for sale under the interconnection agreement or related power purchase agreement (to the extent they are still in effect) and the successor agreement shall not exceed the total amount of electricity that such generator was required to produce for sale under the interconnection agreement or related power purchase agreement under paragraph (a)(2) of this section.

(iii) Notwithstanding § 72.30(b) and (c), the designated representative for a unit that loses its exemption under this section shall submit a complete Acid Rain permit application on the later of January 1, 1998 or 60 days after the first date on which the unit is no longer exempt.

(iv) For the purpose of applying monitoring requirements under part 75 of this chapter, a unit that loses its exemption under this section shall be treated as a new unit that commenced commercial operation on the first date on which the unit is no longer exempt.

12. Section 72.22 is amended by adding paragraph (e) to read as follows:

§ 72.22 Alternate designated representative.

* * * * *

(e)(1) Notwithstanding paragraph (a) of this section, the certification of representation may designate two alternate designated representatives for a unit if:

(i) The unit and at least one other unit, which are located in two or more of the contiguous 48 States or the District of Columbia, each have a utility system that is a subsidiary of the same company; and

(ii) The designated representative for the units under paragraph (e)(1)(i) of this section submits a NO_x averaging plan under § 76.11 of this chapter that covers such units and is approved by the permitting authority, *provided* that the approved plan remains in effect.

(2) Except in this paragraph (e), whenever the term "alternate designated representative" is used under the Acid Rain Program, the term shall be construed to include either of the alternate designated representatives authorized under this paragraph (e). Except in this section, § 72.23, and § 72.24, whenever the term "designated representative" is used under the Acid Rain Program, the term shall be construed to include either of the alternate designated representatives authorized under this paragraph (e).

13. Section 72.24 is amended by revising paragraphs (a)(3), (5), (10), and (11) to read as follows:

§ 72.24 Certificate of representation.

(a) * * *

(3) A list of the owners and operators of the affected source and of each affected unit at the source.

* * * * *

(5) The following statement: "I certify that I have given notice of the agreement, selecting me as the 'designated representative' for the affected source and each affected unit at the source identified in this certificate

of representation, in a newspaper of general circulation in the area where the source is located or in a State publication designed to give general public notice."

* * * * *

(10) If an alternate designated representative is authorized in the certificate of representation, the following statement: "The agreement by which I was selected as the alternate designated representative includes a procedure for the owners and operators of the source and affected units at the source to authorize the alternate designated representative to act in lieu of the designated representative."

(11) The signature of the designated representative and any alternate designated representative who is authorized in the certificate of representation and the date signed.

* * * * *

§ 72.25 [Amended]

14. Section 72.25 is amended by removing from paragraph (a) the words "submitted to" and adding, in their place, the words "received by".

15. Section 72.30 is amended by removing paragraph (b)(3) and adding paragraph (e) to read as follows:

§ 72.30 Requirement to apply.

* * * * *

(e) Where two or more affected units are located at a source, the permitting authority may, in its sole discretion, allow the designated representative of the source to submit, under paragraph (a) or (c) of this section, two or more Acid Rain permit applications covering the units at the source, *provided* that each affected unit is covered by one and only one such application.

§ 72.31 [Amended]

16. Section 72.31 is amended by removing from paragraph (b) the words "Phase II unit" and adding in their place the words "affected unit (except for an opt-in source)".

17. Section 72.32 is amended by revising paragraphs (b) and (c) and adding paragraph (d) to read as follows:

§ 72.32 Permit application shield and binding effect of permit application.

* * * * *

(b) Prior to the date on which an Acid Rain permit is issued or denied, an affected unit governed by and operated in accordance with the terms and requirements of a timely and complete Acid Rain permit application shall be deemed to be operating in compliance with the Acid Rain Program.

(c) A complete Acid Rain permit application shall be binding on the

owners and operators and the designated representative of the affected source and the affected units covered by the permit application and shall be enforceable as an Acid Rain permit from the date of submission of the permit application until the issuance or denial of an Acid Rain permit covering the units.

(d) If agency action concerning a permit is appealed under part 78 of this chapter, issuance or denial of the permit shall occur when the Administrator takes final agency action subject to judicial review.

18. Section 72.33 is amended by adding a sentence to the end of paragraph (b)(3) to read as follows:

§ 72.33 Identification of dispatch system.

(b) * * *

(3) * * * A designated representative may request, and the Administrator may grant at his or her discretion, an exemption allowing the submission of an identification of dispatch system after the otherwise applicable deadline for such submission.

§ 72.40 [Amended]

19. Section 72.40 is amended by:

a. Removing from paragraph (a)(2) the words "applicable limitation established by regulations implementing section 407 of the Act" and adding, in their place, the words "applicable emission limitation under §§ 76.5, 76.6, or 76.7 of this chapter";

b. Removing from paragraph (a)(2) the words "section 407 of the Act and the regulations implementing section 407" and adding, in their place, the words "part 76 of this chapter";

c. removing from paragraph (b)(1) the words "an NO_x averaging plan contained in part 76 of this chapter" and adding, in their place, the words "a NO_x averaging plan under § 76.11 of this chapter"; and

d. Removing from paragraphs (c) introductory text, (c)(1), and (d)(1) the words "regulations implementing section 407 of the Act" and adding, in their place, the words "part 76 of this chapter".

§ 72.41 [Amended]

20. Section 72.41 is amended by: removing from paragraph (b)(3) the words "90 days" and adding, in their place, the words "6 months (or 90 days if submitted in accordance with § 72.82)"; and removing from paragraph (e)(1)(ii) the words "section 407 of the Act and regulations implementing section 407 of the Act" and adding, in their place, the words "part 76 of this chapter".

§ 72.43 [Amended]

21. Section 72.43 is amended by: removing from paragraph (b)(2)(iii)(B) the words "under § 72.92" and adding, in their place, the words "under § 72.91(b)"; removing from paragraph (b)(4) the words "90 days" and adding, in their place, the words "6 months (or 90 days if submitted in accordance with § 72.82 or § 72.83)"; and removing from paragraph (f)(1)(i) the words "section 407 of the Act and regulations implementing section 407 of the Act" and adding, in their place, the words "part 76 of this chapter".

§ 72.44 [Amended]

22. Section 72.44 is amended by:

a. Removing from paragraphs (g)(1)(i) and (2) the words "proposed permit revision" and adding, in their place, the words "requested permit modification";

b. Adding between the first and second sentences of paragraphs (g)(1)(i) and (2) the words "If the Administrator is not the permitting authority, a copy of the requested permit modification shall be submitted to the Administrator.";

c. Removing from paragraph (g)(2)(iii) the words "December 21" and adding, in their place, the words "December 31"; and

d. Removing from paragraph (h)(1)(ii) the words "section 407 of the Act and regulations implementing section 407 of the Act" and adding, in their place, the words "part 76 of this chapter".

§ 72.51 [Amended]

23. Section 72.51 is amended by: removing the words "parts 73, 75, 77, and 78 of this chapter, and regulations implementing section 407 of the Act" and adding, in their place, the words "parts 73, 74, 75, 76, 77, and 78 of this chapter"; and removing the words "of this part".

24. Section 72.60 is revised to read as follows:

§ 72.60 General.

(a) *Scope.* This subpart and parts 74, 76, and 78 of this chapter contain the procedures for federal issuance of Acid Rain permits for Phase I of the Acid Rain Program and Phase II for sources for which the Administrator is the permitting authority under § 72.74.

(1) Notwithstanding the provisions of part 71 of this chapter, the provisions of subparts C, D, E, F, and H of this part and of parts 74, 76, and 78 of this chapter shall govern the following requirements for Acid Rain permit applications and permits: submission, content, and effect of permit applications; content and requirements of compliance plans and compliance

options; content of permits and permit shield; procedures for determining completeness of permit applications; issuance of draft permits; administrative record; public notice and comment and public hearings on draft permits; response to comments on draft permits; issuance and effectiveness of permits; permit revisions; and administrative appeal procedures. The provisions of part 71 of this chapter concerning Indian tribes, delegation of a part 71 program, affected State review of draft permits, and public petitions to reopen a permit for cause shall apply to Acid Rain permit applications and permits.

(2) The procedures in this subpart do not apply to the issuance of Acid Rain permits by State permitting authorities with operating permit programs approved under part 70 of this chapter, except as expressly provided in subpart G of this part.

(b) *Permit Decision Deadlines.* Except as provided in § 72.74(c)(1)(i), the Administrator will issue or deny an Acid Rain permit under § 72.69(a) within 6 months of receipt of a complete Acid Rain permit application submitted for a unit, in accordance with § 72.21, at the U.S. EPA Regional Office for the Region in which the source is located.

(c) *Use of Direct Final Procedures.* The Administrator may, in his or her discretion, issue, as single document, a draft Acid Rain permit in accordance with § 72.62 and an Acid Rain permit in final form and may provide public notice of the opportunity for public comment on the draft Acid Rain permit in accordance with § 72.65. The Administrator may provide that, if no significant, adverse comment on the draft Acid Rain permit is timely submitted, the Acid Rain permit will be deemed to be issued on a specified date without further notice and, if such significant, adverse comment is timely submitted, an Acid Rain permit or denial of an Acid Rain permit will be issued in accordance with § 72.69. Any notice provided under this paragraph (c) will include a description of the procedure in the prior sentence.

25. Section 72.61 is amended by revising paragraphs (a) and (b)(2)(i) and adding paragraph (b)(3) to read as follows:

§ 72.61 Completeness.

(a) *Determination of Completeness.* The Administrator will determine whether the Acid Rain permit application is complete within 60 days of receipt by the U.S. EPA Regional Office for the Region in which the source is located. The permit application shall be deemed to be complete if the Administrator fails to

notify the designated representative to the contrary within 60 days of receipt.

(b) * * *

(2)(i) Within a reasonable period determined by the Administrator, the designated representative shall submit the information required under paragraph (b)(1) of this section.

* * * * *

(3) Any designated representative who fails to submit any relevant information or who has submitted incorrect information in a permit application shall, upon becoming aware of such failure or incorrect submittal, promptly submit such supplementary information or corrected information to the Administrator.

26. Section 72.65 is amended by revising paragraphs (b)(1)(ii), (b)(1)(iii), and (b)(2) and by removing paragraph (b)(1)(iv) to read as follows:

§ 72.65 Public notice of opportunities of public comment.

* * * * *

(b) * * *

(1) * * *

(ii) The air pollution control agencies of affected States; and

(iii) Any interested person.

(2) Giving notice by publication in the **Federal Register** and in a newspaper of general circulation in the area where the source covered by the Acid Rain permit application is located or in a State publication designed to give general public notice. Notwithstanding the prior sentence, if a draft permit requires the affected units at a source to comply with § 72.9(c)(1) and to meet any applicable emission limitation for NO_x under §§ 76.5, 76.6, 76.7, 76.8, or 76.11 of this chapter and does not include for any unit a compliance option under § 72.44, part 74 of this chapter, or § 76.10 of this chapter, the Administrator may, in his or her discretion, provide notice of the draft permit by **Federal Register** publication and may omit notice by newspaper or State publication.

* * * * *

27. Section 72.69 is amending by revising paragraph (a) to read as follows:

§ 72.69 Issuance and effective date of Acid Rain permits.

(a) After the close of the public comment period, the Administrator will issue or deny an Acid Rain permit. The Administrator will serve a copy of any Acid Rain permit and the response to comments on the designated representative for the source covered by the issuance or denial and serve written notice of the issuance or denial on the air pollution control agencies of affected States and any interested person. The

Administrator will also give notice in the **Federal Register**.

* * * * *

28. Section 72.70 is revised to read as follows:

§ 72.70 Relationship to title V operating permit program.

(a) *Scope.* This subpart sets forth criteria for approval of State operating permit programs and acceptance of State Acid Rain programs, the procedure for including State Acid Rain programs in a title V operating permit program, and the requirements with which State permitting authorities with accepted programs shall comply, and with which the Administrator will comply in the absence of an accepted State program, to issue Phase II Acid Rain permits.

(b) *Relationship to operating permit program.* Each State permitting authority with an affected source shall act in accordance with this part and parts 70, 74, 76, and 78 of this chapter for the purpose of incorporating Acid Rain Program requirements into each affected source's operating permit or for issuing exemptions under § 72.14. To the extent that this part or part 74, 76, or 78 of this chapter is inconsistent with the requirements of part 70 of this chapter, this part and parts 74, 76, and 78 of this chapter shall take precedence and shall govern the issuance, denial, revision, reopening, renewal, and appeal of the Acid Rain portion of an operating permit.

29. Section 72.71 is revised to read as follows:

§ 72.71 Acceptance of State Acid Rain programs—general.

(a) Each State shall submit, to the Administrator for review and acceptance, a State Acid Rain program meeting the requirements of §§ 72.72 and 72.73.

(b) The Administrator will review each State Acid Rain program or portion of a State Acid Rain program and accept, by notice in the **Federal Register**, all or a portion of such program to the extent that it meets the requirements of §§ 72.72 and 72.73. At his or her discretion, the Administrator may accept, with conditions and by notice in the **Federal Register**, all or a portion of such program despite the failure to meet requirements of §§ 72.72 and 72.73. On the later of the date of publication of such notice in the **Federal Register** or the date on which the State operating permit program is approved under part 70 of this chapter, the State Acid Rain program accepted by the Administrator will become a portion of the approved State operating permit program. Before accepting or

rejecting all or a portion of a State Acid Rain Program, the Administrator will provide notice and opportunity for public comment on such acceptance or rejection.

(c)(1) Except as provided in paragraph (c)(2) of this section, the Administrator will issue all Acid Rain permits for Phase I. The Administrator reserves the right to delegate the remaining administration and enforcement of Acid Rain permits for Phase I to approved State operating permit programs.

(2) The State permitting authority will issue an opt-in permit for a combustion or process source subject to its jurisdiction if, on the date on which the combustion or process source submits an opt-in permit application, the State permitting authority has opt-in regulations accepted under paragraph (b) of this section and an approved operating permits program under part 70 of this chapter.

30. Section 72.72 is amended by:

a. Removing paragraphs (b)(1)(i)(C), (b)(1)(vii), (b)(1)(viii), (b)(1)(xi), (b)(1)(xiii), (b)(5)(vii), (b)(7), and (b)(8);

b. Removing the last sentence of paragraph (b)(5)(v);

c. Redesignating paragraphs (b)(1)(ix) and (x) as paragraphs (b)(1)(vii) and (viii) respectively;

d. Redesignating paragraph (b)(1)(xii) as paragraph (b)(1)(ix);

e. Redesignating paragraph (b)(1)(xiv) as paragraph (b)(1)(x);

f. Removing and reserving paragraph (b)(5)(ii); and

g. Revising the heading, the introductory text, and paragraphs (b) introductory text, (b)(1)(ii), (b)(1)(iii), (b)(1)(iv), (b)(1)(v), (b)(1)(vi), the first sentence of (b)(5)(i), (b)(5)(vi), and (b)(6) to read as follows:

§ 72.72 Criteria for State operating permit program.

A State operating permit program (including a State Acid Rain program) shall meet the following criteria. Any aspect of a State operating permits program or any implementation of a State operating permit program that fails to meet these criteria shall be grounds for nonacceptance or withdrawal of all or part of the Acid Rain portion of an approved State operating permit program by the Administrator or for disapproval or withdrawal of approval of the State operating permit program by the Administrator.

* * * * *

(b) The State operating permit program shall require the following provisions, which are adopted to the extent that this paragraph (b) is incorporated by reference or is

otherwise included in the State operating permit program.

(1) * * *

(ii) *Draft Permit.* (A) The State permitting authority shall prepare the draft Acid Rain permit in accordance with subpart E of this part and part 76 of this chapter or, for a combustion or process source, with subpart B of part 74 of this chapter, or deny a draft Acid Rain permit.

(B) Prior to issuance of a draft permit for a combustion or process source, the State permitting authority shall provide the designated representative of a combustion or process source an opportunity to confirm its intention to opt-in, in accordance with § 74.14 of this chapter.

(iii) *Public Notice and Comment Period.* Public notice of the issuance or denial of the draft Acid Rain permit and the opportunity to comment and request a public hearing shall be given by publication in a newspaper of general circulation in the area where the source is located or in a State publication designed to give general public notice. Notwithstanding the prior sentence, if a draft permit requires the affected units at a source to comply with § 72.9(c)(1) and to meet any applicable emission limitation for NO_x under §§ 76.5, 76.6, 76.7, 76.8, or 76.11 of this chapter and does not include for any unit a compliance option under § 72.44, part 74 of this chapter, or § 76.10 of this chapter, the State permitting authority may, in its discretion, provide notice by serving notice on persons entitled to receive a written notice and may omit notice by newspaper or State publication.

(iv) *Proposed permit.* The State permitting authority shall incorporate all changes necessary and issue a proposed Acid Rain permit in accordance with subpart E of this part and part 76 of this chapter or, for a combustion or process source, with subpart B of part 74 of this chapter, or deny a proposed Acid Rain permit.

(v) *Direct proposed procedures.* The State permitting authority may, in its discretion, issue, as a single document, a draft Acid Rain permit in accordance with paragraph (b)(1)(ii) of this section and a proposed Acid Rain permit and may provide public notice of the opportunity for public comment on the draft Acid Rain permit in accordance with paragraph (b)(1)(iii) of this section. The State permitting authority may provide that, if no significant, adverse comment on the draft Acid Rain permit is timely submitted, the proposed Acid Rain permit will be deemed to be issued on a specified date without further notice and, if such significant, adverse

comment is timely submitted, a proposed Acid Rain permit or denial of a proposed Acid Rain permit will be issued in accordance with paragraph (b)(1)(iv) of this section. Any notice provided under this paragraph (b)(1)(v) shall include a description of the procedure in the prior sentence.

(vi) *Acid Rain Permit Issuance.* Following the Administrator's review of the proposed Acid Rain permit, the State permitting authority shall or, under part 70 of this chapter, the Administrator will, incorporate any required changes and issue or deny the Acid Rain permit in accordance with subpart E of this part and part 76 of this chapter or, for a combustion or process source, with subpart B of part 74 of this chapter.

* * * * *

(5) * * * (i) Appeals of the Acid Rain portion of an operating permit issued by the State permitting authority that do not challenge or involve decisions or actions of the Administrator under this part or part 73, 74, 75, 76, 77, or 78 of this chapter shall be conducted according to procedures established by the State in accordance with part 70 of this chapter. * * *

* * * * *

(vi) A failure of the State permitting authority to issue an Acid Rain permit in accordance with § 72.73(b)(1) or, with regard to combustion or process sources, § 74.14(b)(6) of this chapter shall be ground for filing an appeal.

(6) *Industrial Utility-Units Exemption.* The State permitting authority shall act in accordance with § 72.14 on any petition for exemption from requirements of the Acid Rain Program. 31. Section 72.73 is revised to read as follows:

§ 72.73 State Issuance of Phase II permits.

(a) *State Permit Issuance.* (1) A State that is authorized to administer and enforce an operating permit program under part 70 of this chapter and that has a State Acid Rain program accepted by the Administrator under § 72.71 shall be responsible for administering and enforcing Acid Rain permits effective in Phase II for all affected sources:

(i) That are located in the geographic area covered by the operating permits program; and

(ii) To the extent that the accepted State Acid Rain program is applicable.

(2) In administering and enforcing Acid Rain permits, the State permitting authority shall comply with the procedures for issuance, revision, renewal, and appeal of Acid Rain permits under this subpart.

(b) *Permit Issuance Deadline.* (1) A State, to the extent that it is responsible

under paragraph (a) of this section as of December 31, 1997 (or such later date as the Administrator may establish) for administering and enforcing Acid Rain permits, shall:

(i) On or before December 31, 1997, issue an Acid Rain permit for Phase II covering the affected units (other than opt-in sources) at each source in the geographic area for which the program is approved; *provided* that the designated representative of the source submitted a timely and complete Acid Rain permit application in accordance with § 72.21.

(ii) On or before January 1, 1999, for each unit subject to an Acid Rain NO_x emissions limitation, amend the Acid Rain permit under § 72.83 and add any NO_x early election plan that was approved by the Administrator under § 76.8 of this chapter and has not been terminated and reopen the Acid Rain permit and add any other Acid Rain Program nitrogen oxides requirements; *provided* that the designated representative of the affected source submitted a timely and complete Acid Rain permit application for nitrogen oxides in accordance with § 72.21.

(2) Each Acid Rain permit issued in accordance with this section shall have a term of 5 years commencing on its effective date; *provided* that, at the discretion of the permitting authority, the first Acid Rain permit for Phase II issued to a source may have a term of less than 5 years where necessary to coordinate the term of such permit with the term of an operating permit to be issued to the source under a State operating permit program. Each Acid Rain permit issued in accordance with paragraph (b)(1) of this section shall take effect by the later of January 1, 2000, or, where the permit governs a unit under § 72.6(a)(3) of this part, the deadline for monitor certification under part 75 of this chapter.

32. Section 72.74 is revised to read as follows:

§ 72.74 Federal Issuance of Phase II permits.

(a)(1) The Administrator will be responsible for administering and enforcing Acid Rain permits for Phase II for any affected sources to the extent that a State permitting authority is not responsible, as of January 1, 1997 or such later date as the Administrator may establish, for administering and enforcing Acid Rain permits for such sources under § 72.73(a).

(2) After and to the extent the State permitting authority becomes responsible for administering and enforcing Acid Rain permits under § 72.73(a), the Administrator will

suspend federal administration of Acid Rain permits for Phase II for sources and units to the extent that they are subject to the accepted State Acid Rain program, except as provided in paragraph (b)(4) of this section.

(b)(1) The Administrator will administer and enforce Acid Rain permits effective in Phase II for sources and units during any period that the Administrator is administering and enforcing an operating permit program under part 71 of this chapter for the geographic area in which the sources and units are located.

(2) The Administrator will administer and enforce Acid Rain permits effective in Phase II for sources and units otherwise subject to a State Acid Rain program under § 72.73(a) if:

(i) The Administrator determines that the State permitting authority is not adequately administering or enforcing all or a portion of the State Acid Rain program, notifies the State permitting authority of such determination and the reasons therefore, and publishes such notice in the **Federal Register**;

(ii) The State permitting authority fails either to correct the deficiencies within a reasonable period (established by the Administrator in the notice under paragraph (b)(2)(i) of this section) after issuance of the notice or to take significant action to assure adequate administration and enforcement of the program within a reasonable period (established by the Administrator in the notice) after issuance of the notice; and

(iii) The Administrator publishes in the **Federal Register** a notice that he or she will administer and enforce Acid Rain permits effective in Phase II for sources and units subject to the State Acid Rain program or a portion of the program. The effective date of such notice shall be a reasonable period (established by the Administrator in the notice) after the issuance of the notice.

(3) When the Administrator administers and enforces Acid Rain permits under paragraph (b)(1) or (b)(2) of this section, the Administrator will administer and enforce each Acid Rain permit issued under the State Acid Rain program or portion of the program until, and except to the extent that, the permit is replaced by a permit issued under this section. After the later of the date for publication of a notice in the **Federal Register** that the State operating permit program is currently approved by the Administrator or that the State Acid Rain program or portion of the program is currently accepted by the Administrator, the Administrator will suspend federal administration of Acid Rain permits effective in Phase II for sources and units to the extent that they

are subject to the State Acid Rain program or portion of the program, except as provided in paragraph (b)(4) of this section.

(4) After the State permitting authority becomes responsible for administering and enforcing Acid Rain permits effective in Phase II under § 72.73(a), the Administrator will continue to administer and enforce each Acid Rain permit issued under paragraph (a)(1), (b)(1), or (b)(2) of this section until, and except to the extent that, the permit is replaced by a permit issued under the State Acid Rain program. The State permitting authority may replace an Acid Rain permit issued under paragraph (a)(1), (b)(1), or (b)(2) of this section by issuing a permit under the State Acid Rain program by the expiration of the permit under paragraph (a)(1), (b)(1), or (b)(2) of this section. The Administrator may retain jurisdiction over the Acid Rain permits issued under paragraph (a)(1), (b)(1), or (b)(2) of this section for which the administrative or judicial review process is not complete and will address such retention of jurisdiction in a notice in the **Federal Register**.

(c) **Permit Issuance Deadline.** (1)(i) On or before January 1, 1998, the Administrator will issue an Acid Rain permit for Phase II setting forth the Acid Rain Program sulfur dioxide requirements for each affected unit (other than opt-in sources) at a source not under the jurisdiction of a State permitting authority that is responsible, as of January 1, 1997 (or such later date as the Administrator may establish), under § 72.73(a) of this section for administering and enforcing Acid Rain permits with such requirements; *provided* that the designated representative for the source submitted a timely and complete Acid Rain permit application in accordance with § 72.21. The failure by the Administrator to issue a permit in accordance with this paragraph shall be grounds for the filing of an appeal under part 78 of this chapter.

(ii) Each Acid Rain permit issued in accordance with this section shall have a term of 5 years commencing on its effective date. Each Acid Rain permit issued in accordance with paragraph (c)(1)(i) of this section shall take effect by the later of January 1, 2000 or, where a permit governs a unit under § 72.6(a)(3), the deadline for monitor certification under part 75 of this chapter.

(2) **Nitrogen Oxides.** Not later than 6 months following submission by the designated representative of an Acid Rain permit application for nitrogen oxides, the Administrator will amend

under § 72.83 the Acid Rain permit and add any NO_x early election plan that was approved under § 76.8 of this chapter and has not been terminated and reopen the Acid Rain permit for Phase II and add any other Acid Rain Program nitrogen oxides requirements for each affected source not under the jurisdiction of a State permitting authority that is responsible, as of January 1, 1997 (or such later date as the Administrator may establish), under § 72.73(a) for issuing Acid Rain permits with such requirements; *provided* that the designated representative for the source submitted a timely and complete Acid Rain permit application for nitrogen oxides in accordance with § 72.21.

(d) **Permit Issuance.** (1) The Administrator may utilize any or all of the provisions of subparts E and F of this part to administer Acid Rain permits as authorized under this section or may adopt by rulemaking portions of a State Acid Rain program in substitution of or in addition to provisions of subparts E and F of this part to administer such permits. The provisions of Acid Rain permits for Phase I or Phase II issued by the Administrator shall not be applicable requirements under part 70 of this chapter.

(2) The Administrator may delegate all or part of his or her responsibility, under this section, for administering and enforcing Phase II Acid Rain permits or opt-in permits to a State. Such delegation will be made consistent with the requirements of this part and the provisions governing delegation of a part 71 program under part 71 of this chapter.

33. Section 72.80 is amended by revising paragraphs (a), (b), (d), (e), (f), and (g) to read as follows:

§ 72.80 General.

(a) This subpart shall govern revisions to any Acid Rain permit issued by the Administrator and to the Acid Rain portion of any operating permit issued by a State permitting authority.

(b) Notwithstanding the operating permit revision procedures specified in parts 70 and 71 of this chapter, the provisions of this subpart shall govern revision of any Acid Rain Program permit provision.

(d) The terms of the Acid Rain permit shall apply while the permit revision is pending, except as provided in § 72.83 for administrative permit amendments.

(e) The standard requirements of § 72.9 shall not be modified or voided by a permit revision.

(f) Any permit revision involving incorporation of a compliance option that was not submitted for approval and comment during the permit issuance process or involving a change in a compliance option that was previously submitted, shall meet the requirements for applying for such compliance option under subpart D of this part and parts 74 and 76 of this chapter.

(g) Any designated representative who fails to submit any relevant information or who has submitted incorrect information in a permit revision shall, upon becoming aware of such failure or incorrect submittal, promptly submit such supplementary information or corrected information to the permitting authority.

34. Section 72.81 is amended by: removing from paragraph (c)(1)(ii) the words "and § 70.7(e)(4)(ii) of this chapter"; and revising paragraph (c)(2) to read as follows:

§ 72.81 Permit modifications.

(c) * * *

(2) For purposes of applying paragraph (c)(1) of this section, a requested permit modification shall be treated as a permit application, to the extent consistent with § 72.80(c) and (d).

35. Section 72.82 is amended by revising paragraphs (a) and (d) to read as follows:

§ 72.82 Fast-track modifications.

(a) If the Administrator is the permitting authority, the designated representative shall serve a copy of the fast-track modification on the Administrator and any person entitled to a written notice under § 72.65(b)(1)(ii) and (iii). If a State is the permitting authority, the designated representative shall serve such a copy on the Administrator, the permitting authority, and any person entitled to receive a written notice of a draft permit under the approved State operating permit program. Within 5 business days of serving such copies, the designated representative shall also give public notice by publication in a newspaper of general circulation in the area where the sources are located or in a State publication designed to give general public notice.

(d) Within 30 days of the close of the public comment period if the Administrator is the permitting authority or within 90 days of the close of the public comment period if a State is the permitting authority, the permitting authority shall consider the

fast-track modification and the comments received and approve, in whole or in part or with changes or conditions as appropriate, or disapprove the modification. A fast-track modification shall be subject to the same provisions for review by the Administrator and affected States as are applicable to a permit modification under § 72.81.

36. Section 72.83 is amended by: removing from paragraph (a)(10) the words "regulations implementing section 407 of the Act" and adding, in their place, the words "part 76 of this chapter"; and revising paragraphs (a)(12) and (b) and adding paragraphs (a)(13), (a)(14), (c), and (d) to read as follows:

§ 72.83 Administrative permit amendment.

(a) * * *

(12) The addition of a NO_x early election plan that was approved by the Administrator under § 76.8 of this chapter;

(13) The addition of an exemption for which the requirements have been met under § 72.7 or § 72.8 or which was approved by the permitting authority under § 72.14; and

(14) Incorporation of changes that the Administrator has determined to be similar to those in paragraphs (a)(1) through (13) of this section.

(b)(1) The permitting authority will take final action on an administrative permit amendment within 60 days, or, for the addition of an alternative emissions limitation demonstration period, within 90 days, of receipt of the requested amendment and may take such action without providing prior public notice. The source may implement any changes in the administrative permit amendment immediately upon submission of the requested amendment, *provided that* the requirements of paragraph (a) of this section are met.

(2) The permitting authority may, on its own motion, make an administrative permit amendment under paragraph (a)(3), (a)(4), (a)(12), or (a)(13) of this section at least 30 days after providing notice to the designated representative of the amendment and without providing any other prior public notice.

(c) The permitting authority will designate the permit revision under paragraph (b) of this section as having been made as an administrative permit amendment. Where a State is the permitting authority, the permitting authority shall submit the revised portion of the permit to the Administrator.

(d) An administrative amendment shall not be subject to the provisions for

review by the Administrator and affected States applicable to a permit modification under § 72.81.

37. Section 72.85 is amended by revising paragraphs (a) and (c) to read as follows:

§ 72.85 Permit reopenings.

(a) The permitting authority shall reopen an Acid Rain permit for cause whenever:

(1) Any additional requirement under the Acid Rain Program becomes applicable to any affected unit governed by the permit;

(2) The permitting authority determines that the permit contains a material mistake or that an inaccurate statement was made in establishing the emissions standards or other terms or conditions of the permit, unless the mistake or statement is corrected in accordance with § 72.83; or

(3) The permitting authority determines that the permit must be revised or revoked to assure compliance with Acid Rain Program requirements.

(c) As provided in §§ 72.73(b)(1) and 72.74(c)(2), the permitting authority shall reopen an Acid Rain permit to incorporate nitrogen oxides requirements, consistent with part 76 of this chapter.

38. Section 72.91 is amended by:

a. Removing from paragraph (b)(1)(i) the words "improved unit measures" and adding, in their place, the words "improved unit efficiency measures";

b. Removing from paragraph (b)(1)(iii) introductory text, the words "all figures" and adding, in their place, the words "each figure";

c. Removing from paragraph (b)(1)(iii)(B) the words "measures, and" and adding, in their place, the words "measures, or";

d. Removing from paragraph (b)(1)(iii)(C) the words "measures." and adding, in their place, the words "measures, except measures relating to generation efficiency.";

e. Removing from paragraph (b)(3) the words "unit efficiency measures" and adding, in their place, the words "improved unit efficiency measures";

f. Removing from paragraph (b)(4) introductory text, the word "units's" and adding, in its place, the word "unit's";

g. Removing from the formula in paragraph (b)(4) introductory text, the word "heat" and adding, in its place, the word "heat";

h. Removing from paragraph (b)(4)(i) the word "units'" and adding, in its place, the word "unit's"; revising paragraphs (b)(5), (b)(6), and (b)(7); and

i. Adding paragraphs (b)(1)(iv) and (b)(4)(iv) to read as follows:

§ 72.91 Phase I unit adjusted utilization.

* * *

(b) * * *

(1) * * *

(iv) The sum of the verified reductions in a unit's heat input from all measures implemented at the unit to reduce the unit's heat rate (whether the measures are treated as supply-side measures or improved unit efficiency measures) shall not exceed the generation (in kwh) attributed to the unit for the calendar year times the difference between the unit's heat rate for 1987 and the unit's heat rate for the calendar year.

* * *

(4) * * *

(iv) The allowances credited shall not exceed the total number of allowances deducted from the unit's compliance subaccount for the calendar year in accordance with §§ 72.92(a) and (c) and 73.35(b) of this chapter.

(5) If the total, included in the confirmation report, of the amount of verified reduction in the unit's heat input for energy conservation and improved unit efficiency measures is less than the total estimated in the unit's annual compliance certification report for such measures for the calendar year, then the designated representative shall include in the confirmation report the number of allowances to be deducted from the unit's compliance subaccount calculated in accordance with this paragraph (b)(5).

(i) If any allowances were deducted from the unit's compliance subaccount for the calendar year in accordance with §§ 72.92(a) and (c) and 73.35(b) of this chapter, then the number of allowances to be deducted under paragraph (b)(5) of this section equals the absolute value of the result of the formula for allowances credited under paragraph (b)(4) of this section (excluding paragraph (b)(4)(iv) of this section).

(ii) If no allowances were deducted from the unit's compliance subaccount for the calendar year in accordance with §§ 72.92(a) and (c) and 73.35(b) of this chapter:

(A) The designated representative shall recalculate the unit's adjusted utilization in accordance with paragraph (a) of this section, replacing the amounts for reduction from energy conservation and reduction from improved unit efficiency by the amount for verified heat input reduction.

"Verified heat input reduction" is the total of the amounts of verified reduction in the unit's heat input (in mmBtu) from energy conservation and

improved unit efficiency measures included in the confirmation report.

(B) After recalculating the adjusted utilization under paragraph (b)(5)(ii)(A) of this section for all Phase I units that are in the unit's dispatch system and to which paragraph (b)(5) of this section is applicable, the designated representative shall calculate the number of allowances to be surrendered in accordance with § 72.92(c)(2) using the recalculated adjusted utilizations of such Phase I units.

(C) The allowances to be deducted under paragraph (b)(5) of this section shall equal the amount under paragraph (b)(5)(ii)(B) of this section, *provided* that if the amount calculated under this paragraph (b)(5)(ii)(C) is equal to or less than zero, then the amount of allowances to be deducted is zero.

(6) The Administrator will determine the amount of allowances that would have been included in the unit's compliance subaccount and the amount of excess emissions of sulfur dioxide that would have resulted if the deductions made under § 73.35(b) of this chapter had been based on the verified, rather than the estimated, reduction in the unit's heat input from energy conservation and improved unit efficiency measures.

(7) The Administrator will determine whether the amount of excess emissions of sulfur dioxide under paragraph (b)(6) of this section differs from the amount of excess emissions determined under § 73.35(b) of this chapter based on the annual compliance certification report. If the amounts differ, the Administrator will determine: The number of allowances that should be deducted to offset any increase in excess emissions or returned to account for any decrease in excess emissions; and the amount of excess emissions penalty (excluding interest) that should be paid or returned to account for the change in excess emissions. The Administrator will deduct immediately from the unit's compliance subaccount the amount of allowances that he or she determines is necessary to offset any increase in excess emissions or will return immediately to the unit's compliance subaccount the amount of allowances that he or she determines is necessary to account for any decrease in excess emissions. The designated representative may identify the serial numbers of the allowances to be deducted or returned. In the absence of such identification, the deduction will be on a first-in, first-out basis under § 73.35(b)(2) of this chapter and the

return will be at the Administrator's discretion.

* * *

39. Section 72.95 is amended by revising the formula in the introductory text and adding paragraph (d) to read as follows:

§ 72.95 Allowance deduction formula.

* * *

Total allowances deducted = Tons emitted + Allowances surrendered for underutilization + Allowances deducted for Phase I extensions + Allowances deducted for substitution or compensating units

Where:

* * *

(d) "Allowances deducted for substitution or compensating units" is the total number of allowances calculated in accordance with the surrender requirements specified under § 72.41(d)(3) or (e)(1)(iii)(B) or § 72.43(d)(2).

Part 73—[AMENDED]

40. The authority citation for part 73 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7601 and 7651 *et seq.*

41. Section 73.10 is amended by revising the section heading and adding paragraph (b)(3) to read as follows:

§ 73.10 Initial allocations for phase I and phase II.

* * *

(b) * * *

(3) Notwithstanding the amounts in Table 2 of this section, the unadjusted basic allowances for years 2000–2009 and for years 2010 and thereafter for Louisiana, Rodemacher 2 are 20,774.

* * *

42. Section 73.90 is amended by: removing from the formula in paragraph (c)(3) the words "Total Allowances Requested" and adding, in their place, the words "35,000"; removing from the formula in paragraph (c)(3) the words "35,000" and adding, in their place, the words "Total Allowances Requested"; and revising paragraphs (a)(1), (a)(2), and (a)(3) to read as follows:

§ 73.90 Allowance allocations for small diesel refineries.

(a) * * *

(1) Photocopies of Form ELA-810 for each month of calendar years 1988 through 1990 for the refinery;

(2) Photocopies of Form ELA-810 for each month of calendar years 1988 through 1990 for each refinery owned or controlled by the refiner that owns or controls the refinery seeking certification; and

(3) A letter certified by the certifying official that the submitted photocopies are exact duplicates of those forms filed

with the Department of Energy for 1988 through 1990.

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

$$\text{Refinery Allowances} = \text{the lesser of} \left[\begin{array}{l} \text{Allowances Requested} \times \frac{35,000}{\text{Total Allowances Requested}} \\ \text{or} \\ 1,500 \end{array} \right]$$

BILLING CODE 6560-50-C

* * *

PART 74—[AMENDED]

43. The authority citation for part 74 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7601 and 7651, *et seq.*

§ 74.2 [Amended]

44. Section 74.2 is amended by removing the words "a written exemption under § 72.7 or § 72.8 of this chapter" and adding, in their place, the words "an exemption under § 72.7, § 72.8 or § 72.14 of this chapter".

PART 75—[AMENDED]

45. The authority citation for part 75 is revised to read as follows:

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7601 and 7651, *et seq.*

§ 75.67 [Amended]

46. Section 75.67 is amended by removing and reserving paragraph (a).

PART 77—[AMENDED]

47. The authority citation continues to read as follows:

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7601 and 7651, *et seq.*

48. Section 77.3 is amended by revising paragraphs (d)(3), (5), and (6) to read as follows:

§ 77.3 Offset plans for excess emissions of sulfur dioxide.

* * *

(d) * * *

(3) At the designated representative's option, the number of allowances to be deducted from the unit's Allowance Tracking System account to offset the excess emissions for the year for which the plan is submitted.

* * *

(5) A statement either that allowances to offset the excess emissions are to be deducted immediately from the unit's compliance subaccount or that they are to be deducted on a specified date in a subsequent year.

(6) If the proposed offset plan does not propose an immediate deduction of

allowances under paragraph (d)(5) of this section, a demonstration that such a deduction will interfere with electric reliability.

49. Section 77.4 is amended by revising paragraphs (b)(1), (c)(2)(i), (f)(2)(i), (g)(2)(i)(B), (g)(2)(i)(C), the last two sentences of (k)(1), and (k)(2) to read as follows:

§ 77.4 Administrator's action on proposed offset plans.

* * *

(b) *Review of proposed offset plans.*

(1) If the designated representative submits a complete proposed offset plan for immediate deduction, from the unit's compliance subaccount, of allowances required to offset excess emissions of sulfur dioxide, the Administrator will approve the proposed offset plan without further review and will serve written notice of any approval on the designated representative. The Administrator will also give notice of any approval in the Federal Register. The plans will be incorporated in the unit's Acid Rain permit in accordance with § 72.84 of this chapter (automatic permit amendment) and will not be subject to the requirements of paragraphs (d) through (k) of this section.

* * *

(c) * * *

(2)(i) The designated representative shall submit the information required under paragraph (c)(1) of this section within a reasonable period determined by the Administrator.

* * *

(f) * * *

(2) * * *

(i) The reasons, and supporting authority, for approval or disapproval of any proposed offset plan that does not require immediate deduction of allowances, including references to applicable statutory or regulatory provisions and to the administrative record; and

* * *

(g) * * *

(2) * * *

(i) * * *

(B) The air pollution control agencies of affected States; and

(C) Any interested person.

* * *

(k) * * *

(1) * * *

The Administrator will serve a copy of any approved offset plan and the response to comments on the designated representative for the affected unit involved and serve written notice of the approval or disapproval of the offset plan on any persons who are entitled to written notice under paragraphs (g)(2)(i) (B) and (C) of this section or who submitted written or oral comments on the approval or disapproval of the draft offset plan. The Administrator will also give notice in the Federal Register.

(2) The Administrator will approve an offset plan requiring immediate deduction from the unit's compliance subaccount of all allowances necessary to offset the excess emissions except to the extent the designated representative of the unit demonstrates that such a deduction will interfere with electric reliability.

* * *

50. Section 77.6 is amended by revising paragraph (a) to read as follows:

§ 77.6 Penalties for excess emissions of sulfur dioxide and nitrogen oxides.

(a)(1) If excess emissions of sulfur dioxide or nitrogen oxide occur at an affected unit during any year, the owners and operators of the affected unit shall pay, without demand, an excess emissions penalty, as calculated under paragraph (b) of this section.

(2) If one or more affected units governed by an approved NO_x averaging plan under § 76.11 of this chapter fail (after applying § 76.11(d)(1)(ii)(C) of this chapter) to meet their respective alternative contemporaneous emission limitations or annual heat input limits, then excess emissions of nitrogen oxides occur during the year at each such unit. The sum of the excess emissions of nitrogen oxides of such units shall equal the amount determined under § 76.13(b)

of this chapter. The owners and operators of such units shall pay an excess emissions penalty, as calculated under paragraph (b) of this section using the sum of the excess emissions of nitrogen oxides of such units.

(3) Except as otherwise provided in this paragraph (a)(3), payment under paragraphs (a) (1) or (2) of this section shall be submitted to the Administrator by 30 days after the date on which the Administrator serves the designated representative a notice that the process of recordation set forth in § 73.34(a) of this chapter is completed or by July 1 of the year after the year in which the excess emissions occurred, whichever date is earlier. Payment under paragraph (a)(1) of this section for any increase in excess emissions of sulfur dioxide determined after adjustments made under § 72.91(b) of this chapter shall be submitted to the Administrator by 30 days after the date on which the Administrator serves the designated representative a notice that process set forth in § 72.91(b) of this chapter is completed.

* * * * *

PART 78—[AMENDED]

51. The authority citation for part 78 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7601 and 7651, *et seq.*

52. Section 78.1 is amended by revising paragraphs (a) and (b)(1)(v) to read as follows:

§ 78.1 Purpose and scope.

(a)(1) This part shall govern appeals of any final decision of the Administrator under parts 72, 73, 74, 75, 76, and 77 of this chapter; *provided* that matters listed § 78.3(d) and preliminary, procedural, or intermediate decisions, such as draft Acid Rain permits, may not be appealed.

(2) Filing an appeal, and exhausting administrative remedies, under this part shall be a prerequisite to seeking judicial review. For purposes of judicial review, final agency action occurs only when a decision appealable under this part is issued and the procedures under this part for appealing the decision are exhausted.

(b) * * *

(1) * * *

(v) The issuance or denial of an exemption under § 72.14 of this chapter;

* * * * *

§ 78.3 [Amended]

53. Section 78.3 is amended by:

a. Removing from paragraph (b)(1) the words "60 days" and adding, in their place, the words "30 days";

b. Removing from paragraph (b)(1) the words "action." and adding, in their place, the words "action and shall not meet the prerequisite for judicial review under § 78.1(a)(2).";

c. Removing from paragraph (b)(3)(ii) the words "the persons entitled to written notice under § 72.65(b)(1) (ii), (iii), and (iv) of this chapter." and adding, in their place, the words "the air pollution control agencies of affected States and any interested person.";

d. Adding at the end of paragraph (c)(6) the word "and"; removing from paragraph (c)(7) the words "; and" and adding, in their place, the word ".";

e. Removing paragraph (c)(8);

f. Removing paragraph (d)(1); and

g. Redesignating paragraphs (d)(2), (d)(3), and (d)(4) as paragraphs (d)(1), (d)(2), and (d)(3) respectively.

§ 78.4 [Amended]

54. Section 78.4 is amended by: removing from paragraph (c)(1) the words "7 days" and adding, in its place, the words "7 days (or other reasonable period established by the Environmental Appeals Board or Presiding Officer)."; and removing from paragraph (c)(1) the words "it, unless the Environmental Appeals Board or Presiding Officer authorizes a longer time based on good cause." and adding, in their place, the words "it."

55. Section 78.5 is amended by removing from paragraph (a) the words "to submit a claim of error notification" and adding, in their place, the words "a claim of error notification was submitted".

§ 78.5 [Amended]

§ 78.7 [Removed and reserved]

8056. Section 78.7 is removed and reserved.

§ 78.11 [Amended]

57. Section 78.11 is amended by: removing from paragraph (a) the words "30 days" and adding, in their place, the words "30 days (or other shorter, reasonable period established by the Administrator when giving notice)".

§ 78.12 [Amended]

58. Section 78.12 is amended by: removing from paragraph (a)(2) the

words "a written exemption under §§ 72.7 or 72.8" and adding, in their place, the words "an exemption under § 72.14".

§ 78.14 [Amended]

59. Section 78.14 is amended by: removing from paragraph (a), introductory text, the word "theses" and adding, in its place, the word "these"; removing from paragraph (a)(10) the words "15 days" and adding, in their place, the words "15 days (or other shorter, reasonable period established by the Presiding Officer)"; and removing from paragraph (c)(1) the words "Rule 408 of".

§ 78.15 [Amended]

60. Section 78.15 is amended by: removing from paragraph (c) the words "10 days" and adding, in their place, the words "10 days (or other shorter, reasonable period established by the Presiding Officer)"; and removing the last sentence from paragraph (c).

§ 78.16 [Amended]

61. Section 78.16 is amended by: removing from paragraphs (d)(1) and (d)(2) the words "7 days" and adding, in their place, the words "7 days (or other shorter, reasonable period established by the Presiding Officer)".

§ 78.17 [Amended]

62. Section 78.17 is amended by: removing the words "45 days" and adding, in their place, the words "45 days (or other shorter, reasonable period established by the Presiding Officer)"; and removing the words ", for good cause shown, may shorten or extend the time for filing and".

§ 78.18 [Amended]

63. Section 78.18 is amended by: removing from paragraph (b), introductory text, the words "30 days after service unless within that time:" and adding, in their place, the words "unless:".

§ 78.20 [Amended]

64. Section 78.20 is amended by: removing from paragraph (b) the words "30 days" and adding, in their place, the words "45 days (or other shorter, reasonable period established by the Environmental Appeals Board)".

[FR Doc. 97-27495 Filed 10-23-97; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 5560-50-P

2. In 21.7636, paragraphs (a)(1), (a)(2)(i), and (a)(3) are revised to read as follows:

§ 21.7636 Rates of payment.

(a) *Monthly rate of educational assistance.* (1) Except as otherwise provided in this section or in § 21.7639,

the monthly rate of educational assistance payable to a reservist is the amount stated in this table:

Period of pursuit of training	Training time			
	Full-time	¾ time	½ time	¼ time
Oct. 1, 1996–Sept. 30, 1997	\$203.24	\$152.43	\$101.62	\$50.81
On or after Oct. 1, 1997	208.93	156.70	104.47	52.23

(2) * * *

(i)

Training period	Monthly rate	
	Oct. 1, 1996–Sept. 30, 1997	On or after Oct. 1, 1997
First six months of pursuit of training	\$152.43	\$156.70
Second six months of pursuit of training	111.78	114.91
Remaining pursuit of training	71.13	73.13

(3) The monthly rate of educational assistance payable to a reservist for pursuit of a cooperative course during the period beginning on October 9, 1996, and ending on September 30, 1997, is \$203.24. The monthly rate of educational assistance payable to a reservist for pursuit of a cooperative course on or after October 1, 1997, will be the rate stated in paragraph (a)(1) of this section.

[FR Doc. 97-32988 Filed 12-17-97; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 8320-01-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Parts 9, 72, 73, 74, 75, 77, and 78

[FRL-5936-3]

RIN 2060-AF43

Acid Rain Program: Revisions to Permits, Allowance System, Sulfur Dioxide Opt-Ins, Continuous Emission Monitoring, Excess Emissions, and Appeal Procedures

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Final rule; correction.

SUMMARY: Title IV of the Clean Air Act authorizes the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA or the Agency) to establish the Acid Rain Program. The purposes of the Acid Rain Program is to significantly reduce emissions of sulfur dioxides and nitrogen oxides from utility electric generating plants in order to reduce the

adverse health and ecological impacts of acidic deposition (or acid rain) resulting from such emissions. On January 11 and March 23, 1993, the Agency promulgated final rules governing permitting, the allowance system, continuous emissions monitoring, excess emissions, and appeal procedures. On October 24, 1997, EPA published final revisions to those rules. This action corrects certain inadvertent, drafting errors in the October 24, 1997 document.

EFFECTIVE DATE: December 18, 1997.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Dwight C. Alpern, attorney-advisor, at (202) 564-9151 (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M Street, SW, Acid Rain Division (6204J), Washington DC 20460); or the Acid Rain Hotline at (202) 564-9620.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On October 24, 1997 (62 FR 55460 (1997)), EPA promulgated final revisions to the permits, allowance system, sulfur dioxide opt-ins, continuous emission monitoring, excess emissions, and appeal procedures rules. Subsequently, EPA identified certain inadvertent, drafting errors in the October 24, 1997 document. While the errors may cause some confusion, they do not alter the substance of the rule revisions. Today's action corrects those errors.

The October 24, 1997 final rule, EPA revised the procedures for fast-track modifications of Acid Rain permits. In particular, § 72.82(d) was revised to provide State permitting authorities 90 days after the close of the 30-day comment period (or a total of 120 days) for acting on a requested fast-track modification. 62 FR 55485; *see also* 61

FR 68340, 68377 (1996) (proposed rule revisions). The October 24, 1997 preamble erroneously stated that proposed rule revisions (promulgated on December 27, 1996) and the final rule revisions gave State permitting authorities only 60 days after the comment period (or a total of 90 days). Today's action corrects the preamble language to make it consistent with the rule language.

The other corrections made by today's action involve minor corrections to ensure that revised language for certain rule provisions is correctly incorporated into those provisions. For example, the October 24, 1997 document removed certain words in § 78.4(c)(1) and replaced them with new language. In so doing, the October 24, 1997 document failed to state all of the words that are to be removed. This is corrected by today's action.

For the reasons discussed above, this action is not a "significant regulatory action" and is therefore not subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget under Executive Order 12866 (58 FR 51735 (1993)). For the same reasons, this action does not impose annual costs of \$100 million or more, will not significantly or uniquely affect small governments, and is not a significant federal intergovernmental mandate. With regard to this action, the Agency thus has no obligations under sections 202, 203, 204, and 205 of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-4). Moreover, since this action is not subject to notice-and-comment requirements under the Administrative Procedure Act or any other statute, the action is not subject to

the provisions of the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601, *et seq.*).

Under 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A) as added by the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996, EPA submitted a report containing this document and any other required information to the U.S. Senate, the U.S. House of Representatives, and the Comptroller General of the General Accounting Office prior to publication of this document in today's **Federal Register**. This action is not a "major rule" as defined in 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

Dated: December 9, 1997.

Richard D. Wilson,

Acting Assistant Administrator for Air and Radiation.

Accordingly, for the reasons set out above, the publication on October 24, 1997 of the final rule at 62 FR 55460 is corrected as follows:

1. On page 55470, first column, lines 45-47, the words "a 90-day period (i.e., the 30-day comment period and 60 days after the end of the period)" are removed and replaced by the words "a 120-day period (i.e., the 30-day comment period and 90 days after the end of the period)".

PART 72—[CORRECTED]

§ 72.8 [Corrected]

2. On page 55477, third column, § 72.8(b)(2), line 4, the words "which that the unit" are removed and replaced by the words "which the unit".

PART 77—[CORRECTED]

§ 77.4 [Corrected]

3. On page 55487, second column, amendatory instruction 49, line 4, the words "and removing paragraph (g)(2)(i)(D)" are added after the words "(k)(2)".

PART 78—[CORRECTED]

§ 78.4 [Corrected]

4. On page 55488, second column, amendatory instruction 54, line 11, the word "shown" is added after the words "based on good cause".

[FR Doc. 97-32927 Filed 12-17-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[IL117-3; FRL-5935-2]

Approval and Promulgation of State Implementation Plan; Illinois

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: EPA is taking final action on the following revisions to the Illinois ozone State Implementation Plan (SIP): Rate-Of-Progress (ROP) plans for the purpose of reducing Volatile Organic Compound (VOC) emissions in the Chicago ozone nonattainment area (Cook, DuPage, Kane, Lake, McHenry, and Will Counties, Oswego Township in Kendall County, and Aux Sable and Goose Lake Townships in Grundy County) and in the Metro-East St. Louis ozone nonattainment area (Madison, Monroe, and St. Clair Counties) by 15 percent by November 15, 1996, relative to 1990 baseline emissions; contingency plans for the same ozone nonattainment areas for the purpose of achieving an additional 3 percent VOC emission reductions beyond the 15 percent ROP plans; and transportation control measures (TCM) for the Metro-East St. Louis area. Previously, on July 14, 1997, EPA issued a direct final approval of these SIP revisions. On the same day (July 14, 1997), EPA proposed approval and solicited public comment on the SIP revisions. This proposed rule established a 30-day public comment period noting that if adverse comments were received regarding the direct final rule EPA would withdraw the direct final rule and publish an additional final rule to address the public comments. Adverse comments were received during the public comment period, and EPA withdrew the direct final rule on September 3, 1997 (62 FR 46446). This final rule addresses these comments and finalizes the approval of the Chicago and Metro-East area 15 percent and contingency plans, and the Metro-East area TCMs.

DATES: This final rule is effective January 20, 1998.

ADDRESSES: Copies of the SIP revision request are available for inspection at the following address: (It is recommended that you telephone Mark J. Palermo at (312) 886-6082, before visiting the Region 5 office).

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, Air and Radiation Division, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois, 60604.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mark J. Palermo, Environmental Protection Specialist, at (312) 886-6082.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background on Rate-Of-Progress and Contingency Plan Requirements and EPA Review Criteria

On November 15, 1990, Congress enacted amendments to the Clean Air Act (Act); Public Law 101-549, 104 Stat. 2399, codified at 42 U.S.C. 7401-7671q. Section 182(b)(1) of the Act requires States with ozone nonattainment areas classified as moderate and above to submit ROP plans to reduce VOC emissions by 15 percent from 1990 levels by November 15, 1996, accounting for growth in the VOC emissions occurring after 1990. For purposes of these plans, the Act, under sections 182(b)(1) (B) and (D), defines baseline emissions as the total amounts of actual VOC emissions from all anthropogenic sources in the ozone nonattainment areas during the calendar year of the enactment of the revision of the Act (1990), subtracting or factoring out emission reductions achieved by the Federal Motor Vehicle Emissions Control Program (FMVCP) regulations promulgated before January 1, 1990, and by the 1990 gasoline Reid Vapor Pressure (RVP) regulations (55 FR 23666, June 11, 1990).¹ The baseline emissions are also referred to as the "1990 adjusted base year inventories." EPA interprets "calendar year" emissions to consist of typical ozone season weekday emissions, because the applicable ozone National Ambient Air Quality Standard (NAAQS) (0.12 parts per million, one-hour average) is generally exceeded or violated during ozone season weekdays when ozone precursor emissions and meteorological conditions are the most conducive to ozone formation. (See "State Implementation Plans: General Preamble for the Implementation of Title I of the Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990," proposed rule (57 FR 13507), **Federal Register**, April 16, 1992 (hereafter referred to as the General Preamble)).

Section 182(b)(1)(D) of the Act places limits on what emission reductions can be claimed by ROP plans. All permanent and enforceable VOC emission reductions occurring after 1990 are creditable with the following exceptions: (1) Those resulting from any emission control measure relating to

¹ The 1990 RVP regulations limit the volatility of gasoline in ozone nonattainment areas during the ozone season. The FMVCP provides vehicle emission limits that automobile manufacturers must meet in designing and building new automobiles.

specified by Executive Order 12875 (58 FR 58093, October 28, 1993), or involve special consideration of environmental justice related issues as required by Executive Order 12898 (59 FR 7629, February 16, 1994). Because this action is not subject to notice-and-comment requirements under the Administrative Procedure Act or any other statute, it is not subject to the regulatory flexibility provisions of the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*). EPA's compliance with these statutes and Executive Orders for the underlying rule is discussed in the May 29, 1997, **Federal Register** document.

Pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A), as added by the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996, EPA will submit a report containing this rule and other required information to the U.S. Senate, the U.S. House of Representatives and the Comptroller General of the General Accounting Office; however, in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 808(2), this rule is effective on May 1, 1998. This rule is not a "major rule" as defined in 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

This final rule only amends the effective date of the underlying rule; it does not amend any substantive requirements contained in the rule. Accordingly, to the extent it is available, judicial review is limited to the amended effective date.

Dated: April 22, 1998.

Carol Browner,
Administrator.

[FR Doc. 98-11545 Filed 4-30-98; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6560-50-M

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 63

[FRL-5983-2]

Technical Amendments to Approval of Section 112(l) Program of Delegation; Wisconsin; Correction of Effective Date Under Congressional Review Act (CRA)

AGENCY: Environmental Protection
Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Direct final rule; correction of
effective date under CRA.

SUMMARY: On April 1, 1997 (62 FR 15402), the Environmental Protection Agency published in the **Federal Register** a direct final rule concerning the approval of Wisconsin's request for delegation of the Federal Air Toxics program contained within 40 CFR parts 61 and 63 pursuant to section 112(l) of the Clean Air Act (CAA) as amended,

which established an effective date of June 2, 1997. This document corrects the effective date of the rule of May 1, 1998 to be consistent with sections 801 and 808 of the Congressional Review Act (CRA), enacted as part of the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act, 5 U.S.C. 801 and 808.

EFFECTIVE DATE: This rule is effective on May 1, 1998.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Tom Eagles, Office of Air and Radiation at (202) 260-5585.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

Section 801 of the CRA precludes a rule from taking effect until the agency promulgating the rule submits a rule report, which includes a copy of the rule, to each House of Congress and to the Comptroller General of the General Accounting Office (GAO). EPA recently discovered that it had inadvertently failed to submit the above rule as required; thus, although the rule was promulgated on the date stated in the April 1, 1997, **Federal Register** document, by operation of law, the rule did not take effect on June 2, 1997, as stated therein. Now that EPA has discovered its error, the rule has been submitted to both Houses of Congress and the GAO. This document amends the effective date of the rule consistent with the provisions of the CRA.

Section 553 of the Administrative Procedure Act, 5 U.S.C. 553(b)(B), provides that, when an agency for good cause finds that notice and public procedure are impracticable, unnecessary, or contrary to the public interest, an agency may issue a rule without providing notice and an opportunity for public comment. EPA has determined that there is good cause for making today's rule final without prior proposal and opportunity for comment because EPA merely is correcting the effective date of the promulgated rule to be consistent with the congressional review requirements of the Congressional Review Act as a matter of law and has no discretion in this matter. Thus, notice and public procedure are unnecessary. The Agency finds that this constitutes good cause under 5 U.S.C. 553(b)(B). Moreover, since today's action does not create any new regulatory requirements and affected parties have known of the underlying rule since April 1, 1997, EPA finds that good cause exists to provide for an immediate effective date pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 553(d)(3) and 808(2).

II. Administrative Requirements

Under Executive Order 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993), this action is not a "significant regulatory action" and is therefore not subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget. In addition, this action does not impose any enforceable duty or contain any unfunded mandate as described in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-4), or require prior consultation with State officials as specified by Executive Order 12875 (58 FR 58093, October 28, 1993), or involve special consideration of environmental justice related issues as required by Executive Order 12898 (59 FR 7629, February 16, 1994). Because this action is not subject to notice-and-comment requirements under the Administrative Procedure Act or any other statute, it is not subject to the regulatory flexibility provisions of the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*). EPA's compliance with these statutes and Executive Orders for the underlying rule is discussed in the April 1, 1997, **Federal Register** document.

Pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A), as added by the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996, EPA will submit a report containing this rule and other required information to the U.S. Senate, the U.S. House of Representatives and the Comptroller General of the General Accounting Office; however, in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 808(2), this rule is effective on May 1, 1998. This rule is not a "major rule" as defined in 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

This final rule only amends the effective date of the underlying rule; it does not amend any substantive requirements contained in the rule. Accordingly, to the extent it is available, judicial review is limited to the amended effective date.

Dated: April 22, 1998.

Carol Browner,
Administrator.

[FR Doc. 98-11550 Filed 4-30-98; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6560-50-M

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 76

[FRL-6006-2]

RIN 2069-AF48

Acid Rain Program; Nitrogen Oxides Emission Reduction Program

AGENCY: Environmental Protection
Agency.

ACTION: Final rule in response to court order.

SUMMARY: This action removes a provision of a final rule concerning emission limitations for the second phase of the Nitrogen Oxides Reduction Program under Title IV of the Clean Air Act ("Act"). The provision was recently remanded to EPA by the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit at EPA's request.

EFFECTIVE DATE: May 1, 1998.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dwight C. Alpern, Acid Rain Division (6204J), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M Street, SW, Washington, D.C. 20460, (202) 564-9151.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On April 13, 1995, EPA promulgated nitrogen oxides ("NO_x") emission limitations (in lb/mmBtu) for certain types of coal-fired utility boilers for the Acid Rain Program under title IV of the Act. 60 FR 18751 (1995). EPA set limits of 0.45 and 0.50 lb/mmBtu respectively for tangentially fired boilers and dry bottom, wall fired boilers ("Group 1 boilers"). On December 19, 1996, EPA promulgated additional NO_x emission limitations for Phase II of the program, i.e., revised limits for Group 1 boilers and new limits for cell burner, cyclone, wet bottom, and vertically fired boilers ("Group 2 boilers"). 61 FR 67112 (1996). In setting the December 19, 1996 NO_x limits, EPA also promulgated a final rule provision (i.e. § 76.16) that addressed the relationship between NO_x requirements under titles I and IV of the Act and provided a mechanism under which the December 19, 1996 NO_x limits would become inapplicable to certain boilers. As part of recent litigation in which the December 19, 1996 regulations were upheld by the Court (*Appalachian Power v. U.S. EPA*, 135 F.3d 791 (D.C. Cir., 1998)), EPA requested a remand, which was granted by the Court, of § 76.16 in order to provide additional opportunity for public comment on the provision. In today's action, EPA is removing the existing, final provision in § 76.16 and will take no further action on the provision in the instant rulemaking proceeding. In a separate, future rulemaking proceeding, EPA intends to propose a similar provision (i.e., as a new, proposed § 76.16) and provide an additional opportunity for public comment.

For the reasons discussed above, this action is not a "significant regulatory action" and is therefore not subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget under Executive Order 12866 (58 FR 51735 (1993)). For the same

reasons, this action does not impose annual costs of \$100 million or more, will not significantly or uniquely affect small governments, and is not a significant federal intergovernmental mandate. With regard to this action, the Agency thus has no obligations under sections 202, 203, 204, and 205 of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (P.L. 104-4). Moreover, since this action is not subject to notice-and-comment requirements under the Administrative Procedure Act or any other statute, the action is not subject to the provisions of the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601, *et seq.*).

The Congressional Review Act, 5 U.S.C. 801, *et seq.*, as added by the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996, generally provides that before a rule may take effect, the agency promulgating the rule must submit a rule report, which includes a copy of the rule, to each House of the Congress and to the Comptroller General of the United States. EPA will submit a report containing this action and any other required information to the U.S. Senate, the U.S. House of Representatives, and the Comptroller General of the General Accounting Office prior to publication of this document in the *Federal Register*. This action is not a "major rule" as defined in 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 76

Environmental protection, Acid rain, Air pollution control, Electric utilities, Nitrogen oxides, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Sulfur oxides.

Dated: April 24, 1998.

Richard D. Wilson,
Assistant Administrator for Air and Radiation.

Accordingly, for the reasons set out above, 40 CFR part 76 is amended as follows:

PART 76—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for part 761 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7601 and 7651, *et seq.*

§ 576.16 [Removed]

2. Section 76.16 is removed.
[FR Doc. 98-11662 Filed 4-30-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 80

[FRL-5983-5]

Technical Amendments to Use of Alternative Analytical Test Methods in the Reformulated Gasoline Program; Correction of Effective Date Under Congressional Review Act (CRA)

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Final rule; correction of effective date under CRA.

SUMMARY: On November 13, 1996 (61 FR 58303), the Environmental Protection Agency published in the *Federal Register* a final rule concerning the extension of the time period during which certain alternative analytical test methods may be used in the Federal Reformulated Gasoline (RFG) program, which established an effective date of January 13, 1997. This document corrects the effective date of the rule to May 1, 1998 to be consistent with sections 801 and 808 of the Congressional Review Act (CRA), enacted as part of the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act, 5 U.S.C. 801 and 808.

EFFECTIVE DATE: This rule is effective on May 1, 1998.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Tom Eagles, Office of Air and Radiation at (202) 260-5585.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

Section 801 of the CRA precludes a rule from taking effect until the agency promulgating the rule submits a rule report, which includes a copy of the rule, to each House of Congress and to the Comptroller General of the General Accounting Office (GAO). EPA recently discovered that it had inadvertently failed to submit the above rule as required; thus, although the rule was promulgated on the date stated in the November 13, 1996, *Federal Register* document, by operation of law, the rule did not take effect on January 13, 1997, as stated therein. Now that EPA has discovered its error, the November 13, 1996, rule has been submitted to both Houses of Congress and the GAO. This document amends the effective date of the rule consistent with the provisions of the CRA.

Section 553 of the Administrative Procedure Act, 5 U.S.C. 553(b)(3), provides that, when an agency for good cause finds that notice and public procedure are impracticable,

The Commission is exempt from Executive Order 12866 and its provisions do not apply to this rule. Even if the Order were applicable, the rule would not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act. The implementation of the rule will have no adverse effect on competition, employment, investment, productivity, innovation, or on the ability of United States-based enterprises to compete with foreign-based enterprises in domestic or export markets.

Finally, the Secretary of the Panama Canal Commission certifies these changes meet the applicable standards set out in sections 2(a) and 2(b)(2) of Executive Order 12778.

List of Subjects

35 CFR Part 113

Cargo vessels, Hazardous materials transportation, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

35 CFR Part 115

Organization and functions (Government agencies), Panama Canal.

For the reasons stated in the Preamble, the Panama Canal Commission amends 35 CFR Parts 113 and 115 as follows:

PART 113—DANGEROUS CARGOES

1. The authority citation for part 113 is revised to read as follows:

Authority: 22 U.S.C. 3811; EO 12215, 45 FR 36043, 3 CFR 1980 Comp., p. 257.

2. Revise § 113.49(b) to read as follows:

§ 113.49 Class 1, Explosives.

(b) Explosive cargo to be used for other than official U.S. Government purposes may not be loaded or off-loaded at facilities of the Panama Canal Commission. Explosive anchorages prescribed in §§ 101.8(a)(2) and (3) and 101.8(c)(2) of this chapter may be used upon approval of the Marine Safety Advisor, or his designee, and with the concurrence of the Canal Operations Captain.

PART 115—BOARD OF LOCAL INSPECTORS; COMPOSITION AND FUNCTIONS

1. The authority citation for part 115 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 22 U.S.C. 3778; E.O. 12215, 45 FR 36043, 3 CFR 1980 Comp., p. 257.

§ 115.2 [Amended]

2. Amend § 115.2 as follows:

In paragraph (b) remove the word "Administrator" and add, in its place, the words "Marine Operations Director".

Dated: April 10, 1998.

John A. Mills,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 98-9965 Filed 4-15-98; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3640-04-P

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Forest Service

36 CFR Part 292

RIN 0596-AB39

Smith River National Recreation Area; Correction

AGENCY: Forest Service, USDA.

ACTION: Final rule; correction.

SUMMARY: In the Federal Register of March 27, 1998, the Department published a final rule implementing Section 8(d) of the Smith River National Recreation Area Act of 1990. The final rule contained incorrect amendatory language. This document corrects that document.

EFFECTIVE DATE: This correction is effective on April 27, 1998. As noted in the final rule published March 27, 1998, the final rule is effective on April 27, 1998.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Betty Anderson, Directives and Regulations Branch, Information Resources Management Staff, Forest Service, (703) 235-2994.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In the March 27, 1998, final rule for the Smith River National Recreation Area, the amendatory language incorrectly stated that a new subpart G was being added to part 292. This document corrects the amendatory language in rule FR Doc. 98-7924 (63 FR 15042, Part III) as follows:

On page 15059, in the second column, in paragraph 5, on line 4, in the amendatory language "amended by adding a new subpart G" is corrected to read "amended by revising subpart G."

Dated: April 10, 1998.

Sandra Key,
Acting Associate Chief.

[FR Doc. 98-10050 Filed 4-15-98; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3410-11-M

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 74

[FRL-5996-6]

RIN 2060-AH36

Acid Rain Program: Revisions to Sulfur Dioxide Opt-Ins

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: Title IV of the Clean Air Act, as amended by Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990, ("Act") authorizes the Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA" or "Agency") to establish the Acid Rain Program. The purpose of the Acid Rain Program is to significantly reduce emissions of sulfur dioxide and nitrogen oxides from electric generating plants in order to reduce the adverse health and ecological impacts of acidic deposition (or acid rain) resulting from such emissions. This final rule is intended to promote participation in the title IV opt-in program by clarifying existing regulations, allowing a limited exception to the general rule of one designated representative for all affected units at a source, revising the conditions under which the Agency may cancel current-year allowance allocations, and allowing thermal energy plans to be effective on a quarterly basis.

DATES: This rule is effective May 18, 1998.

Judicial Review. Under section 307(b)(1) of the Act, judicial review of this rule is available only by filing a petition for review in the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit within 60 days of today's publication of these final rule revisions. Under section 307(b)(2) of the Act, the requirements that are the subject of today's document may not be challenged in civil or criminal proceedings brought by the EPA to enforce these requirements.

ADDRESSES: Docket. Docket No. A-97-23, containing supporting information used to develop the rule is available for public inspection and copying from 8:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays, at EPA's Air Docket Section (6102), Waterside Mall, Room M1500, 1st Floor, 401 M Street, SW, Washington D.C. 20460.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kathy Barylski at (202) 564-9074, Acid Rain Division (6204), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M St., SW, Washington, D.C. 20460; or

the Acid Rain Hotline at (202) 564-9620. Electronic copies of this rulemaking can be accessed through the Acid Rain Division website at www.epa.gov/acidrain.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

- I. Affected Entities
- II. Background
- III. Part 74: Opt-Ins
 - A. Designated Representative
 - B. Thermal Energy Plans
 - C. Deduction of Allowances from ATS Accounts
 - D. Miscellaneous
- IV. Administrative Requirements
 - A. Executive Order 12866
 - B. Unfunded Mandates Act
 - C. Paperwork Reduction Act
 - D. Regulatory Flexibility
 - E. Submission to Congress and the General Accounting Office

I. Affected Entities

Entities potentially affected by this action are fossil fuel fired boilers or turbines that serve generators producing electricity, generate steam, or cogenerate electricity and steam. Regulated categories and entities include:

Category	Examples of regulated entities
Industry	Electric service providers, boilers from a wide range of industries.

This table is not intended to be exhaustive, but rather provides a guide for readers regarding entities potentially affected by this action. This table lists the types of entities that EPA is now aware could potentially be affected by this action. Other types of entities not listed in the table could also be affected. To determine whether your facility is affected by this action, you should carefully examine the applicability criteria in § 74.2 of title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations and the revised §§ 72.6, 72.7, 72.8, and 72.14 (62 FR 55460, 55476-80, October 24, 1997). If you have questions regarding the applicability of this action to a particular entity, consult the person listed in the preceding **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section.

II. Background

The overall goal of the Acid Rain Program is to achieve significant environmental benefits through reductions in emissions of sulfur dioxide (SO₂) and nitrogen oxides (NO_x), the primary precursors of acid rain. To achieve this goal at the lowest cost to society, the program employs both traditional and innovative, market-based approaches for controlling air pollution. In addition, the program encourages energy efficiency and promotes pollution prevention.

The Acid Rain Program departs from traditional regulatory methods by introducing an SO₂ allowance trading system that lowers the cost of reducing emissions by allowing electric utilities to seek out the least costly methods of control. Affected utility units under title IV of the Act are allocated allowances based on formulas in the Act. These units may trade allowances, provided that at the end of each year, each unit holds enough allowances to cover its annual SO₂ emissions.

Although the Acid Rain Program is mandated only for utility sources, section 410 provides opportunities for SO₂-emitting sources not otherwise affected by title IV requirements (e.g., industrial sources) to participate through the opt-in program. Entry of sources into the opt-in program is voluntary. Opt-in sources are allocated allowances and, by making cost-effective emissions reductions so that their allowance allocations will exceed their emissions, will have allowances that may be sold in the SO₂ allowance trading system. These allowances provide greater compliance flexibility for affected units.

In 1995, EPA issued final opt-in regulations implementing section 410 (60 FR 17100, April 4, 1995). On June 5, 1995, an owner of several potential opt-in sources filed a petition for review of the existing opt-in regulations. The litigation was settled on January 9, 1997. On September 25, 1997, EPA proposed opt-in regulation revisions, several of which resulted from that settlement.

III. Part 74: Opt-Ins

A. Designated Representative

Under the existing opt-in rule, combustion or process sources located at the same source as affected units are required to have the same designated representative as the affected utility units. See 40 CFR 74.4(b). (Hereinafter, this requirement is referred to as the "single-designated-representative requirement".) Based on comments and settlement of litigation on the issue, EPA proposed to establish a procedure for nonutility combustion or process sources located with affected utility units to elect an exception to the single-designated-representative requirement.

One comment was received on this proposed revision.¹ The commenter, who is a party to the January 9, 1997 opt-in rule settlement, generally supported allowing a separate

¹ One comment received during the comment period for the proposed opt-in revisions addressed a number of matters, but did not comment on any of the proposed opt-in revisions. The comment is therefore outside the scope of this rulemaking.

designated representative for opt-in sources at the same source as affected utility units. However, the commenter objected to certain language in the proposed rule.

The proposed rule required that, in order to use the separate designated representative provision, a combustion source must have "no owner of which the principal business is the sale, transmission, or distribution of electricity or that is a public utility under the jurisdiction of a State or local utility regulatory commission." The commenter claimed that the language concerning the principal business of the combustion source owner would bar a combustion source owned by a wholly-owned electric generating subsidiary of an industrial company from using the provision but would allow use of the provision if the source was instead directly owned by the industrial company. According to the commenter, the use of "separate corporate forms" should not have this effect on the ability to have a separate designated representative. The commenter also claimed that, even if a State utility regulatory authority did not currently regulate the wholly-owned electric generating subsidiary, the State authority might assert jurisdiction sometime in the future, thereby preventing use of the provision.

In light of the commenter's objections and in order to reduce the complexity of the separate designated representative provision, EPA is revising, in today's final rule, the proposed provision. On one hand, as discussed in the proposal, the provision is intended to encourage nonutility opt-ins by allowing a nonutility opt-in source located at the same source as utility units to select a different designated representative than the utility units. 62 FR 50457. Because a nonutility opt-in source is part of industrial operations (e.g., produces electricity for use in the owner's industrial facilities), the owner is more likely to have heightened concern about competitive disadvantage and maintaining the confidentiality of information about the opt-in source and related industrial operations. Having a single designated representative for a nonutility opt-in source and utility units may make information (e.g., the industrial company's electricity generating costs and processes using electricity) available to the designated representative, who may be an employee of the utility owner. On the other hand, as discussed in the proposal, EPA believes that generally opt-in sources should face the same requirements as other affected units. *Id.*; see also 58 FR 50088, 50090-91,

September 24, 1993. Balancing the importance of imposing consistent requirements on opt-in sources and utility units against the desire to encourage industrial opt-ins, EPA concludes that it should allow only a limited exception—applicable in a few cases—to the single-designated-representative requirement. While the proposal carved out a limited exception using a test focused on the owner (i.e., the nature of, and regulatory jurisdiction over, the owner's principal business) of the opt-in sources, EPA maintains that a simpler approach is available, i.e., one focused on the opt-in source itself. The Acid Rain regulations specifically address four categories of combustion sources that are unaffected units and that therefore may qualify as opt-in sources: (1) combustion devices that have not served, and do not serve, generators producing electricity for sale; (2) simple combustion turbines that commenced operation before November 15, 1990; (3) combustion devices that commenced commercial operation before November 15, 1990 and that have served, and serve, only generators of 25 MWe or less producing electricity for sale; and (4) cogeneration, qualifying, independent power production, or solid waste incineration facilities that meet certain requirements. See 40 CFR 72.6(b) (explaining the categories of unaffected units) and 74.2 (stating that affected units under § 72.6 are not eligible to be opt-in sources). The limited exception to the single-designated-representative requirement is aimed at the first category of combustion source, i.e., units that are part of industrial, not utility operations. No commenter has suggested that the exception should be extended to any other categories of combustion sources.

EPA notes, in addition, that sources other than those in the first category would generally not be eligible for the exception as originally proposed because they would most likely be part of utility operations and the proposal barred sources whose owners are principally in the business of selling, transmitting, or distributing electricity or are subject to State or local utility regulation. Moreover, for the reasons discussed above, EPA maintains that it should limit the exception to the clearest cases where the single-designated-representative requirement may inhibit entry into, or continued participation in, the opt-in program: i.e., the few cases where an opt-in source is co-located with utility units and is involved in industrial, rather than utility (i.e., electricity sales), operations.

Consequently, today's final rule limits the use of the exception to a combustion

source (or process source) that, on the date on which the source's initial opt-in application is submitted and thereafter, does not serve a generator producing electricity for sale. Such a combustion or process source that is located at the same source as affected utility units may elect to have a different designated representative than the utility units. For example, a combustion source that is owned by an industrial company and that is used exclusively to generate electricity for use in the industrial company's industrial facilities could qualify for the exception to the single-designated-representative requirement. Similarly, such a combustion source could qualify even if it is owned by the wholly-owned subsidiary of the industrial company, instead of being owned directly by the industrial company. This approach in today's final rule meets the commenter's concerns that the corporate form of ownership of the source, or law concerning the jurisdiction of the State utility regulatory commission, not change the applicability of the exception to a combustion source that would otherwise qualify for the exception.

With the approach of basing the exception on the fact that a combustion source is not, as of the submission of the initial opt-in permit application and thereafter, serving a generator producing electricity for sale, it is necessary to include a provision for termination of the exception if and when that requirement is no longer met in the future. Today's final rule therefore provides for automatic termination of the election of the exception when the requirements for election are no longer met and requires submission of a superseding certificate of representation consistent with single-designated-representative requirement for all affected units at a given source. This is analogous to the automatic termination provisions for other exceptions under the Acid Rain Program. See 40 CFR 72.7(f)(4) (new units exemption) 72.8(d)(6), (retired units exemption), and 72.14(d)(4) (industrial utility-units exemption).

B. Thermal Energy Plans

The existing opt-in rule allows combustion sources to become opt-in sources at the beginning of any calendar quarter, not only at the beginning of a calendar year. See 40 CFR 74.28. However, in the proposed revisions to the rule, EPA noted that the thermal energy provision at § 74.47 only provided for calendar year plans. Therefore, EPA proposed revisions to allow (and take account of the

possibility of) the submission of thermal energy plans at the beginning of any calendar quarter. No comments were received on these proposed revisions. With one exception, EPA has finalized the proposed revisions for the reasons stated in the proposal.

The only change, in today's final rule, to the proposed revisions is that EPA is not adopting the proposed revisions to paragraph (a)(3)(vii) of § 74.47. The existing rule requires the thermal energy plan to include the "allowable SO₂ emissions rate" for the calendar year in which the plan will take effect. In § 72.2, "allowable SO₂ emissions rate" is defined as the "most stringent federally enforceable emissions limitation for sulfur dioxide * * * for the specified calendar year". 40 CFR 72.2. The proposal added references in § 74.47(a)(3)(vii) to the allowable SO₂ emissions rate for the calendar year and month for which the thermal energy plan will take effect. This change would be inconsistent with the above-quoted definition in § 72.2 and so is not being adopted.

As already provided in the existing rule, if more than one federally enforceable emissions limitation applies during the year, the allowable SO₂ emission rate in § 74.47(a)(3)(vii) will be the most stringent of these limits.

C. Deduction of Allowances From ATS Accounts

For any affected unit, including an opt-in source, EPA draws upon future-year allowances in the affected unit's Allowance Tracking System (ATS) account to offset excess emissions for a year for which compliance is being determined. See 40 CFR 77.5. However, under the existing opt-in rule, when the opt-in source shuts down, is reconstructed, becomes an affected unit under § 72.6, or fails to renew its opt-in permit, EPA eliminates future-year allowance allocations (40 CFR 74.46) and retains the option of canceling current-year opt-in allowance allocations (including allowances that have been transferred to other ATS accounts) in order to offset excess emissions or account for the termination of participation in the opt-in program (40 CFR 74.50). As proposed, EPA is revising the rule to provide that an opt-in allowance may not be deducted under § 74.50(a) from any ATS account, other than the account of the opt-in source allocated such allowance, (i) after EPA has completed the process of recordation as set forth in § 73.34(a) following the deduction of allowances from the opt-in source's compliance subaccount for the year for which such allowance may first be used or (ii) if the

opt-in source claims in an annual compliance certification report an estimated reduction in heat input from improved efficiency, under § 74.44(a)(1)(B), after EPA has completed action on the confirmation report concerning such claimed reduction pursuant to §§ 74.44(c)(2)(iii)(E)(3)-(E)(5) for the year for which such allowance may first be used. No comments were received on this revision, and, for the reasons stated in the proposal, the revision is adopted as proposed.

D. Miscellaneous

EPA proposed a number of modifications and corrections to the combustion source opt-in rules to reflect changes in the Acid Rain Program and operating permits program under title V of the Clean Air Act since the publication of the final opt-in rule on April 4, 1995. In particular, the Agency has finalized the operating permits rule in part 71 and the Acid Rain permit rule in part 72. The proposed modifications and corrections were described in the "Miscellaneous" section of the preamble to the proposal. No comments were received, and the proposed changes are adopted as final.

IV. Administrative Requirements

A. Executive Order 12866

Under Executive Order 12866, 58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993, the Administrator must determine whether a regulatory action is "significant" and therefore subject to Office of Management and Budget (OMB) review and the requirements of the Executive Order. The order defines "significant regulatory action" as one that is likely to result in a rule that may:

- (1) Have an annual effect on the economy of \$100 million or more or adversely affect in a material way the economy, a sector of the economy, productivity, competition, jobs, the environment, public health or safety, or State, local, or tribal governments or communities;
- (2) create a serious inconsistency or otherwise interfere with an action taken or planned by another agency;
- (3) materially alter the budgetary impact of entitlements, grants, user fees, or loan programs or the rights and obligations of recipients thereof; or
- (4) raise novel legal or policy issues arising out of legal mandates, the President's priorities, or the principles set forth in the Executive Order.

Pursuant to the terms of Executive Order 12866, it has been determined that this rule is not a "significant regulatory action." As such, this action

is not subject to the requirements of the order and was not submitted to OMB for review.

B. Unfunded Mandates Act

Section 202 of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 ("Unfunded Mandates Act") requires that the Agency prepare a budgetary impact statement before promulgating a rule that includes a federal mandate that may result in expenditure by State, local, and tribal governments, in aggregate, or by the private sector, of \$100 million or more in any one year. Section 203 requires the Agency to establish a plan for obtaining input from and informing, educating, and advising any small governments that may be significantly or uniquely affected by the rule.

Under section 205 of the Unfunded Mandates Act, the Agency must identify and consider a reasonable number of regulatory alternatives before promulgating a rule for which a budgetary impact statement must be prepared. The Agency must select from those alternatives the least costly, most cost-effective, or least burdensome alternative that achieves the objectives of the rule, unless the Agency explains why this alternative is not selected or the selection of this alternative is inconsistent with law.

Because this rule is estimated to result in the expenditure by State, local, and tribal governments or the private sector of less than \$100 million in any one year, the Agency has not prepared a budgetary impact statement or specifically addressed the selection of the least costly, most cost-effective, or least burdensome alternative. Because small governments will not be significantly or uniquely affected by this rule, the Agency is not required to develop a plan with regard to small governments.

The revisions to part 74 will not have a significant or unique effect on any regulated entities or State permitting authorities. Moreover, the revisions potentially reduce the burden on certain opt-in sources, by allowing the election of a separate designated representative and by allowing thermal energy plans to begin on the calendar quarter. Also, the revisions potentially reduce the burden on the utility sector by limiting when EPA may deduct allowances from ATS accounts.

C. Paperwork Reduction Act

These revisions to the opt-in rule would not impose any new information collection burden. OMB has previously approved the information collection requirements contained in the opt-in

rules, 40 CFR part 74, under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act, 44 U.S.C. 3501, *et seq.* and has assigned OMB control number 2060-0258. 60 FR 17111.

Burden means the total time, effort, or financial resources expended by persons to generate, maintain, retain, or disclose or provide information to or for a Federal agency. This includes the time needed to: review instructions; develop, acquire, install, and utilize technology and systems for the purposes of collecting, validating, and verifying information, processing and maintaining information, and disclosing and providing information; adjust the existing ways to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements; train personnel to be able to respond to a collection of information; search data sources; complete and review the collection of information; and transmit or otherwise disclose the information.

Copies of the original ICR may be obtained from Sandy Farmer, OPPE Regulatory Information Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M St. SW. (2137), Washington, D.C. 20460 or by calling (202) 260-2740.

D. Regulatory Flexibility

EPA has determined that it is not necessary to prepare a regulatory flexibility analysis in connection with this final rule. EPA has also determined that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. In the preamble of the April 4, 1995 opt-in rule, the Administrator certified that the rule, including the provisions revised by today's rule, would not have a significant economic impact on small entities. 60 FR 17111. Today's revisions are not significant enough to change the overall economic impact addressed in the April 4, 1995 preamble. Moreover, as discussed above, the revisions provide regulated entities with additional flexibility (e.g., the option to have a separate designated representative and to have a thermal energy plan that begins in the second, or later, quarter of the year).

E. Submission to Congress and the General Accounting Office

The Congressional Review Act, 5 U.S.C. 801 *et seq.*, as added by the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996, generally provides that before a rule may take effect, the agency promulgating the rule must submit a rule report, which includes a copy of the rule, to each House of the Congress and to the Comptroller General of the United States. EPA will submit a

report containing this rule and other required information to the U.S. Senate, the U.S. House of Representatives, and the Comptroller General of the United States prior to publication of the rule in the *Federal Register*. This rule is not a "major rule" as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 74

Environmental protection, Acid rain, Air pollution control, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Sulfur oxides.

Dated: April 9, 1998.

Carol M. Browner,
Administrator.

For the reasons set forth in the preamble, 40 CFR part 74 is amended as set forth below.

PART 74—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for part 74 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7601 and 7651, *et seq.*

§ 74.3 [Amended]

2. Section 74.3 is amended by:

- i. In paragraph (b), revising the words "parts 70 and 72" to read "parts 70, 71, and 72";
- ii. In paragraph (b), revising the words "part 70" to read "parts 70 and 71"; and
- iii. Adding at the end of paragraph (d) the words ", consistent with subpart E of this part."

3. Section 74.4 is amended by adding paragraph (c) to read as follows:

§ 74.4 Designated representative.

(c)(1) Notwithstanding paragraph (b) of this section, a certifying official of a combustion or process source that is located at the same source as one or more affected utility units and that, on the date on which an initial opt-in permit application is submitted for such combustion or process source and thereafter, does not serve a generator

that produces electricity for sale may elect to designate, for such combustion or process source, a different designated representative than the designated representative for the affected utility units.

(2) In order to make such an election, the certifying official shall submit to the Administrator, in a format prescribed by the Administrator: a certification that the combustion or process source for which the election is made meets each of the requirements for election in paragraph (c)(1) of this section; and a certificate of representation for the designated representative of the combustion or process source in accordance with § 72.24 of this chapter. The Administrator will rely on such certificate of representation in accordance with § 72.25 of this chapter, unless the Administrator determines that the requirements for election in paragraph (c)(1) of this section are not met. If, after the election is made, the requirements for election in paragraph (c)(1) of this section are no longer met, the election shall automatically terminate on the first date on which the requirements are no longer met and, within 30 days of that date, a certificate of representation for the designated representative of the combustion or process source shall be submitted consistent with paragraph (b) of this section.

§ 74.10 [Amended]

4. Section 74.10 is amended by, in paragraph (a)(2), revising the word "§ 74.62" to read "§ 75.20 of this chapter".

§ 74.14 [Amended]

5. Section 74.14 is amended by:

- i. In paragraph (b) introductory text, revising the words "part 70" to read "parts 70 and 71"; and
- ii. In paragraph (b)(6)(ii), revising the word "approved" to read "approved for operating permits".

§ 74.16 [Amended]

6. Section 74.16 is amended by, in paragraph (a)(12), adding the words "and does not have an exemption under § 72.7, § 72.8, or § 72.14 of this chapter" before the semicolon.

§ 74.18 [Amended]

7. Section 74.18 is amended by:

- i. In paragraph (d), revising the words "§ 74.46(c)" to read "§ 74.46(b)(2)"; and
- ii. Removing the last sentence from paragraph (e).

§ 74.22 [Amended]

8. Section 74.22 is amended by, in paragraph (c)(2), revising the words "§ 74.20(a)(2)(A)" to read "§ 74.20(a)(2)(i)".

§ 74.26 [Amended]

9. Section 74.26 is amended by, in paragraph (a)(2), revising the words "in which" to read "for which".

§ 74.42 [Amended]

10. Section 74.42 is amended by removing from paragraph (a) the word "(a)".

§ 74.44 [Amended]

11. Section 74.44 is amended by:

- i. In paragraph (a)(1)(i)(G), revising the words "demand side measures that improve the efficiency of electricity or steam consumption" to read "specific measures";
- ii. In paragraph (a)(2)(i), removing the words "or for the first two calendar years after the effective date of a thermal energy plan governing an opt-in source in accordance with § 74.47 of this chapter";
- iii. In paragraph (a)(2)(iii), adding the words "of this section" after the word "(a)(2)(ii)";
- iv. In paragraph (c)(2)(ii)(B)(1), revising the words "opt-in sources." to read "opt-in sources and Phase I units."; and
- v. In paragraph (c)(2)(iii)(F), revising the formula to read as follows:

Allowances allocated
or acquired

— tons emitted — the larger of

(allowances transferred
to all replacement units
or
allowances deducted
for reduced utilization)

vi. In paragraph (c)(2)(iii)(F), revising the words "'Allowances allocated' shall be the original number of allowances allocated under section § 74.40 for the calendar year." to read "'Allowances allocated or acquired' shall be the number of allowances held in the source's compliance subaccount at the

allowance transfer deadline plus the number of allowances transferred for the previous calendar year to all replacement units under an approved thermal energy plan in accordance with § 74.47(a)(6)."; and

vii. In paragraph (c)(2)(iii)(E)(3), revising the words "allowances

necessary" to read "allowances that he or she determines is necessary".

12. Section 74.47 is amended by:

- i. Adding in paragraph (a)(3)(i), after the word "year" in each place it appears, the word "and quarter"; and

ii. Revising paragraphs (a)(1), (a)(3)(viii), (a)(3)(ix), (a)(3)(x), (a)(3)(xi), (a)(3)(xii), and (a)(4) to read as follows:

§ 74.47 Transfer of allowances from the replacement of thermal energy—combustion sources.

(a) Thermal energy plan. (i) General provisions. The designated representative of an opt-in source that seeks to qualify for the transfer of allowances based on the replacement of thermal energy by a replacement unit shall submit a thermal energy plan subject to the requirements of § 72.40(b) of this chapter for multi-unit compliance options and this section. The effective period of the thermal energy plan shall begin at the start of the calendar quarter (January 1, April 1, July 1, or October 1) for which the plan is approved and end December 31 of the last full calendar year for which the opt-in permit containing the plan is in effect.

(3) * * * (viii) The estimated annual amount of total thermal energy to be reduced at the opt-in source, including all energy flows (steam, gas, or hot water) used for any process or in any heating or cooling application, and, for a plan starting April 1, July 1, or October 1, such estimated amount of total thermal energy to be reduced starting April 1, July 1, or October 1 respectively and ending on December 31;

(ix) The estimated annual amount of total thermal energy at each replacement unit for the calendar year prior to the year for which the plan is to take effect, including all energy flows (steam, gas, or hot water) used for any process or in any heating or cooling application, and, for a plan starting April 1, July 1, or October 1, such estimated amount of total thermal energy for the portion of such calendar year starting April 1, July 1, or October 1 respectively;

(x) The estimated annual amount of total thermal energy at each replacement unit after replacing thermal energy at the opt-in source, including all energy flows (steam, gas, or hot water) used for any process or in any heating or cooling application, and, for a plan starting April 1, July 1, or October 1, such estimated amount of total thermal energy at each replacement unit after replacing thermal energy at the opt-in source starting April 1, July 1, or October 1 respectively and ending December 31;

(xi) The estimated annual amount of thermal energy at each replacement unit, including all energy flows (steam, gas, or hot water) used for any process or in any heating or cooling application,

replacing thermal energy at the opt-in source, and, for a plan starting April 1, July 1, or October 1, such estimated amount of thermal energy replacing thermal energy at the opt-in source starting April 1, July 1, or October 1 respectively and ending December 31;

(xii) The estimated annual total fuel input at each replacement unit after replacing thermal energy at the opt-in source and, for a plan starting April 1, July 1, or October 1, such estimated total fuel input after replacing thermal energy at the opt-in source starting April 1, July 1, or October 1 respectively and ending December 31;

(4) *Submission.* The designated representative of the opt-in source seeking to qualify for the transfer of allowances based on the replacement of thermal energy shall submit a thermal energy plan to the permitting authority by no later than six months prior to the first calendar quarter for which the plan is to be in effect. The thermal energy plan shall be signed and certified by the designated representative of the opt-in source and each replacement unit covered by the plan.

13. Section 74.50 is amended by redesignating the introductory text of paragraph (a) as paragraph (a)(1), redesignating paragraphs (a)(1) through (a)(4) as paragraphs (a)(1)(i) through (a)(1)(iv), and adding paragraph (a)(2) to read as follows:

§ 74.50 Deducting opt-in source allowances from ATS accounts.

(a) * * * (2) An opt-in allowance may not be deducted under paragraph (a)(1) of this section from any Allowance Tracking System Account other than the account of the opt-in source allocated such allowance:

(i) After the Administrator has completed the process of recordation as set forth in § 73.34(a) of this chapter following the deduction of allowances from the opt-in source's compliance subaccount for the year for which such allowance may first be used; or

(ii) If the opt-in source includes in the annual compliance certification report estimates of any reduction in heat input resulting from improved efficiency under § 74.44(a)(1)(i), after the Administrator has completed action on the confirmation report concerning such estimated reduction pursuant to § 74.44(c)(2)(iii)(E)(3), (4), and (5) for the

year for which such allowance may first be used.

* * * * *
[FR Doc. 98-10143 Filed 4-15-98; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6560-60-P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

47 CFR Part 73

[MM Docket No. 97-118; RM-9061]

Radio Broadcasting Services; Pentwater and Walhalla, MI

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: Action in this document allots Channel 255A to Walhalla, Michigan, in response to a petition filed by Roger Lewis Hoppe II. See 12 FCC Rcd 4127 (1997). There is a site restriction 6.3 kilometers southwest of the community. Canadian concurrence has been obtained for the allotment of Channel 255A at Walhalla at coordinates 43-54-08 and 86-10-13. A one-step application filed by Bay View Broadcasting, Inc. requesting the substitution of Channel 274A for Channel 276A at Pentwater, Michigan, has been considered as a counterproposal in this proceeding (BPH-970319IE). The allotment of Channel 255A at Walhalla instead of Channel 274A removes the conflict with the pending application at Pentwater. With this action, this proceeding is terminated. A filing window for Channel 255A at Walhalla, Michigan, will not be opened at this time. Instead, the issue of opening a filing window for this channel will be addressed by the Commission in a subsequent order.

EFFECTIVE DATE: May 18, 1998.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kathleen Scheuerle, Mass Media Bureau, (202) 418-2180.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a summary of the Commission's Report and Order, MM Docket No. 97-118, adopted March 25, 1998, and released April 3, 1998. The full text of this Commission decision is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the Commission's Reference Center (Room 239), 1919 M Street, NW, Washington, DC. The complete text of this decision may also be purchased from the Commission's copy contractors, International Transcription Services, Inc., 1231 20th Street, NW., Washington, DC. 20036, (202) 857-3800; facsimile (202) 857-3805.

After a review of the submissions, the Regional Administrator determined that delegation was appropriate for the source categories with the conditions set forth in the original NSPS and NESHAP delegation agreements, and the limitations in all applicable regulations, including 40 CFR parts 60, 61, and 63. The reader should refer to the applicable agreements and regulations to determine specific provisions which are not delegated. All sources subject to the requirements of 40 CFR parts 60, 61, and 63 are also subject to the equivalent requirements of the above-mentioned state or local agencies.

Since review of the pertinent laws, rules, and regulations of these state or local agencies has shown them to be adequate for the implementation and enforcement of the listed NSPS and NESHAP categories, the EPA hereby notifies the public that it has delegated the authority for the source categories listed as of the dates specified in the above tables.

Notice is also provided that the delegation document for the state of Kansas dated May 15, 1987 (52 FR 18357), which retained for the EPA certain delegation authority regarding asbestos removal by building owners, is superseded by delegation of the part 61, subpart M program as provided in this document.

The Office of Management and Budget has exempted this rule from the requirements of section 6 of Executive Order 12866.

Authority: This notice is issued under the authority of sections 101, 110, 111, 112 and 301 of the CAA, as amended (42 U.S.C. 7401, 7410, 7411, 7412 and 7601).

Dated: May 16, 1997.

William Rice,

Acting Regional Administrator.

[FR Doc. 97-15417 Filed 6-11-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 76

[FRL-5840-1]

RIN 2060-AF48

Acid Rain Program; Nitrogen Oxides Emissions Reduction Program; Correction

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Final rule; correction.

SUMMARY: On December 19, 1996 (61 FR 67112), the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) promulgated emission limitations for the second phase of the Nitrogen Oxides Reductions Program under Title IV of the Clean Air Act. These emission limitations will reduce the serious adverse effects of NO_x emissions on human health, visibility, ecosystems, and materials. This action corrects an inadvertent, drafting error in the December 19, 1996 document.

EFFECTIVE DATE: June 12, 1997.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Peter Tsirogotis, Source Assessment Branch, Acid Rain Division (6204f), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M Street S.W., Washington, D.C. 20460 (for technical matters) (202-233-9620); or Dwight C. Alpern (same address) (for legal matters) (202-233-9151).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On December 19, 1996 (61 FR 67112), EPA promulgated emission limitations for the second phase of the Nitrogen Oxides Reduction Program under Title IV of the Clean Air Act. Subsequent to the publication of the December 19, 1996 rule, EPA identified an inadvertent, drafting error in the December 19, 1996 document. Today's action corrects this error.

Section 76.6 of the December 19, 1996 rule sets emission limits for Group 2 coal-fired boilers, i.e., for cell burners, cyclones, wet bottoms and vertically fired boilers. The language in section 76.6(a) stating that the limits for these boiler categories applies to owners or operators of "Group 2, Phase II" coal-fired boilers, is an inadvertent, drafting error.

In issuing the December 19, 1996 NO_x rule, EPA clearly intended to set revised limits for Group 1 boilers (i.e., dry bottom wall fired and tangentially fired boilers) not subject to the initial Group 1 limits and to set new emission limits for Group 2 boilers, regardless of whether Group 2 boilers are Phase I or Phase II boilers. This intent was explicit in the preamble of the December 19, 1996 rule, where EPA stated that it was setting emission limits for Group 2 boilers and made no distinction between Phase I and Phase II boilers. Nowhere in the preamble did EPA state that the emission limits apply only to Group 2, Phase II boilers or that the limits do not apply to Group 2, Phase I boilers.¹ For example, in summarizing the rule, EPA stated that it was

¹ This is consistent with other provisions of part 76 that treat both Phase I and Phase II units as subject to emission limits for Group 2 boilers. For example, section 76.9(b)(2) sets a January 1, 1998, deadline for submission of compliance plans for NO_x emissions for "a Phase I or Phase II unit with a Group 2 boiler or a Phase II unit with a Group 1 boiler." 40 CFR 76.9(b)(2). See also 40 CFR 76.10(a)(1) and (2)(D) (stating requirements for applying for an alternative emission limitation for "Group 2 boilers", without distinguishing between Phase I and Phase II boilers).

"promulgating new emission limitations for nitrogen oxides * * * emissions for wall-fired and tangentially fired boilers (Group 1 boilers) and for certain other boilers (Group 2 boilers)." 61 FR 67113. See also 61 FR 67114 (explaining how the emission limit was selected for "the particular category of Group 2 boiler" and estimating the NO_x reductions that result from applying the limit to each Group 2 boiler category, including both Phase I and Phase II boilers), 67120 (explaining that EPA is exercising its discretion to "revise the Phase II, Group 1 emission limitations" and is adopting "Group 2 emission limitations"), 67148-67149 (discussing costs of selective catalytic reduction (SCR) applied to Merrimack unit 2, a Group 2, Phase I boiler), 67152-67153 (stating that EPA is setting specified limits for "cell burners," "cyclone boilers greater than 155 MW," "wet bottom boilers greater than 65 MW," and "vertically fired boilers"). Moreover, in discussing the economic impact of the final rule, EPA presented several regulatory options and stated that the final rule adopted the option (identified as "Option 4") under which limits are set "for all Group 2 boilers except cyclones with capacity of 155 MWe or less, wet bottoms with capacity of 65 MWe or less, stokers, and [fluidized bed combustion] boilers." 61 FR 67160; see also Docket Item V-B, "Regulatory Impact Analysis of NO_x Regulations" at 6-1 (October 24, 1996).

The Agency's analyses supporting the final rule were also based on the application of the Group 2 limits to both Phase I and Phase II boilers. For example, the study estimating the boiler-specific cost effectiveness of NO_x control technologies set forth cost effectiveness estimates for Group 2 boilers that included both Phase I and Phase II boilers. Docket Item IV-A-14 (November 1996). Similarly, the Regulatory Impact Analysis for the final rule analyzed the impact of the application of the Group 1 and Group 2 limits to a total of 1,044 boilers. These boilers were listed in the report and included both Group 2, Phase I boilers and Group 2, Phase II boilers. Docket

Item V-B-1, "Regulatory Impact Analysis of NO_x Regulations" (October 24, 1996) at 2-1 and 2-2 and Appendix A. See also Docket Item IV-A-15 (November 26, 1996) (load vs. time plots of selected cyclones and wet bottoms (including Phase I and Phase II boilers) subject to the Group 2 limits); and Docket Item V-B-1, "Unfunded Mandates Reform Act Analysis for the Nitrogen Oxides Emission Reduction Program Under the Clean Air Act Amendments Title IV" (October 24, 1996) at 11 (stating the number of cyclones and wet bottoms (including Phase I and Phase II boilers) subject to the Group 2 limits).

EPA notes that the erroneous language in § 76.6(a) of the final rule was also used in the January 19, 1996 proposed rule. (See 61 FR 1442 and 61 FR 1480 (1996)). However, like the final rule preamble, the preamble of the proposed rule described the establishment of limits for Group 2 boilers, without distinguishing between Phase I and Phase II boilers. See, e.g., 61 FR 1467, 61 FR 1471, 61 FR 1474, and 61 FR 1476 (setting the limit for each boiler category and estimating NO_x reductions that result from applying the limit to Phase I and Phase II boilers in each category). In addition, consistent with the preamble of the proposed rule, the commenters interpreted the proposed Group 2 limits as applying without distinction between Phase I and Phase II boilers. See, e.g., Comments of the Utility Air Regulatory Group and the National Mining Association, Docket Item IV-D-065 (March 19, 1996) at i and 3 (describing proposal as setting limits for "Group 2 boilers"), 98 (stating proposed limit for cell burners), 101 (objecting to application of cell burner limit to five 3-cell burner boilers including J.H. Campbell Unit 2, a Group 2, Phase 1 boiler), 106 (stating that proposal sets limit for 38 wet bottoms, including both Phase I (such as Kyger Creek unit 5) and Phase II boilers), 107-8 (citing Sargent and Lundy report and claiming that there is no technology on which to base Group 2 limits for certain Phase I wet bottoms (Big Bend units 1, 2, and 3)), 110 (stating proposed limit

for cyclone boilers), and 128-29 (stating that proposal applies to about 175 Group 2 boilers, which includes Phase I and Phase II boilers). See also Docket Item II-A-2 at A-5 (August 1995) (listing 39 wet bottoms covered by limit in proposed rule, including Phase I boilers (Clifty Creek units 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6, Kyger Creek units 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5, and Big Bend units 1, 2, and 3)); and Docket Item IV-D-032 (March 18, 1996) (Sargent and Lundy report at ES-2 through ES-5 and ES-7 (discussing lack of technology for Big Bend units 1, 2, and 3)).

Consistent with these comments on the proposal, the petitioners' briefs filed in *Appalachian Power v. U.S. EPA*, No. 96-1497 (D.C. Cir. 1997), challenging the December 19, 1996 rule stated that limits are set for Group 2 boilers and did not distinguish between Phase I and Phase II boilers. Brief of Petitioners *Appalachian Power Company, et al.* (April 18, 1997) at 9 and 21 (stating that proposed and final rules apply to over 1,000 boilers, including Group 2 boilers that are Phase I and Phase II boilers), 19 n.60 and 34 n.105 (objecting to the cell burner emission limit because it applies to "five 3-cell burner boilers," one of which is a Phase I boiler (J.H. Campbell unit 2)), and 47 n.157 and 52 n.176 (objecting to EPA's estimates of the costs of applying SCR to specific Group 2, Phase I boilers (Paradise unit 3, Allen units 1-3, Kyger Creek units, and Clifty Creek units)). See also Brief of Petitioner *Arizona Public Service Company* (May 2, 1997) at 3 (stating that final rule set limits for "Group 1, Phase II boilers, and * * * all Group 2 boilers").

EPA concludes that the language in the current § 76.6(a) is contrary to the clear intent of the Agency—as expressed in the final rule preamble and the record—to set emission limits for Group 2 boilers, including both Phase I and Phase II boilers. EPA is therefore correcting today this inadvertent, drafting error in the December 19, 1996 document.

For the reasons discussed above, this action is not a "significant regulatory

action" and is therefore not subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget under Executive Order 12866 (58 FR 51735 (October 4, 1993)). For the same reasons, this action does not impose annual costs of \$100 million or more, will not significantly or uniquely affect small governments, and is not a significant federal intergovernmental mandate. With regard to this action, the Agency thus has no obligations under sections 202, 203, 204, and 205 of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (P.L. 104-4). Moreover, since this action is not subject to notice-and-comment requirements under the Administrative Procedure Act or any other statute, the action is not subject to the provisions of the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601, *et seq.*).

Under 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A) as added by the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996, EPA submitted a report containing this document and any other required information to the U.S. Senate, the U.S. House of Representatives, and the Comptroller General of the General Accounting Office prior to publication of this document in today's *Federal Register*. This action is not a "major rule" as defined 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

Dated: June 6, 1997.

Mary D. Nichols,
Assistant Administrator for Air and Radiation.

Accordingly, for the reason set out above, the publication on December 19, 1996 of the final rule (FR Doc. 96-31839) at 61 FR 67112 is corrected as follows:

§ 76.6 [Corrected]

1. On page 67162, in the third column, in § 76.6, paragraph (a) introductory text is corrected in lines 6 and 7 by removing the words ", Phase II".

[FR Doc. 97-15413 Filed 6-11-97; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 8560-50-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Highway Administration

49 CFR Parts 356, 370 and 379

RIN 2125-AE12

Motor Carrier Routing Regulations; Disposition of Loss and Damage Claims and Processing Salvage; Preservation of Records

AGENCY: Federal Highway
Administration (FHWA), DOT.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This document adds to 49 CFR chapter III certain motor carrier transportation regulations, also codified in 49 CFR chapter X, which involve functions delegated to both the FHWA and the Surface Transportation Board (STB). These regulations govern motor carrier routing, the processing of claims for loss or damage, and the preservation of records. The Interstate Commerce Commission Termination Act of 1995 (ICCTA) abolished the Interstate Commerce Commission (ICC) and transferred certain functions and proceedings to the STB and the DOT. The Secretary of Transportation delegated to the FHWA certain motor carrier functions which were transferred to the DOT from the ICC. On October 21, 1996, the FHWA and the STB issued a final rule which transferred and redesignated those regulations in 49 CFR chapter X involving functions exclusively within the jurisdiction of the FHWA. 61 FR 54706. This document completes the transfer process. Technical changes have been made to the regulations, where appropriate, to conform with current statutory citations and definitions and the transfer of regulatory functions to the Department of Transportation.

EFFECTIVE DATE: June 12, 1997.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. John F. Grimm, Director, Office of Motor Carrier Information Analysis, (202) 366-4039, or Mr. Michael Falk, Motor Carrier Law Division, Office of the Chief Counsel, (202) 366-1384, at 400 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC 20590. Office hours are from 7:45 a.m. to 4:15 p.m., e.t., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This document adopts certain motor carrier transportation regulations codified in 49 CFR chapter X and incorporates them, with appropriate technical changes, into 49 CFR chapter III. These regulations involve motor carrier routing, processing of claims for loss and damage, and preservation of records. The ICCTA, Pub. L. 104-88, 109 Stat. 803, which was enacted on December 29, 1995, and took effect on January 1, 1996, abolished the ICC and transferred certain functions and proceedings to the STB and the DOT. Certain motor carrier functions previously under the jurisdiction of the ICC were transferred to the Secretary of Transportation, who subsequently delegated those functions to the FHWA. Implementing regulations for those motor carrier functions delegated exclusively to the FHWA have already been redesignated and transferred to 49 CFR chapter III, where regulations under the authority of the

FHWA are codified. 61 FR 54706 (October 21, 1996).

Unlike the transfer and redesignation procedure employed in that proceeding, the regulations embraced by this proceeding will be added to chapter III but not removed from chapter X. No substantive changes are being made to the regulations at this time. Consequently, prior notice and opportunity for comment are unnecessary.

Summary of Technical Changes From 49 CFR Chapter X Regulations

The regulations being added to chapter III in this proceeding have been modified to reflect current statutory citations, jurisdictional delegations, and regulatory responsibilities. Accordingly, references to the "Interstate Commerce Act" in the chapter X regulations have been changed to "49 U.S.C. subtitle IV, part B" and references to the "ICC" or "Commission" have been changed to either the "Secretary" or "FHWA", where appropriate. Other differences between the chapter X regulations and the regulations being added to chapter III in this proceeding are discussed below.

Interpretations and Routing Regulations (Part 356)

These regulations are currently found in 49 CFR part 1004 and are being added to chapter III as part 356 with the changes noted below.

All references to "household goods" appearing in 49 CFR part 1004 have been deleted from part 356 to reflect the Secretary's registration jurisdiction, which embraces all freight forwarders. Since the part 356 regulations are essentially interpretive and impose no affirmative compliance requirements, including all freight forwarders within this part is not a substantive regulatory change.

The FHWA is not incorporating 49 CFR 1004.26 into part 356 because that section involves claims and disputes relating to the lawfulness of shipment routing, matters which are within the jurisdiction of the Surface Transportation Board under 49 U.S.C. 13701.

Principles and Practices for the Investigation and Voluntary Disposition of Loss and Damage Claims and Processing Salvage (Part 370)

These regulations are currently found in 49 CFR part 1005 and are being added to chapter III as part 370 with the changes noted below.

Section 370.1 does not include the words "railroad" and "express company", which are contained in 49

**NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING AND
PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD**

On Tuesday, July 21, 1998 beginning at 6:00 p.m., the West Virginia Division of Environmental Protection, Office of Air Quality will hold a public hearing on the following legislative rules:

- | | |
|----------------|---|
| <u>45CSR8</u> | "Ambient Air Quality Standards for Sulfur Oxides and Particulate Matter" |
| <u>45CSR9</u> | "Rules Pertaining to Ambient Air Quality Standards for Carbon Monoxide and Ozone" |
| <u>45CSR16</u> | "Standards of Performance for New Stationary Sources Pursuant to 40 CFR Part 60" |
| <u>45CSR24</u> | "To Prevent and Control Emissions from Hospital/Medical/Infectious Waste Incinerators" |
| <u>45CSR25</u> | "To Prevent and Control Air Pollution from Hazardous Waste Treatment, Storage, or Disposal Facilities" |
| <u>45CSR33</u> | "Acid Rain Provisions and Permits" |
| <u>45CSR34</u> | "Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants Pursuant to 40 CFR Part 63" |
| <u>45CSR36</u> | "Requirements for Determining Conformity of Transportation Plans, Programs, and Projects Developed, Funded or Approved Under Title 23 U.S.C. or The Federal Transit Laws, to Applicable Air Quality Implementation Plans (Transportation Conformity)" |

Upon authorization and promulgation of revisions to 45CSR8, 45CSR9, and 45CSR36, the Office of Air Quality will seek federal approval of the rule change by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency for inclusion in the State Implementation Plan for the Federal Clean Air Act.

Upon authorization and promulgation of 45CSR24, the rule will be submitted to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency as part of the State Plan for Municipal Solid Waste (MSW) Landfills. Upon authorization and promulgation of revisions to 45CSR25, the rule will be submitted to the U. S. Environmental Protection Agency as part of the State Hazardous Waste Management Program.

Upon authorization and promulgation of revisions to 45CSR16, 45CSR33 and 45CSR34, the Office of Air Quality will seek federal delegation of authority from the U.S. Environmental

Protection Agency to implement and enforce the revised standards.

The hearing will be held in the Office of Air Quality's Conference Room located at 1558 Washington Street East, Charleston, West Virginia. The hearing is open to the public. Written and oral comments by the public will be accepted during the hearing on July 21st and will be made a part of the rulemaking record. The public may also submit written comments by mail or other delivery to the Office of Air Quality through July 21st for inclusion in the rulemaking record at the following address:

John H. Johnston, Chief
Office of Air Quality
1558 Washington Street East
Charleston, WV 25311-2599

Copies of the proposed legislative rules will be available for public review on or before June 18, 1998 at the Office of Air Quality's Charleston office at the above address.



CHARLESTON GAZETTE

P.O. Box 3943
Charleston, West Virginia 25330
Billing 348-4898
Classified 348-4848
1-800-WVA-NEWS
FEIN 55-0676079

INVOICE DATE	06/19/98
ACCOUNT NBR	037143002
SALES REP ID	0016
INVOICE NBR	543351001

Legal pricing is based upon 67 words per column inch at a rate of \$.0925 per word.
Each successive insertion is discounted by 25% of the first insertion rate (\$.069375 per word).


CUE DATE	AD TYPE	PUB	DESCRIPTION		AD NUMBER	AD SIZE	RATE	GROSS AMOUNT	NET AMOUNT
			REFERENCE NBR	PURCHASE ORDER #		TOTAL RUN			
6/18	LEGE	GZ	PUBLIC HEARING		L409965	1X1038			
			543351001			10.38	6.19	64.25	
6/18	LEGE	DM	PUBLIC HEARING		L409965	1X1038			
			543351001			10.38	6.19	64.25	128.50
TOTAL INVOICE AMOUNT									128.50

State of West Virginia.

AFFIDAVIT OF PUBLICATION

Sandra L. Lipp of
THE CHARLESTON GAZETTE, A DAILY DEMOCRATIC NEWSPAPER,
THE DAILY MAIL, A DAILY REPUBLICAN NEWSPAPER,
published in the city of Charleston, Kanawha County, West Virginia, do solemnly swear that the annexed notice of:
PUBLIC HEARING

is duly published in said paper(s) during the dates listed below, and was posted at the front door of the court house of said Kanawha County,
West Virginia, on the 19TH day of JUNE 1998. Published during the following dates: 06/18/98-06/18/98
Subscribed and sworn to before me this 17 day of June
Fees fee \$ 128.52



Notary Public of Kanawha County, West Virginia

NOTICE OF PUBLIC
HEARING AND
PUBLIC COMMENT
PERIOD.

On Tuesday, July 21, 1998
beginning at 6:00 a.m., the
West Virginia Division of En-
vironmental Protection, Of-
fice of Air Quality will hold a
public hearing on the follow-
ing legislative rules:

45CSR8 "Ambient Air Qual-
ity Standards for Sulfur Ox-
ides and Particulate Matter"

45CSR9 "Rules Pertaining
to Ambient Air Quality Stan-
dards for Carbon Monoxide
and Ozone"

45CSR16 "Standards of Per-
formance for New Stationary
Sources Pursuant to 40 CFR
Part 60"

45CSR24 "To Prevent and
Control Emissions from Hos-
pital/ Medical/ Infectious
Waste Incinerators"

45CSR25 "To Prevent and
Control Air Pollution from
Hazardous Waste Treatment,
Storage, or Disposal Facili-
ties"

45CSR33 "Acid Rain Provi-
sions and Permits"

45CSR34 "Emission Stan-
dards for Hazardous Air Pol-
lutants Pursuant to 40 CFR
Part 63"

45CSR36 "Requirements for
Determining Conformity of
Transportation Plans, Pro-
grams, and Projects Devel-
oped, Funded or Approved
Under Title 23 U.S.C. or The
Federal Transit Laws, to Ap-
plicable Air Quality Imple-
mentation Plans (Transpor-
tation Conformity)"

Upon authorization and
promulgation of revisions to
45CSR8, 45CSR9, and
45CSR36, the Office of Air
Quality will seek federal ap-
proval of the rule change by
the U.S. Environmental Pro-
tection Agency for inclusion
in the State Implementation
Plan for the Federal Clean
Air Act.

Upon authorization and
promulgation of 45CSR24, the
rule will be submitted to the
U.S. Environmental Protec-
tion Agency as part of the
State Plan for Municipal Sol-
id Waste (MSW) Landfills.

Upon authorization and
promulgation of revisions of
45CSR25, the rule will be sub-
mitted to the U.S. Environ-
mental Protection Agency as
part of the State Hazardous
Waste Management Pro-
gram.

Upon authorization and
promulgation of revisions to
45CSR16, 45CSR33 and
45CSR34, the Office of Air
Quality will seek federal del-
egation of authority from the
U.S. Environmental Protec-
tion Agency to implement
and enforce the revised stan-
dards.

The hearing will be held in
the Office of Air Quality's
Conference Room located at
1558 Washington Street East,
Charleston, West Virginia.
The hearing is open to the
public. Written and oral com-
ments by the public will be
accepted during the hearing
on July 21st and will be made
a part of the rulemaking re-
cord. The public may also
submit written comment by
mail or other delivery to the
Office of Air Quality through
July 21st for inclusion in the
rulemaking record at the fol-
lowing address:

Jonn H. Johnston, Chief
Office of Air Quality
1558 Washington St., E.
Charleston, WV 25311-2599

Copies of the proposed leg-
islative rules will be avail-
able for public review on or
before June 18, 1998 at the Of-
fice of Air Quality's Charles-
ton office at the above ad-
dress.


(409965)

July 21, 1998



Division of Environmental Protection
Public Hearing on
45CSR8, 45CSR9, 45CSR14, 45CSR24, 45CSR25, Sign-In
45CSR33, 45CSR34, 45CSR36 I would like to comment

Name	Address	YES	NO
1. James D. Elhott	Robinson & McElwaine		☒
2. Karen Watson	CAQ - 1615 Washington		☒
3. Lucy Pontiveros	CAQ - 1615 Washington St. E		☒
4.			
5.			
6.			
7.			
8.			
9.			
10.			
11.			
12.			
13.			
14.			
15.			
16.			



WEST VIRGINIA REGISTER

Published by Ken Hechler, Secretary of State

Volume XV

Issue 25

June 18, 1998

Pages 1030-1077

A Weekly Publication

Administrative Law Division

*Judy Cooper
Director*

*Lisa Blake
Leah Powell
Administrative Assistants*

*Secretary of State
Administrative Law Division
Bldg. 1, Suite 157K
1900 Kanawha Blvd. E.
Charleston, WV 25305-0770*

(304)558-6000

CONTENTS

- I. Chronological Index*
- II. Open Government Meetings Listing*
- III. Price List*
- IV. Rule Monitor*
- V. Notices*
 - a. Legislative Rules*
 - b. Interpretive Rules*
 - c. Procedural Rules*
 - d. Emergency Rules*
 - e. Legislative Rule-Making Review Committee*
- VI. Legislative Interims*
- VII. Orders*
- IX. Ethics Commission Opinions*
- X. Attorney General Opinions*
- XI. Other Documents or Information Filed*
- XII. Publication Deadlines and Publication Dates*

LEGISLATIVE

WEST VIRGINIA
SECRETARY OF STATE
KENNECUMER
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW DIVISION

Do Not Mark In This Box
FEB 10 10 35 AM '98
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF STATE

Form #1

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING ON A PROPOSED RULE

AGENCY: Division of Environmental Protection, Office of Air Quality TITLE NUMBER: 45

RULE TYPE: Legislative CITE AUTHORITY: W. Va. Code § 5622-5-1 et seq.

AMENDMENT TO AN EXISTING RULE: YES ☒ NO ☐

IF YES, SERIES NUMBER OF RULE BEING AMENDED: 8

TITLE OF RULE BEING AMENDED: "Ambient Air Quality Standards for Sulfur Oxides and Particulate Matter"

IF NO, SERIES NUMBER OF NEW RULE BEING PROPOSED: _____

TITLE OF RULE BEING PROPOSED: _____

DATE OF PUBLIC HEARING: July 21, 1998 TIME: 6:00 p.m.

LOCATION OF PUBLIC HEARING: Office of Air Quality

1558 Washington Street East

Charleston, WV 25311-2599

COMMENTS LIMITED TO: ORAL ☐ WRITTEN ☒ BOTH ☐

COMMENTS MAY ALSO BE MAILED TO THE FOLLOWING ADDRESS: John H. Johnson, Chief

The Department requests that persons wishing to make

comments at the hearing make an effort to submit written

comments in order to facilitate the review of these comments.

The issues to be heard shall be limited to the proposed rule.

ATTACH A BRIEF SUMMARY OF YOUR PROPOSAL.

Office of Air Quality

1558 Washington Street East

Charleston, WV 25311-2599

John H. Johnson
John H. Johnson, Chief

WEST VIRGINIA
SECRETARY OF STATE
KENNECUMER
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW DIVISION

Do Not Mark In This Box
FEB 10 10 35 AM '98
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF STATE

Form #1

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING ON A PROPOSED RULE

AGENCY: Division of Environmental Protection, Office of Air Quality TITLE NUMBER: 45

RULE TYPE: Legislative CITE AUTHORITY: W. Va. Code § 5622-5-1 et seq.

AMENDMENT TO AN EXISTING RULE: YES ☒ NO ☐

IF YES, SERIES NUMBER OF RULE BEING AMENDED: 9

TITLE OF RULE BEING AMENDED: "Rules Relating to Ambient Air Quality Standards for Carbon Monoxide and Ozone"

IF NO, SERIES NUMBER OF NEW RULE BEING PROPOSED: _____

TITLE OF RULE BEING PROPOSED: _____

DATE OF PUBLIC HEARING: July 21, 1998 TIME: 6:00 p.m.

LOCATION OF PUBLIC HEARING: Office of Air Quality

1558 Washington Street East

Charleston, WV 25311-2599

COMMENTS LIMITED TO: ORAL ☐ WRITTEN ☒ BOTH ☐

COMMENTS MAY ALSO BE MAILED TO THE FOLLOWING ADDRESS: John H. Johnson, Chief

The Department requests that persons wishing to make

comments at the hearing make an effort to submit written

comments in order to facilitate the review of these comments.

The issues to be heard shall be limited to the proposed rule.

Office of Air Quality

1558 Washington Street East

Charleston, WV 25311-2599

John H. Johnson
John H. Johnson, Chief

LEGISLATIVE

WEST VIRGINIA
 SECRETARY OF STATE
 KENNETH L. BAKER

Do Not Mark In This Box

FILED
 JUL 21 10 33 AM '98
 OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF STATE

FORM #1

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW DIVISION

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING ON A PROPOSED RULE

AGENCY: Division of Environmental Protection, Office of Air Quality TITLE NUMBER: 45

RULE TYPE: Legislative CITE AUTHORITY: W. Va. Code § 822-5-1 et seq.

AMENDMENT TO AN EXISTING RULE: YES ☒ NO ☐

IF YES, SERIES NUMBER OF RULE BEING AMENDED: 16

TITLE OF RULE BEING AMENDED: "Standards of Performance for New Stationary Sources"

IF NO, SERIES NUMBER OF NEW RULE BEING PROPOSED: _____

TITLE OF RULE BEING PROPOSED: _____

DATE OF PUBLIC HEARING: July 21, 1998 TIME: 6:00 p.m.

LOCATION OF PUBLIC HEARING: Office of Air Quality
 1558 Washington Street East
 Charleston, WV 25311-2599

COMMENTS LIMITED TO: ORAL ☐ WRITTEN ☒ BOTH ☐ X

COMMENTS MAY ALSO BE MAILED TO THE FOLLOWING ADDRESS: John H. Johnston, Chief
 Office of Air Quality
 1558 Washington Street East
 Charleston, WV 25311-2599

The Department requests that persons wishing to make comments at the hearing make an effort to submit written comments in order to facilitate the review of these comments.

The issues to be heard shall be limited to the proposed rule.

ATTACH A BRIEF SUMMARY OF YOUR PROPOSAL.

John H. Johnston

13.06

WEST VIRGINIA
 SECRETARY OF STATE
 KENNETH L. BAKER

Do Not Mark In This Box

FILED
 JUL 21 10 33 AM '98
 OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF STATE

FORM #1

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW DIVISION

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING ON A PROPOSED RULE

AGENCY: Division of Environmental Protection, Office of Air Quality TITLE NUMBER: 45

RULE TYPE: Legislative CITE AUTHORITY: W. Va. Code § 822-5-1 et seq.

AMENDMENT TO AN EXISTING RULE: YES ☐ NO ☒ X

IF YES, SERIES NUMBER OF RULE BEING AMENDED: _____

TITLE OF RULE BEING AMENDED: _____

IF NO, SERIES NUMBER OF NEW RULE BEING PROPOSED: 24

TITLE OF RULE BEING PROPOSED: "To Decrease and Control Emissions From Hospital/Medical/Infectious Waste Incinerators"

DATE OF PUBLIC HEARING: July 21, 1998 TIME: 6:00 p.m.

LOCATION OF PUBLIC HEARING: Office of Air Quality
 1558 Washington Street East
 Charleston, WV 25311-2599

COMMENTS LIMITED TO: ORAL ☐ WRITTEN ☒ BOTH ☐ X

COMMENTS MAY ALSO BE MAILED TO THE FOLLOWING ADDRESS: John H. Johnston, Chief
 Office of Air Quality
 1558 Washington Street East
 Charleston, WV 25311-2599

The Department requests that persons wishing to make comments at the hearing make an effort to submit written comments in order to facilitate the review of these comments.

The issues to be heard shall be limited to the proposed rule.

ATTACH A BRIEF SUMMARY OF YOUR PROPOSAL.

John H. Johnston

062

13.06

LEGISLATIVE

Do Not Mark In This Box

WEST VIRGINIA
SECRETARY OF STATE
KENNECILLER

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW DIVISION

Form #1

FILED
JUL 21 1998
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF STATE
CHARLOTTE, NORTH CAROLINA

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING ON A PROPOSED RULE

AGENCY: Division of Environmental Protection, Office of Air Quality TITLE NUMBER: 45

RULE TYPE: Legislative CITE AUTHORITY W. Va. Code §§22-5-1 et seq. & §§22-18-1 et seq.

AMENDMENT TO AN EXISTING RULE: YES ☒ NO ☐

IF YES, SERIES NUMBER OF RULE BEING AMENDED: 25

TITLE OF RULE BEING AMENDED: "To Prevent and Control Air Pollution from

Hazardous Waste Treatment, Storage, or Disposal Facilities"

IF NO, SERIES NUMBER OF NEW RULE BEING PROPOSED: _____

TITLE OF RULE BEING PROPOSED: _____

DATE OF PUBLIC HEARING: July 21, 1998 TIME: 6:00 p.m.

LOCATION OF PUBLIC HEARING: Office of Air Quality

155B Washington Street East

Charleston, WV 25311-2599

COMMENTS LIMITED TO: ORAL _____ WRITTEN ☒ BOTH ☒

COMMENTS MAY ALSO BE MAILED TO THE FOLLOWING ADDRESS: John H. Johnston, Chief

The Department requests that persons wishing to make

comments at the hearing make an effort to submit written

comments in order to facilitate the review of these comments.

Office of Air Quality

155B Washington Street East

Charleston, WV 25311-2599

The issues to be heard shall be limited to the proposed rule.

ATTACH A BRIEF SUMMARY OF YOUR PROPOSAL

John H. Johnston

1.4.0

Do Not Mark In This Box

WEST VIRGINIA
SECRETARY OF STATE
KENNECILLER

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW DIVISION

Form #1

FILED
JUL 21 1998
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF STATE
CHARLOTTE, NORTH CAROLINA

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING ON A PROPOSED RULE

AGENCY: Division of Environmental Protection, Office of Air Quality TITLE NUMBER: 45

RULE TYPE: Legislative CITE AUTHORITY W. Va. Code §§22-5-1 et seq.

AMENDMENT TO AN EXISTING RULE: YES ☒ NO ☐

IF YES, SERIES NUMBER OF RULE BEING AMENDED: 34

TITLE OF RULE BEING AMENDED: "Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants

Excluded to 40 CFR Part 63"

IF NO, SERIES NUMBER OF NEW RULE BEING PROPOSED: _____

TITLE OF RULE BEING PROPOSED: _____

DATE OF PUBLIC HEARING: July 21, 1998 TIME: 6:00 p.m.

LOCATION OF PUBLIC HEARING: Office of Air Quality

155B Washington Street East

Charleston, WV 25311-2599

COMMENTS LIMITED TO: ORAL _____ WRITTEN ☒ BOTH ☒

COMMENTS MAY ALSO BE MAILED TO THE FOLLOWING ADDRESS: John H. Johnston, Chief

The Department requests that persons wishing to make

comments at the hearing make an effort to submit written

comments in order to facilitate the review of these comments.

Office of Air Quality

155B Washington Street East

Charleston, WV 25311-2599

The issues to be heard shall be limited to the proposed rule.

ATTACH A BRIEF SUMMARY OF YOUR PROPOSAL

John H. Johnston

1.4.0

LEG

WEST VIRGINIA

SECRETARY OF STATE

KEN HECHLER

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW DIVISION

FORM #1

Do Not Mark In This Box

F-11-11

Jul 31 1998

OFFICE
SECRETARY OF STATE

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING ON A PROPOSED RULE

AGENCY: Division of Environmental Protection, Office of Air Quality TITLE NUMBER: 45

RULE TYPE: Legislative TITLE AUTHORITY: W. Va. Code § 22-5-1 et seq.

AMENDMENT TO AN EXISTING RULE: YES ☒ NO ☐

IF YES, SERIES NUMBER OF RULE BEING AMENDED: 36

TITLE OF RULE BEING AMENDED: "Requirements for Determining Conformity of Transportation Plans, Programs, and Projects Developed, Funded or Approved Under Title 23 U.S.C. or The Federal Transit Laws, to Applicable Air Quality Implementation Plans (Transportation Conformity)"

IF NO, SERIES NUMBER OF NEW RULE BEING PROPOSED:

TITLE OF RULE BEING PROPOSED:

DATE OF PUBLIC HEARING: July 21, 1998 TIME: 6:00 p.m.

LOCATION OF PUBLIC HEARING: Office of Air Quality

1538 Washington Street East

Charleston, WV 25311-2599

COMMENTS LIMITED TO: ORAL ☐ WRITTEN ☒ BOTH ☐

COMMENTS MAY ALSO BE MAILED TO THE FOLLOWING ADDRESS: John H. Johnston, Chief

Office of Air Quality

1538 Washington Street East

Charleston, WV 25311-2599

ATTACH A BRIEF SUMMARY OF YOUR PROPOSAL

2.50 w/o attachment

6.50 w/ attachment

John H. Johnston



WEST VIRGINIA REGISTER

Published by Ken Hechler, Secretary of State

Volume XV

Issue 26

June 26, 1998

Pages 1078-1127

A Weekly Publication

Administrative Law Division

Judy Cooper
Director

Lisa Blake
Leah Powell
Administrative Assistants

Secretary of State
Administrative Law Division
Bldg. 1, Suite 157K
1900 Kanawha Blvd. E.
Charleston, WV 25305-0770

(304)558-6000

CONTENTS

- I. Chronological Index
- II. Open Government Meetings Listing
- III. Price List
- IV. Rule Monitor
- V. Notices
 - a. Legislative Rules
 - b. Interpretive Rules
 - c. Procedural Rules
 - d. Emergency Rules
 - e. Legislative Rule-Making Review Committee
- VI. Legislative Interims
- VII. Orders
- IX. Ethics Commission Opinions
- X. Attorney General Opinions
- XI. Other Documents or Information Filed
- XII. Publication Deadlines and Publication Dates

TIVE

WEST VIRGINIA
SECRETARY OF STATE
KEN HECHEM
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW DIVISION

FORM #1

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING ON A PROPOSED RULE

AGENCY: Division of Environmental Protection, Office of Air Quality TITLE NUMBER: 45

RULE TYPE: Legislative CITE AUTHORITY W. Va. Code §822-5-1 et seq.

AMENDMENT TO AN EXISTING RULE: YES X NO

IF YES, SERIES NUMBER OF RULE BEING AMENDED: 33

TITLE OF RULE BEING AMENDED: "Acid Rain Provisions and Permits"

IF NO, SERIES NUMBER OF NEW RULE BEING PROPOSED:

TITLE OF RULE BEING PROPOSED:

DATE OF PUBLIC HEARING: July 21, 1998 TIME: 6:00 P.M.

LOCATION OF PUBLIC HEARING: Office of Air Quality

1558 Washington Street East

Charleston, WV 25311-2599

EXHIBITS LIMITED TO: ORAL WRITTEN X

COMMENTS MAY ALSO BE MAILED TO THE FOLLOWING ADDRESS: John H. Johnston, Chief

Office of Air Quality

1558 Washington Street East

Charleston, WV 25311-2599

ATTACH A BRIEF SUMMARY OF YOUR PROPOSAL.

LEGISLATIVE

Do Not Mark In This Box

FILED
JUL 21 1998
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY OF STATE



**DIVISION OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION
OFFICE OF AIR QUALITY**

CECIL H. UNDERWOOD
GOVERNOR

John H. Johnston, Chief

MICHAEL P. MIANO
DIRECTOR

August 5, 1998

Ms. Judy Cooper
Director Administrative Law
Secretary of State
Building 1, Suite 157-K
1900 Kanawha Blvd., East
Charleston, WV 25305-0770

RE: 45CSR8, 45CSR9, 45CSR16, 45CSR24, 45CSR25, 45CSR33, 45CSR34, 45CSR36
Agency-Approved Rules -- Office of Air Quality

Dear Ms. Cooper:

Pursuant to our telephone conversation last week, please find attached a copy of the public hearing transcript related to each of the above-referenced agency-approved rules. These rules were filed with your office and the Legislative Rule-Making Review Committee on July 31, 1998, and August 3, 1998. We would appreciate your appending the copy with the appropriate rule package previously filed.

As always, we appreciate your assistance and cooperation in this and other rule-making matters. If you have any questions, please call me at my office (304) 558-1213.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Karen G. Watson".

Karen G. Watson,
Attorney

KWG/mes
attachments

PERMITTING & PROGRAMS
1558 Washington Street East, Charleston, WV 25311-2599 Phone: (304) 558-0885 Fax: (304) 558-1222

100-100000

100-100000

BEFORE THE WEST VIRGINIA DIVISION OF
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION
OFFICE OF AIR QUALITY

In the matter of:

PUBLIC HEARING ON PROPOSED LEGISLATIVE RULE

45 CSR 33 "Acid Rain Provisions and Permits"

Transcript of proceedings had at a public hearing in the above-styled matter taken by Missy L. Young, Certified Court Reporter and Commissioner for the State of West Virginia, at the West Virginia Division of Environmental Protection, Office of Air Quality, Conference Room, 1558 Washington Street, East, Charleston, West Virginia, 25305, commencing at 6:02 p.m., on the 21st day of July 1998, pursuant to notice.

P R O C E E D I N G S

MS. CHANDLER: This public hearing will now come to order on this 21st day of July, 1998, in the conference room of the West Virginia Division of Environmental Protection, Office of Air Quality, located at 1558 Washington Street, East, Charleston, West Virginia.

The purpose of the public hearing is to hear discussions on the proposed rules filed in the Secretary of State's Office on June 18, 1998 and noticed in the State Register on June 18, 1998. The legislative rules are 45CSR8, 45CSR9, 45CSR16, 45CSR24, 45CSR25, 45CSR22, 45CSR34 and 45CSR36. The rules were noticed in a Class I legal advertisement in both the Charleston Daily Mail and Charleston Gazette, and notice was also sent to various individuals and organizations.

This public hearing is being held pursuant to the provisions of 29A of the West Virginia Code and Section 110 of the Clean Air Act.

By way of introduction, my name is Jeanne Chandler of the Public Information Office of the West Virginia Division of Environmental Protection. I will be the moderator for these proceedings.

1 In order to obtain separate transcripts
2 for each of the rules, the hearing procedure this evening
3 will be to introduce each rule individually, allow time
4 for oral comments and close the hearing for that
5 particular rule. Written comments for any rule may be
6 submitted at the end of this public hearing tonight. For
7 those of you wishing to make formal comments, a sign-up
8 sheet was provided to the right of me. Please sign up now
9 if you have not already done so. I remind you that the
10 comment period will end at the close of the public
11 hearing.

12 The court reporter is Ms. Missy Young,
13 Q & A Court Reporters, Inc. If anyone desires a
14 transcript of this proceeding, please contact Ms. Young at
15 937-2555.

16 The purpose of the public hearing is to
17 hear discussions on proposed Rule 45CSR33, "Acid Rain
18 Provisions and Permits."

19 Title IV of the federal Clean Air Act, as
20 amended, November 15, 1990, required each state to
21 implement an operating permit system conforming to Title
22 IV and Title V of the Clean Air Act, as amended. West
23 Virginia complied by enacting Rule 45 CSR 33, "Acid Rain

1 Provisions and Permits," which is an incorporation by
2 reference of the Federal Counterpart Regulation 40 CFR
3 Part 72, and became effective May 1, 1994.

4 The United States Environmental Protection
5 Agency approved West Virginia's Acid Rain Program with its
6 approval of West Virginia's Title V Operating Permit
7 Program effective December 15, 1995. 45 CSR 33 was
8 amended in the 1997 Legislative session to include all
9 revisions and additions promulgated under 40 CFR Part 72
10 and related Parts between June 1995 and June 1996.

11 The intent of the revisions contained
12 herein is to update 45 CSR 33 by incorporating all
13 revisions and additions promulgated by the U. S. EPA
14 between June 1, 1997 and June 1, 1998 under the federal
15 counterpart regulation 40 CFR Part 72 and related Parts.

16 These revisions also contain technical
17 changes that correct errors inadvertently made in the 1998
18 revisions. The 1998 revisions incorporated 40 CFR Part 78
19 in error. The wording "incorporating Part 78" is removed
20 by these revisions. Part 78 regulations govern the
21 appeals process between affected sources and the U. S. EPA
22 for acid rain issues. None of the provisions of Part 78
23 apply to the state and incorporation of it would be of no

1 benefit. Officials of the Acid Rain Division of the U. S.
2 EPA have concurred.

3 Under the Acid Rain Program promulgated by
4 the United States Environmental Protection Agency,
5 pursuant to Title IV of the Clean Air Act, as amended, no
6 person may construct, modify or operate or cause to be
7 constructed, modified or operated an acid rain source in
8 violation of 40 CFR Part 72. The Legislature has
9 previously promulgated 45 CSR 33 pursuant to Title IV by
10 incorporation by reference of a portion of the federal
11 counterpart regulations.

12 The purpose of this rule amendment is to
13 update 45 CSR 33 to be consistent with all rules
14 promulgated under pertinent federal counterpart rules
15 under the Clean Air Act, as amended. This rule amendment
16 will also provide clarity in the exact federal
17 counterparts adopted by the West Virginia rule.

18 A federal counterpart to this proposed
19 rule exists. In accordance with the Director's
20 recommendation, and with limited exception, the Office of
21 Air Quality proposes that the rule incorporate by
22 reference the federal counterparts. Because the proposed
23 rule incorporates by reference the federal counterpart, no

1 determination of stringency is required.

2 The floor is now open for public comment.
3 Please identify yourself and affiliation, if any, prior to
4 making comment.

5 (No response.)

6 MS. CHANDLER: There being no comments
7 and nothing further, this public hearing for 45CSR33 is
8 concluded.

9 (WHEREUPON, the public hearing
10 was concluded.)

BEFORE THE WEST VIRGINIA DIVISION OF
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION
OFFICE OF AIR QUALITY

STATE OF WEST VIRGINIA,
COUNTY OF KANAWHA, to-wit:

I, the undersigned, Missy L. Young, a
Certified Court Reporter and Commissioner within and for
the State of West Virginia, duly commissioned and
qualified, do hereby certify that the foregoing is, to the
best of my skill and ability, a true and accurate
transcript of all the proceedings had in the
aforementioned matter.

Given under my hand and official seal this
3rd day of August 1998.



Certified Court Reporter
Commissioner for the State of West Virginia

My commission expires April 15, 2008.