KEN HECHLER
Secretary of State

MARY P. RATLIFF
Deputy Secretary of State

ROBERT E. WILKINSON
Deputy Secretary of State

CATHERINE FREROTTE
Executive Assistant

Telephone: (304) 345-4000
Corporations: 342-8000

STATE OF WEST VIRGINIA
SECRETARY OF STATE
Charleston 25305

WILLIAM H. HARRINGTON
Chief of Staff

JUDY COOPER
Director, Administrative Law

DONALD R. WILKES
Director, Corporations

SHEREE COHEN
Special Assistant

(Plus all the volunteer help we can get)

FILED IN THE OFFICE OF
THE SECRETARY OF STATE
THIS DATE May 3, 1991
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW DIVISION

May 3, 1991

NOTICE OF EMERGENCY RULE DECISION BY THE SECRETARY OF STATE

AGENCY: West Virginia Human Rights Commission

RULE: New Rule, Series 6, Waiver of Rights Under the Human Rights Act

DATE FILED AS AN EMERGENCY RULE: March 22, 1991

DECISION NO. 17-91

Following review under WV Code 29A-3-15a, it is the decision of the Secretary of State that the above emergency rule be approved. A copy of the complete decision with required findings is available from this office.

Ken Hechler
KEN HECHLER
Secretary of State

2.40

KEN HECHLER
Secretary of State

MARY P. RATLIFF
Deputy Secretary of State

ROBERT E. WILKINSON
Deputy Secretary of State

CATHERINE FREROTTE
Executive Assistant

Telephone: (304) 345-4000
Corporations: 342-8000

STATE OF WEST VIRGINIA

SECRETARY OF STATE

Charleston 25305

WILLIAM H. HARRINGTON
Chief of Staff

JUDY COOPER
Director, Administrative Law

DONALD R. WILKES
Director, Corporations

SHEREE COHEN
Special Assistant

(Plus all the volunteer help we can get)

DECISION EMERGENCY RULE DECISION
(ERD 17-91)

AGENCY: Human Rights Commission
RULE: New Rule, Series 6, Waiver of Rights Under the Human Rights Act
FILED AS AN EMERGENCY RULE: March 22, 1991

par. 1 The Human Rights Commission (Commission) has filed the above new rule as an emergency.

par. 2 West Virginia Code 29A-3-15a requires the Secretary of State to review all emergency rules filed after March 8, 1986. This review requires the Secretary of State to determine if the agency filing such emergency rule: 1) has complied with the procedures for adopting an emergency rule; 2) exceeded the scope of its statutory authority in promulgating the emergency rule; or 3) can show that an emergency exists justifying the promulgation of an emergency rule.

par. 3 Following review, the Secretary of State shall issue a decision as to whether or not such an emergency rule should be disapproved [(29A-3-15a(a)].

par. 4 (A) Procedural Compliance: WV Code 29A-3-15 permits an agency to adopt, amend or repeal, without hearing, any legislative rule by filing such rule, along with a statement of the circumstances constituting the emergency, with the Secretary of State and forthwith with the Legislative Rule-Making Review Committee (LRMRC).

par. 5 If an agency has accomplished the above two required filings with the appropriate supporting documents by the time the emergency rule decision is issued or the expiration of the forty-two day review period, whichever is sooner, the Secretary of State shall rule in favor of procedural compliance.

par. 6 The Commission filed this emergency rule with supporting documents with the Secretary of State March 22, 1991 and with the LRMRC March 22, 1991.

par. 7 It is the determination of the Secretary of State that the Commission has complied with the procedural requirements of WV Code 29A-3-15 for adoption of an emergency rule.

par. 8 (B) Statutory Authority -- WV Code 5-11-8(h) reads in part:

(h) To do all other acts and deeds necessary and proper to carry out and accomplish effectively the objects, functions and services contemplated by the provisions of this article, including the promulgation of legislative rules in accordance with the provisions of 29A-3-1 et seq. of this code, implementing the powers and authority hereby vested in the commission.

par. 9 It is the determination of the Secretary of State that the Commission has not exceeded its statutory authority in promulgating this emergency rule.

par. 10 (C) Emergency WV Code 29A-3-15(g) defines "emergency" as follows:

(g) For the purposes of this section, an emergency exists when the promulgation of a rule is necessary for the immediate preservation of the public peace, health, safety or welfare or is necessary to comply with a time limitation established by this code or by a federal statute or regulation or to prevent substantial harm to the public interest.

par. 11 There are essentially three classes of emergency broadly presented with the above provision: 1) immediate preservation; 2) time limitation; and 3) substantial harm. An agency need only document to the satisfaction of the Secretary of State that there exists a nexus between the proposal and the circumstances creating at least one of the above three emergency categories.

par. 12 The facts and circumstances as presented by the Commission are as follows:

A. In October 1990, the U.S. Congress passed and President Bush signed into law, legislation regulating the waiver of employee's rights under the Age Discrimination in Employment ACT (ADEA), 29 U.S.C. 621 et seq.
B. Alleged waiver of rights has been a consistent problem in enforcement of the West Virginia Human Rights Act (HRA), W. Va. Code 5-11-1 et seq.
C. The standards for waiver established by Congress are likely to serve as guidelines when the courts interpret the HRA and there is a desire to have conformity between federal and state law.

D. The proposed rule faithfully reflects the standards established by Congress for cases arising under the ADEA.
E. The proposed rule is necessary to assist all persons in understanding their rights, obligations and duties under the Human Rights Act.
F.Unless filed as an emergency rule, employers and employees will be making critical legal decisions, and facing potential legal liability, without benefit of the clear guidelines offered by the rule.
G. Failure to file the rule as an emergency rule would do substantial harm to the public interest in that persons may be held legally liable for acts of discrimination, or may forego those rights, without full benefit of the explanations of the complex legal issue offered by this rule.

par. 13 It is the determination of the Secretary of State that this proposal qualifies under the definition of an emergency as defined in 29A-3-15(g). . ."immediate preservation of public peace, health, safety or welfare" and "prevent substantial harm to public interest."

par. 14 This decision shall be cited as Emergency Rule Decision 17-91 or ERD 17-91 and may be cited as precedent. This decision is available from the Secretary of State and has been filed with the Human Rights Commission, the Attorney General and the Legislative Rule Making Review Commission.

Ken Hechler

KEN HECHLER
Secretary of State

Entered

FILED IN THE OFFICE OF
THE SECRETARY OF STATE
THIS DATE May 3, 1991
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW DIVISION