

**WEST VIRGINIA
SECRETARY OF STATE
KEN HECHLER
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW DIVISION**

Form #3 ■

Do Not Mark In This Box

FILED

AUG 0 3 42 PM '00

OFFICE OF WEST VIRGINIA
SECRETARY OF STATE

**NOTICE OF AGENCY APPROVAL OF A PROPOSED RULE
AND
FILING WITH THE LEGISLATIVE RULE-MAKING REVIEW COMMITTEE**

AGENCY: WV Board of Respiratory Care TITLE NUMBER: 30

CITE AUTHORITY: 30-34

AMENDMENT TO AN EXISTING RULE: YES NO


IF YES, SERIES NUMBER OF RULE BEING AMENDED: _____

TITLE OF RULE BEING AMENDED: _____

IF NO, SERIES NUMBER OF RULE BEING PROPOSED: 5

TITLE OF RULE BEING PROPOSED: Disciplinary and Complaint Procedures

THE ABOVE PROPOSED LEGISLATIVE RULE HAVING GONE TO A PUBLIC HEARING OR A PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD IS HEREBY APPROVED BY THE PROMULGATING AGENCY FOR FILING WITH THE SECRETARY OF STATE AND THE LEGISLATIVE RULE-MAKING REVIEW COMMITTEE FOR THEIR REVIEW.



Authorized Signature

QUESTIONNAIRE

(Please include a copy of this form with each filing of your rule: Notice of Public Hearing or Comment Period; Proposed Rule, and if needed, Emergency and Modified Rule.)

DATE: August 7, 2000

TO: LEGISLATIVE RULE-MAKING REVIEW COMMITTEE

FROM: (Agency Name, Address & Phone No.) WV Board of Respiratory Care

106 Dee Drive

Charlesotn, WV 25311 (304) 558-1382

LEGISLATIVE RULE TITLE: Disciplinary and Compaint Procedures

1. Authorizing statute(s) citation 30-34

2. a. Date filed in State Register with Notice of Hearing or Public Comment Period:

June 19, 2000

b. What other notice, including advertising, did you give of the hearing?

Notification of comment period with copies of proposed rule was mailed to 1,136 licensed respiratory care practitioners of the State of West Virginia

c. Date of Public Hearing(s) *or* Public Comment Period ended:

7/1/00 -7/31/00 4:30 PM

d. Attach list of persons who appeared at hearing, comments received, amendments, reasons for amendments.

Attached _____ No comments received X

e. Date you filed in State Register the agency approved proposed Legislative Rule following public hearing: (be exact)
August 7, 2000

f. **Name, title, address and phone/fax/e-mail numbers** of agency person(s) to receive all *written correspondence* regarding this rule: (Please type)

Karen J. Stewart, President

WV Board of Respiratory Care 304-558-1382

106 Dee Drive 304-558-1383 Fax

Charleston, WV 25311

g. **IF DIFFERENT FROM ITEM 'f'**, please give **Name, title, address and phone number(s)** of agency person(s) who wrote and/or has responsibility for the contents of this rule: (Please type)

3. If the statute under which you promulgated the submitted rules requires certain findings and determinations to be made as a condition precedent to their promulgation:

a. Give the date upon which you filed in the State Register a notice of the time and place of a hearing for the taking of evidence and a general description of the issues to be decided.

N/A

b. Date of hearing or comment period:

7/1/00 - 7/31/00 4:30 PM

c. On what date did you file in the State Register the findings and determinations required together with the reasons therefor?

N/A

d. Attach findings and determinations and reasons:

Attached N/A

BRIEF SUMMARY OF PROPOSAL

In compliance with H. B. 4062, the WV Board of Respiratory Care establishes a comment period for the procedure of investigation and resolution of complaints against Respiratory Practitioners.

STATEMENT OF CIRCUMSTANCES FOR SERIES 5

In compliance with H. B. 4062, this rule sets forth the procedure for the investigation and resolution of complaints against Respiratory Practitioners licensed by the West Virginia Board of Respiratory Care.

□
APPENDIX B

FISCAL NOTE FOR PROPOSED RULES

Rule Title: Disciplinary and Complaint Procedures

Type of Rule: Legislative Interpretive Procedural

Agency: WV Board of Respiratory Care

Address: 106 Dee Drive

Charleston, WV 25311

1. Effect of Proposed rule:

	ANNUAL FISCAL YEAR				
	INCREASE	DECREASE	CURRENT	NEXT	THEREAFTER
ESTIMATED TOTAL COST					
PERSONAL SERVICES					
CURRENT EXPENSE					
REPAIRS & ALTERATIONS					
EQUIPMENT					
OTHER					

2. Explanation of Above Estimates:

The Board is self supporting and fees incurred will be the responsibility of the licensee.

3. Objectives of These Rules:

This rule establishes disciplinary and complaint procedures in accordance with H. B. 4062.

Rule Title: Disciplinary and Complaint Procedures

4. Explanation of Overall Economic Impact of Proposed Rule:

A. Economic Impact on State Government:

None

B. Economic Impact on Political Subdivisions; Specific Industries; Specific Groups of Citizens:

None

C. Economic Impact on Citizens/Public at Large.

None

Date: 8/7/00

Signature of Agency Head or Authorized Representative:

Karen J. Stewart

Karen J. Stewart

TITLE 30
LEGISLATIVE RULE
BOARD OF RESPIRATORY CARE
SERIES 5
DISCIPLINARY AND COMPLAINT PROCEDURES
FOR RESPIRATORY PRACTITIONERS

Aug 8 3 42 PM '00

OFFICE OF WEST VIRGINIA
SECRETARY OF STATE**§30-5-1. General.**

1.1. Scope. -- This rule specifies a procedure for the investigation and resolution of complaints against Respiratory Practitioners Licensed by the West Virginia Board of Respiratory Care.

1.2. Authority. -- W. Va. Code §30-34-5 et seq. and 30-1-1 et seq.

1.3. Filing Date. --

1.4. Effective Date. --

§30-5-2. Application.

This rule applies to all licensed respiratory practitioners.

§30-5-3. Definitions.

The following words and phrases as used in this rule have the following meanings, unless the context otherwise requires:

3.1 "Applicant" means any person making application for an original or renewal license or a temporary permit pursuant to W. Va. Code §30-34-1 et seq.

3.2. "Board" means the West Virginia Board of Respiratory Care.

3.3. "License" means a license or temporary permit issued by the Board pursuant to W. Va. Code §30-34-1 et seq.

"Respiratory Care Practitioner"

3.4. "~~Physical Practitioner~~" means a person who practices Respiratory Care. as defined in defined in W. Va. Code §30-34-2.

3.5. "Ethics investigator" means a person licensed to practice respiratory care in this state, and who is hired by the Board for the purpose of reviewing complaints against respiratory practitioners.

§30-5-4. Causes for Denial, Probation, Limitation, Discipline, Suspension or Revocation of Licenses of Respiratory Practitioners.

The Board may deny an application for license, place a licensee on probation, limit or restrict a license, suspend a license, or revoke any license issued by the Board, upon satisfactory proof that a licensee has been convicted of a felony, or is in his or her professional capacity, engaged in conduct, practices, or acts, constituting professional negligence, or a willful departure from accepted standards of professional conduct in violation of W. Va. Code §30-34-1 et seq. or the rules of the Board.

§ 30-5-5. Disposition of Complaints.

5.1. Any person, firm, corporation, member of the Board, or public officer may make a complaint to the Board, which charges a respiratory practitioner or applicant with a violation of W. Va. Code §30-34-1 et seq. or of the rules of the Board. The Board may provide a form for that purpose, but a complaint may be filed in any written form. In addition to describing the alleged violation, which prompted the complaint, the complaint should contain the following:

5.1.1. The name and address of the respiratory practitioner against whom the complaint is lodged;

5.1.2. The date of care;

5.1.3. The name of any person who may have treated the patient after the alleged incident; and,

5.1.4. The name of any health care institution in which the patient was an inpatient or outpatient after or during the alleged incident.

5.2. A complaint against a respiratory practitioner shall allege that such person has been convicted of a felony or is, in his or her professional capacity, engaging in conduct, practices or acts constituting professional negligence or a willful departure from accepted standards of professional conduct in violation of W. Va. Code §30-34-1 et seq. or the rules of the Board.

5.3. Complainants are immune from liability for the allegations contained in their complaints filed with the Board unless the complaint is filed in bad faith or for a malicious purpose.

5.4. The Board shall maintain a complaint log, which records the receipt of each complaint, its nature and its disposition.

5.5. The Board shall maintain a separate file on each complaint received, and each file shall have a number assigned to it.

5.6. Upon receipt of a complaint, the Board shall issue one of the following acknowledgments to the complainant:

5.6.1. That the matter will be reviewed by the Board;

5.6.2. That the complaint is outside the jurisdiction of the Board, with suggestions as to how the complainant might best obtain a resolution of his or her problem; or,

5.6.3. That more information will be required in order to adequately review the individual complaint.

5.7. The Board shall send a copy of the complaint, including any supporting documentation, by certified mail to the licensee or permittee or applicant in question for his or her written comment, and he or she shall submit a written response to the Board within thirty (30) days of the date of such correspondence, or waive the right to do so.

5.8. Requests for comment on complaints sent to licensees, permittees or applicants shall be considered properly served when sent to their last known address. It is the responsibility of the licensee or permittee or applicants to keep the Board informed of his or her current address.

5.9. Upon receipt of a licensee's or applicant's comments in response to a complaint, the Board shall promptly send a copy of the same, including any supporting documentation, to the complainant.

5.10. After receipt and review of a complaint, unless the complaint is determined to fall within the provisions of subdivision 5.6.2 of this rule, the Board shall cause to be conducted any reasonable inquiry or investigation it considers necessary to determine the truth and the validity of the allegations set forth in the complaint. The review of complaints and any view or investigation thereof may, at the discretion of the Board, be assigned to a committee of the Board.

5.11. At any point in its investigation of a complaint the Board may, at its discretion, assign the matter to an ethics investigator for review and investigation.

5.12. Upon receipt of a complaint the ethics investigator shall, within sixty (60) days, review and investigate the same and provide the Board with a report. The report shall contain a statement of the allegations, a statement of facts, and an analysis of the complaint including a description of the care provided, the records reviewed and a statement of the ethics investigator's findings and recommendations. The ethics investigator shall, upon request, be afforded an opportunity to have an investigation interview with the licensee, permittee or applicant in question or other involved parties, a report of which shall be placed in the investigation file.

5.13. To facilitate the disposition of a complaint, the Board or the committee may request any person to attend an informal conference, or to appear at a regular meeting of the Board, at any time prior to the Board entering any order with respect to the complaint. The Board or the committee shall give notice of the conference, which notice shall include a statement of issues to be informally discussed. Statements made at a conference may not be introduced at any subsequent hearing on the merits without the consent of all parties to the hearing. No prejudice shall attach for failure to attend a conference pursuant to a request.

5.14. The Board, its president, the investigating committee or chairperson may issue subpoenas and subpoenas duces tecum to complete the Board's investigation and to determine the truth or validity of complaints. The ethics investigator may request the Board or its president

to issue a subpoena or subpoena duces tecum . Any such request shall be accompanied by a brief statement specifying the necessity for the same.

5.15. At any point in the course of an investigation or inquiry into a complaint, the Board may determine that there is not and will not be sufficient evidence to warrant further proceedings, or that the complaint fails to allege misconduct for which a respiratory practitioner or permittee may be sanctioned by the Board: Provided, that in the event the review and investigation of a complaint is assigned to the committee or an ethics investigator, the committee or ethics investigator shall make their respective findings and recommendations to the Board prior to the Board dismissing the complaint.

§30-5-6. Contested Case Hearings.

6.1. The Board may refuse to renew a license or suspend a license if it determines there is probable cause to believe that respiratory practitioner's conduct, practices or acts constitute an immediate danger to the public.

§30-6-7. Appeals.

7.1. Any applicant who has had his or her application for a license denied by order of the Board may appeal the order within thirty (30) days of that action in accordance with the contested case hearing procedures set forth in W. Va. Code §29A-6-1 et seq. and the rules of the Board: Provided, that the appeal shall not include cases in which the Board denies a license or certificate after an examination to test the knowledge or the ability of the applicant where the controversy concerns whether the examination was fair or whether the applicant passed the examination.